

1 Stanislav Arbit
2 440 N Barranca Ave #7377
3
4 Covina, CA 91723
5 Phone: 480-818-4418
6 Email: stan@securepower.io



7 Plaintiff

8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12 WESTERN DIVISION

13 **Stanislav Arbit**) CASE NO.:
14)
15 Plaintiff,)
16)
17 v.) **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND**
18 **Meta Platfroms Inc. et al.**) **INJUNCTION**
19)
20 (See Appendix A)) RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND
21)
22) CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT
23)
24) (RICO) (18 U.S.C. §§ 1961–1968)
25)
26) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
27) (See Appendix B)
28)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS	6
II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE	11
III. RICO COUNTS	11
A. COUNT 1: RICO § 1962(c)	11
1. AVA Eviction Scheme (mail and wire fraud)	12
2. Arbit v AVA (Mail Fraud)	14
3. Trademark Lawsuit (mail and wire fraud)	15
4. Trademark Appeal (fraud)	39
a. Summary Affirmation	39
b. Reply to Response to Motion for Summary Disposition	46
c. Appellee Schneider Electric SE’S Opposition to Plaintiff-Appellant’s Motion for Reconsideration	48
d. Appellee Schneider Electric SE’s Answering Brief	49
5. Arbit v Zuckerberg (mail and wire fraud)	90
6. Department of Social Services (mail fraud and wire fraud)	116
7. WeWork Mail (Wire Fraud, theft of mail, and access control fraud)	116
8. Computer Crimes: Electronic Email Provider Fraud (Gmail and AWS)	117
9. Interview Fraud	213
10. SEC Filings (Fraud)	221
11. Threat of arson at AVA	221
12. Dealing in Obscene Matter	222
13. Attempts at Extortion	222
14. Witness bribery in AVA	226
15. Production, Trafficking, and Use of an Authorized Access Device (Section 1029)	226

1	16. Theft of Government Identification (Section 1028)	227
2	17. Theft and Unauthorized Use of a Credit Card (Section 1344)	228
3	18. 18 U.S. Code § 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or an	
4	informant	228
5	19 U.S. Code § 1513 - Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an	
6	informant	230
7	20. 18 U.S. Code § 1951 - Interference with commerce by threats or	
8	violence; Extortion	232
9	21. 18 U.S. Code § 1957 - Engaging in monetary transactions in	
10	property derived from specified unlawful activity	232
11	22. Section 1958 (relating to use of interstate commerce facilities in the	
12	commission of murder-for-hire)	232
13	23. 18 U.S. Code § 175 - Prohibitions with respect to biological	
14	weapons	233
15	24. 18 U.S. Code § 229 - Prohibited activities (Chemical)	233
16	25. §1328. Importation of alien for immoral purpose	233
17	26.section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice)	233
18	25. 18 U.S. CODE § 2332B - ACTS OF TERRORISM TRANSCENDING	
19	NATIONAL BOUNDARIES	233
20	26. RICO Acts Not Related to Arbit	233
21	1. sections 2251, 2251A, 2252, and 2260 (relating to sexual	
22	exploitation of children)	233
23	2. section 933 (relating to trafficking in firearms), section 1028	
24	233	
25	B. COUNT 2: RICO § 1962(a)	234
26	C. COUNT 3: RICO § 1962(b)	234
27	D. COUNT 4: RICO § 1962(d)	234
28	IV. DEFAMATION AND TRADE LIBEL	234
	V. STALKING AND HARASSMENT	234
	VI. TRADE SECRETS (UNJUST ENRICHMENT)	234

1	VII. FALSE IMPRISONMENT	234
2	VIII. NEGLIGENCE	234
3	IX. ASSAULT AND BATTERY	234
4	X. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	234
5	XI. RIGHT OF PRIVACY	234
6	XII. CONSPIRACY?	234
7	XIII. COMPREHENSIVE COMPUTER DATA AND ACCESS FRAUD ACT—	
8	ESSENTIAL FACTUAL ELEMENTS (PEN. CODE, § 502)	235
9	XIV. ECONOMIC INTERFERENCE (PREVENTING SUBSEQUENT	
10	EMPLOYMENT)	235
11	XV. TRESPASS TO CHATTELS	235
12	XVI. CA LAB CODE SECTION 1054	235
13	XVII. VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE	
14	SECTION 17200	235
15	XVIII. 18 U.S. CODE § 2520 - RECOVERY OF CIVIL DAMAGES AUTHORIZED	
16	235	
17	XIX. SECRET REBATES - ESSENTIAL FACTUAL ELEMENTS	235
18	XX. FIRST AMENDMENT	235
19	XXI. ANTITRUST	235
20	A. Whitelistng	235
21	Onova	235
22	B. Staggered pricing at SE, Vertiv and Eaton	235
23	C. Amazon, Microsoft, and Google Leveraged Their Monopoly Power to	
24	Stop Arbit From Entering the Data Center Market and competing with Big	
25	Tech's Cloud Offerings	235
26	D. Microsoft, Amazon, and Google account for xx percentage of email	
27	providers. Standing up your own....	236
28	E. Google never sent emails (Fraud). Mention webmaster tools.	236

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

F. SE, Verity, and Eaton conspired together and with Big Tech and their
Partners 236

G. SPAM 236

1
2 1. Plaintiff (Arbit) files this complaint for damages and for an injunction against Meta
3 Platforms, Inc. (Meta) et al. and alleges, based on information and belief, as follows:
4

5 **I. FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

6 Plaintiff (“Arbit”) first learned that the Alpha Sigma Syndicate (“A.S.S.”) was
7 stalking him in 2006 when he called the AEPi Foundation to break his lease and cancel
8 his membership at ASU’s Alpha Sigma chapter of the AEPi fraternity. The first thing
9 they said to Arbit was that they have a file on Arbit that is an inch thick.
10

11
12 Arbit had recently moved in to the fraternity house and was elected to be the
13 Exchequer by the brothers. When the chapter’s advisor demanded Arbit get a personal
14 credit card to put the house’s expenses on it Arbit refused and argued with said advisor
15 on multiple occasions. After Arbit was removed from his elected position he decided to
16 move out and quit.
17

18
19 A.S.S. members have been conspiring and executing crimes against Arbit for
20 approximately two decades. The categorical objectives of the A.S.S. are entertainment
21 and economic. The association-in-fact operates as a Facebook page with a hierarchical
22 organization structure that includes designated administrators. The crimes have been a
23 continuous open-ended scheme. The severity of the crimes ranges from stalking and
24 harassment to attempted murder.
25
26
27
28

1 In 2010, Arbit started working for a representative of a French manufacturer of
2 data center power, cooling, and software. In 2011, Arbit was promoted and transferred
3 to Southern California where SpaceX was his first customer. In 2015, after consistently
4 achieving 30% year-over-year profit increases, Arbit decided to start looking for new
5 challenges. Since Arbit had made a few sales at SpaceX over the years, Arbit made an
6 appointment with his SpaceX contact and proposed a unique data center cooling
7 system that his grandfather had patented (US8899061B2).
8

9
10 In 2015, French agents joined forces with the A.S.S. and forced Arbit to quit in
11 hopes of getting away from the A.S.S.'s stalking, harassment, and murderous
12 intentions. Arbit quit on 01/01/2016 and moved three times that year.
13

14 In October of that year, Arbit interviewed with Facebook and accepted a contract
15 offer in Menlo Park, CA. Since Arbit needed a short-term stay that would
16 accommodate his large dog, his options were limited. The first place Arbit called
17 accepted his dog and him for a reasonable price, and it was across the street from
18 Golden Gate Park. French intelligence agents were expecting Arbit and lived next
19 door.
20
21

22 While Arbit was at Facebook, Arbit tried to get a full time position by proposing
23 Exhibit "A" to the robotics team. Arbit also interviewed with the data center
24 mechanical team where Arbit proposed his grandfather's data center cooling system.
25 Arbit also attended a workshop about climate change where he proposed the idea that
26
27
28

1 data centers should not be built far away from population centers, but instead can be
2 built near population centers, and have them be sources of potable water for their
3 communities instead of consumers. Meta Platforms would later attempt to copy this
4 idea.
5

6 The bullying on Facebook’s closed campus started after Arbit posted Exhibit
7 “A” to the internal data center robotics page. A Facebook employee fearfully told Arbit
8 that Zuckerberg is vindictive. In the context of the conversation, this employee was
9 implying that Zuckerberg will be vindictive towards me. Mr. Zuckerberg, and other
10 Facebook employees had taken control of the A.S.S. Arbit’s Facebook contract was not
11 renewed. Arbit’s last day was in April of 2017. Arbit was not able to find another job
12 until January 2019. In January 2020, under suspicious circumstances, the company
13 shut down. While Arbit was there, Arbit learned that Mark Zuckerberg was personally
14 involved in the A.S.S. and was running psychological operations against Arbit.
15
16
17
18

19 In 2020, Arbit purchased the domain name *SecurePower.io* and later registered
20 *SecurePower* as a trademark. Arbit started selling for the French again as an
21 independent reseller. Arbit was cognizant of the fact that the French were running
22 operations on him, including locking Arbit out of his house without his keys, wallet,
23 and phone—while his geriatric dog was left inside, when Arbit was in San Francisco.
24 The harassment subsided until Arbit added U.S.-based manufacturers to his website.
25 That’s when Arbit received death threats and other unpleasantries.
26
27
28

1 and leveraged for Meta's monopolistic advantage. This activity has damaged the
2 plaintiff, an engineer and entrepreneur, by preventing him from working in any
3 capacity. If it wasn't for Meta's criminal and tortious activity, it is plausible that Arbit's
4 earnings could have exceeded that of Meta's.
5

6 Meta Platforms, in concert with the French, and other A.S.S. members, have
7 engaged in racketeering in order to force Arbit to sell his I.P. and digital properties,
8 such as, securepower.io, SchmarkStalkerberg.com (a social media app),
9 ShmarkStalkerberg.com, and the SecurePower trademark. The A.S.S. also want to keep
10 Arbit from working for the competition, or using his trademark to sell against them.
11 They also wish to force Arbit's grandfather, or Arbit, to sell the family's patents, or
12 prevent the novel devices from reaching the market where they can compete against
13 the French manufacturer Schneider Electric or Meta's inferior data centers.
14
15

16 Meta Platforms Inc. and its subsidiaries are the culpable entities that have
17 benefited the most from the A.S.S.'s exploitation of Arbit. Mr. Zuckerberg serves as a
18 principal A.S.S. member. The A.S.S. has ballooned into a transnational conspiracy that
19 encompasses the technology industry. The sheer complexity, absurdity, and hubris
20 serve as the A.S.S.'s cover.
21
22

23 The U.K House of Commons said Mark Zuckerberg behaves like a "digital
24 gangster." In typical British fashion that was an understatement.⁰ He is, by all negative
25 definitions of the word, a gangster.
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Federal courts have subject matter jurisdiction over RICO claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 18 U.S.C. § 1964.

3. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Meta Platforms, Inc. (2711108) lists its principal address at 1 Meta Way, Menlo Park, CA 94025). Section 1965(b) of RICO provides that process may be served “in any judicial district of the United States” when required by the “ends of justice.” Section 1965(d) allows process to be served “in any judicial district in which such person resides, is found, has an agent, or transacts his affairs.” Accordingly, courts have approved nationwide service of process under both § 1965(b) and § 1965(d).

4. Venue is proper because the court possesses personal jurisdiction over at least one co-conspirator based on a traditional minimum contacts analysis with the forum state, there is no other district in which a court would have personal jurisdiction over all of the alleged co-conspirators, and the facts show a single nationwide RICO conspiracy exists.

III. RICO COUNTS

A. COUNT 1: RICO § 1962(c)

1 In order to deprive Arbit of his intellectual property the ASS had to drain all
2 financial resources and block all income opportunities. In furtherance of this scheme, a
3 pattern of mail and wire fraud was employed.
4

5 **1. AVA Eviction Scheme (mail and wire fraud)**

6 Meta's agents conspired to evict Arbit under false pretenses. In doing so, they
7 committed mail and wire fraud on numerous occasions. Forcing Arbit out of an
8 apartment with an eviction on his record would mean having to live in hotels. This
9 would put increased pressure on his finances and limit his ability to survive without
10 having to sell the last of his possessions; namely, his trademark.
11

12
13 Meta's agents attempted to instigate a verbal conflict in order to draw Arbit into
14 a physical altercation. When this failed, they went ahead with defamation, claiming
15 that Arbit brandished a knife. Meta's agents contacted AvalonBay Communities'
16 office and defamed Arbit to AvalonBay Communities' leasing agent, Justin Miller,
17 who documented the complaint.
18

19
20 Mail fraud occurred when a 3-day notice was posted at Arbit's residence located
21 at 200 West Los Angeles Street Apt 319, Los Angeles, CA 90012. The 3-day notice
22 was posted on March xxx
23

24 Mail fraud occurred on xxx when a private courier served Arbit with the
25 unlawful detainer complaint, 22STUD00831.
26
27
28

1 Arbit contacted the Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles where Morgan James,
2 a paralegal prepared an answer to the above mentioned complaint. Mr. James
3 emailed Arbit at stanarbit@gmail.com, on Wednesday, Mar 9, 2022, at 11:27 AM,
4 telling Arbit that his answers were filed. Mr. James attached copies of the
5 documents. Mr. James committed wire fraud in this instance because the answer
6 was not filed. Consequently, AvalonBay filed a default application.
7
8

9 Mr. James, claims in the attachments that was sent on 3/9/22, that the answer
10 was served to AvalonBay's attorney—this constitutes mail fraud in furtherance of
11 the scheme.
12

13 Mr. Zuckerberg, and disclosed party to the case, along with undisclosed Meta
14 lawyers, led the tactical effort on behalf of the A.S.S. and in conjunction with
15 AvalonBay Communities, Inc, with Kimball, Tirey, & St. John as the front. In
16 furtherance of Mr. Zuckerberg's copy-acquire-kill (CAK) business stratagem.⁰
17
18

19 In furtherance of this scheme each docket entry required notice. Either electronic
20 notice to KTS-Law or mail notice to Defendants, Arbit. Each event constitutes two
21 counts fraud in furtherance of the scheme, even if no false statements were made.
22 These accounts to more than 100 additional counts of fraud. Another 38 emails were
23 exchanged between KTS-Law and Arbit resulting in 38 additional counts of wire fraud
24 in furtherance of the scheme
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6 ⁹Jerrold Nadler, David N. Cicilline, *INVESTIGATION OF COMPETITION IN DIGITAL MARKETS: MAJORITY STAFF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE ON ANTITRUST COMMERCIAL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES*, Available at: <https://www.congress.gov/committee-print/117th-congress/house-committee-print/47832> (Last visited July 30, 2024)
7
8

9
10 **2. Arbit v AVA (Mail Fraud)**

11 Arbit filed a lawsuit against AvalonBay Communities, Inc. and Angela Garcia.
12
13 Ms. Garcia was the property manager at AVA and was recruited to be an A.S.S.
14 member. Ms. Garcia, along with her family and coworkers that she recruited, was
15 bribed to stalk, harass, evict, or assist in a killing Arbit. Arbit lived at the AVA
16 apartments in Little Tokyo from December 2021 to October 2022.
17

18 Kimball, Tirey & St. John LLP represented Avalonbay Communities, Inc.

19 On 9/21/22, Ms. Truong of Kimball, Tirey & St. John LLP and her paralegal Ms.
20 Banner emailed Arbit and sent a letter asking to meet and confer regarding a demurrer.
21

22 On 9/23/22, Arbit responded by email accepting the request.
23

24 On 9/26/22, Ms. Truong asked if Arbit had a response.

25 On 9/27/22, Arbit answered in the affirmative. Arbit also suggested the Stanley
26 Mosk Courthouse as the meeting location and informed Ms. Truong that his schedule
27 was flexible.
28

1 On 9/28/22, Ms. Truong proposed a phone call. Arbit agreed. Ms. Truong
2 proposed Oct. 11 at 11 AM. Arbit accepted the same day and sent out a meeting invite.

3
4 Oct. 11, was past the date when the complaint was due. That is why Ms. Truong
5 filed a false declaration on 9/28/22 regarding an inability to meet and confer. This
6 letter was mailed to Arbit's apartment at 200 S. Los Angeles Street #319, Los Angeles,
7 CA 90012.
8

9 Ms. Truong misrepresented the facts in order to fraudulently earn an extension
10 to file an answer or demurrer. In addition to mailing the letter, Ms. Truong
11 electronically filed the letter resulting in an additional count of fraud.
12

13 Mr. Zuckerberg led the tactical effort on behalf of the A.S.S. and in conjunction
14 with AvalonBay Communities, Inc. and Kimball, Tirey, & St. John, as part of his copy-
15 acquire-kill business stratagem.
16

17 **3. Trademark Lawsuit (mail and wire fraud)**

18 In order to deprive Arbit of the equitable disgorgement that he is entitled to for
19 Schneider Electric's infringement of his trademark, and in furtherance of the ASS
20 scheme to defraud Arbit of I.P. and digital property by limiting all income, and to
21 neutralize Arbit as a competitor, ASS misrepresented the facts in case *Arbit v.*
22 *Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023).
23
24

25 In addition to the mail and wire fraud committed in *Arbit v. Schneider Electric*
26 *SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023), Schneider Electric SE, and its
27
28

1 alter egos have been knowingly infringing on Arbit's trademark online. Each
2 infringement constitutes wire fraud, with hundreds of counts of fraud online.

3
4 *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.), Doc. 32, p. 24 shows Arbit
5 reaching out to Schneider Electric to give notice of lawsuit and ask where he can send
6 the summons, complaint, and the request to waive service. Annette Clayton, Pankaj
7 Sharma, and Aamir Paul copied in Jennifer Budoff. Ms. Budoff is an Associate General
8 Counsel for NAM Legal Department for Schneider Electric. Ms. Budoff uses the
9 se.com domain and the Schneider Electric logo. Ms. Budoff provided Arbit with a
10 mailing address. Schneider Electric claimed in *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No.
11 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023) and in *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No.
12 24-35 (9th Cir.) that they were never served. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-
13 CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023), Doc. 12 and 15, show that SE refused to
14 waive service at the address provided by Ms. Budoff. Schneider Electric never
15 intended to receive and respond to mailed documents and personal service at that
16 address. Schneider Electric also chose to ignore mailed documents and personal
17 service by falsely claiming that the NAM legal department representative with an
18 se.com email address is not a representative of Schneider Electric SE (se.com). This
19 email is mail fraud because it is part of the scheme to defraud Arbit out of his I.P.,
20 digital properties, and the equitable disgorgement owed in this case and the
21 overarching goal of depriving Arbit of all income and opportunities thereby
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 neutralizing him as a competitive threat. This email is also fraud because Schneider
2 Electric would go on to claim that Arbit served the wrong Schneider Electric in *Arbit v.*
3 *Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023).
4

5 On 07/24/24, John Strand, representing the Defendant, Schneider Electric SE
6 (SE), filed a motion for an extension of time to file an answer. *Arbit v. Schneider*
7 *Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023) Doc. 16, 17. The motion
8 for an extension of time was filed 107 days after Arbit mailed a copy of the summons,
9 complaint, and request to waive service to the address provided by Ms. Budoff and
10 four days shy of the deadline to file an answer. This motion was granted.
11
12

13 On 08/04/23 Arbit filed a motion for reconsideration. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric*
14 *SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023) Doc. 21, 22. Arbit states, and
15 includes evidence that Mr. Strand, excluded an email thread in the exhibit that Mr.
16 Strand included and endorsed by declaration in the motion for an extension of time.
17 The exclusion of the email thread modified the conversation in favor of Mr. Stern for
18 the purpose of receiving additional time to file an answer. The motion was mailed and
19 emailed to Arbit and uploaded electronically to the court. The motion and declaration
20 each resulted in three counts of fraud.
21
22
23

24 On Page 4, of Document 16 of *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-
25 CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023), Mr. Strand attests that this document was
26 emailed and served by mail on the day of filing, 7/24/23. Plaintiff received the
27
28

1 document a few days later in the mail and received the email on 07/24/23 at 15:10:42
2 -0700 (PDT). The email was sent by Virginia Weeks using the
3 virginia.weeks@wolfgreenfield.com email address and was sent to
4
5 stanarbit@gmail.com.

6 On 08/15/24, a motion to dismiss was filed by Mr. Strand on behalf of Schneider
7 Electric. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27,
8 2023) Doc. 25. On page 13 Mr. Strand states in the Certificate of Conferral that
9 attempted to confer with Arbit but Arbit was unresponsive. (*id*). This is categorically
10 false and the opposite is true, it was Mr. Strand who was avoiding the issues and a
11 conference as required by judges order and LRCiv 12.1(c). While it is true that Mr.
12 Strand telephoned Arbit, Mr. Strand fails to include the following email sent by Arbit
13 on 08/07/23 from stan@securepower.io to john.strand@wolfgreenfield.com at 12:36
14 PM:
15
16
17

18 Dear Mr. Strand:

19
20 Based on your initial review of my complaint, I don't believe we are at a point
21 where a phone call would be productive. If you are interested in making a good
22 faith effort to meet and confer, prior to submitting your motion to dismiss, I
23 must insist that you send me your final set of issues for me to review. Please
24 use the email that I provided in the complaint (stan@securepower.io).

25 -Stan Arbit

26 Mr. Strand never responded to this 08/07/23 request for the final set of issues.

27 As mentioned in paragraph XXX, Ms. Budoff, a Schneider Electric
28 representative provided the instructions on how to serve Schneider Electric. However,

1 in Mr. Strand's motion to dismiss he claims that Schneider Electric SE was not
2 properly served. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 32 reviews
3 evidence that there is only one Schneider Electric and the Arizona court has
4 jurisdiction over Schneider Electric, contrary to Defendant's §§ 1 & 2 in *Arbit v.*
5 *Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023) Doc. 25.
6

7
8 Fraud was committed when Mr. Strand efiled this document. Fraud was also
9 committed when Mr. Strand's firm email and mailed this document to Arbit. Mr.
10 Strand attests that this document was emailed and served by mail on the date of filing,
11 08/15/2023. It was received by the Plaintiff on September 8, 2023 through the mail and
12 the email was received on the day of filing. It was emailed by
13 valeria.gomez@wolfgreenfield.com at 11:28:15 (PDT) and sent to
14 stanarbit@gmail.com. The accompanying Declaration (*Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*,
15 No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023) Doc. 25) was served along with the
16 motion (*id*).
17
18
19

20 In furtherance of the scheme to defraud Arbit out of the disgorgement in this
21 case and the previously mentioned overarching scheme to defraud Arbit out of
22 everything, a reply was sent to *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL
23 (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023) Doc. 25 was submitted as Doc. 40 (*id*). The document was
24 mailed to on 09/27/23 and emailed to Arbit on Wednesday, Sep 27, 2023 at 2:28 PM
25
26
27
28

1 (PDT) by Virginia.Weeks@wolfgreenfield.com. The email was sent to
2 stanarbit@gmail.com.

3
4 On 10/24/23, Michael Radar submitted *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-
5 CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023) Doc. 46, a response in opposition for
6 Plaintiff's motion for subpoenas. Working from Zuckerberg's playbook of escalating
7 falsehoods, manipulations, obstructionism, and destruction of institutions, Michael
8 Radar and the ASS take a more brazen approach in Doc. 46. (*Id*).

9
10 Mr. Radar, begins ¶ 2 by stating two points that are not "remotely plausible." In
11 short, all five sell equipment that can be found on Schneider Electric SE's website,
12 se.com. (see *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.), Doc. 32). Mr. Radar
13 also claims Schneider Electric Se is a holding company with just two employees and a
14 single office in France, and that it conducts no business with third parties outside the
15 Schneider Electric family of companies, let alone Arizona. This is a fraudulent
16 statement. (*Id*).

17
18 On page two ¶ 1 Schneider Electric, the infringer of the Arbit's trademark, states
19 that Plaintiff (Arbit) has unfairly forced Defendant to incur needless legal fees. This is
20 a false statement because Schneider Electric knew it was, and still is, infringing on
21 Arbit's trademark (SecurePower).

22
23 Next Mr. Rader lists some grievances as justification for additional obstacles to
24 be placed to make it more difficult for Arbit to file motions. The first item in the list,
25
26
27
28

1 Mr. Rader complains that Arbit “refused a routine extension of time to answer or
2 otherwise respond.” As the docket in this case reflects, the defendant, Schneider
3 Electric, 107 days passed between when Arbit mailed the summons and complaint and
4 Schneider Electric filing their motion for an extension of time to file answer or
5 otherwise respond. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz.
6 Nov. 27, 2023), Doc. 12. There are six lawyers listed in the case as representing
7 Schneider Electric, surely they can read and infer that the defendant has had ample to
8 time to respond—especially considering that F.R.C.P Rule 12 requires an answer
9 within 21 days of being served with the summons and complaint. If Schneider Electric
10 had chose to waive service, as the option was presented by Arbit and USMS, then
11 would of had 60 days to answer. Mr. Rader, and the other five named lawyers on the
12 case, know that 107 is a bigger number than 60 and much bigger than 21, but he chose
13 to be criminally fraudulent instead.

14
15
16
17
18 The next grievance is Mr. Rader stating that Arbit filed a frivolous motion for
19 reconsideration. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov.
20 27, 2023), Doc. 21. The motion for reconsideration reiterates the fact that the
21 defendant has had ample time to respond to the summons and complaint. Yet, Mr.
22 Rader still chose to bring this point up in Doc. 46 (*id*). The motion for reconsideration
23 also brings up the fact that Mr. Strand, who works at the same law firm as Mr. Rader
24
25
26
27
28

1 committed fraud when he filed his motion for an extension of time to file an answer or
2 otherwise respond. This grievance is also fraudulent.

3
4 The next grievance of supposedly unfair legal fees, Mr. Rader cites Arbit's
5 "expedited document subpoena on Microsoft for email records supposedly related to a
6 "sophisticated scheme" of witness tampering and intimidation.." Not only is Mr. Rader
7 aware of, and a party to, stalking and harassment of Arbit, he has own flavor of
8 stalking harassment that utilizes he's last name and its implicit meanings as a
9 homophone. The pattern of fraud continues.
10

11
12 Next Mr. Rader cites Arbit's motion for default judgement. The evidence will
13 show that Mr. Rader was aware that Arbit had not received Defendant's motion to
14 dismiss by mail until after Arbit submitted the default application.
15

16 Mr. Rader goes on to cite Arbit's TRO application as if he was not aware of the
17 conspiracy to stalk and harass Arbit. Mr. Rader was most certainly aware, and as
18 previously stated, an active participant.
19

20 The last point refers to the Pre-Hearing Statement. This exchange is a good
21 sample of the conniving tactics used by the ASS in legal proceedings. Mr. Rader's
22 point is that Defendant is participating in good faith in this lawsuit but the Plaintiff is
23 not. However, the email record tells a different story.
24

25 On Monday, October 16 2023, 2:29 pm, Mr. Rader emailed Arbit for the first
26 time. Mr. Rader used michael.rader@wolfgreenfield.com and WGS-
27
28

1 Arbitv.Schneider@WolfGreenfield.com to send an email to Arbit at
2 stan@securepower.io with the subject: "Arbit v. Schindler Electric SE." Mr. Rader
3 attached *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27,
4 2023), Doc. 12. The attachment was an order for an evidentiary hearing to resolve the
5 issue of personal jurisdiction. The order was issued on 10/11/23. It was further order
6 that the parties shall file a jointly prepared pre-hearing statement. The pre-hearing
7 statement was due on October 23, 20[2]3. Mr. Rader said that he will prepare a draft of
8 the joint filing for Arbit. To assist Mr. Rader in preparing that draft, Mr. Rader
9 requested the following required information:
10
11
12

- 13 1. The name and address of any witness you intend to call;
- 14 2. Whether the witness is a fact witness or an expert witness;
- 15 3. A brief statement summarizing the testimony that the witness will give; and
- 16 4. For each witness, please state whether (a) you intend to call that witness at the hearing, (b) the witness may be called at the hearing, or (c) the witness is unlikely to be called at the hearing.

17 Mr. Rader also requested copies of any exhibits that Arbit planned to use at the
18 hearing.
19

20 On Wednesday, 10/18/23, 7:00 am, Arbit responded with a list of five fact
21 witness that he intended to call. Arbit included the witnesses name, title, position start
22 date, and address. Arbit also included himself as a witness. Arbit also included the
23 work address of the witnesses that worked in an office. Arbit included his justification
24 for why the witnesses are relevant to the case. Arbit included seven exhibits that he
25
26
27
28

1 would end up using at the hearing. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.),
2 Doc. 32.

3
4 On Thursday, 10/19/23, 5:24 pm, Mr. Rader responded to Arbit by again
5 promising to a draft joint submission and to share the draft with Arbit for his review.

6 Mr. Rader had a few follow-up questions:

- 7
- 8 1. To your email you attached 7 exhibits. The file names are of the format “x4 Exhibit 2,” for
9 example. Taking that example, do you intend it to be numbered as Exhibit 2, or Exhibit 4?
10 I want to make sure we number your exhibits in the joint submission they way you wish for
11 them to be numbered.
 - 12 2. Your email did not attach an Exhibit 1. Did you intend to have an Exhibit 1? If so, please
13 email it to me.
 - 14 3. The Court’s Order requires the parties to include a “brief statement as to the testimony of
15 each witness.” Please prepare those brief statements for the witnesses you listed, and email
16 them to me so that we can include them in the joint submission.

17
18 On Fri, 10/20/23, 4:23 am, Arbit responded by all of the exhibit attached. Arbit
19 renamed the exhibits and included one additional exhibit. Arbit listed the names and
20 numbers of the of the exhibits in the email body and informed Mr. Radar that each
21 witness will testify that SE conducts business in the state of Arizona.

22
23 Arbit was living in California at the time of this exchange and in order to meet
24 the Monday deadline, Arbit had submitted his copies of the required at materials to
25 USPS and shipped it using Priority Mail Express® so that it would arrive by the court
26 ordered deadline. The transaction occurred on 10/20/23 at noon.

27
28 Mr. Radar, who along with the rest of the ASS was stalking and harassing Arbit
and was well aware that Arbit had already submitted his documents to the court. They
were also aware that Arbit had to work everyday.

1 At 2:45 PM on 10/20/23, almost three hours after Arbit had submitted his
2 documents, Mr. Rader emailed Arbit his copies of the defendant's exhibits and a draft
3 of the Pre-Hearing Statement. Mr. Radar is a registered PACER user and was notified
4 of the Pre-Hearing Statement. Mr. Radar is a registered PACER user and was notified
5 the day the order was issued on 10/11/23 but waited until the end of the day of the
6 business day before the due date to share any information in preparation for the court
7 hearing. Mr. Radar is one of five lead attorneys notified on behalf of the defendant in
8 this case. Three of the attorneys work for Wolf Greenfield Sacks PC and the other two
9 work for Spencer Fane LLP in Phoenix, Arizona.
10

11 On 10/22/23 at 8:52 PM, Arbit emailed Mr. Rader a copy of the Joint Pre-
12 Hearing Statement. Arbit informed Mr. Rader that he had made some changes to the
13 document.
14

15 On 10/23/23 the day the documents are due, Mr. Rader, who, along with his
16 Wolf Green Field Sacks PC coworkers, is operating in the Eastern Time Zone, sent an
17 email at 11:39 AM Pacific Time to Arbit saying that he had accepted Arbit's edits and a
18 response to Arbit's objects. Mr. Rader requested that Arbit confirm by return email that
19 they have authorization to sign Arbit's name where indicted so that the Joint Pre-
20 hearing Statement can be filed that day, the day it was due.
21
22

23 When Mr. Rader sent the 10/23/23 email he was fully aware that Arbit was
24 working and had to continue working. Mr. Radar knew this because he, and the
25 defendant, along with the rest of the ASS were stalking Arbit. Nevertheless, Rader
26
27
28

1 followed up with another request to sign on behalf of Arbit. Mr. Rader sent that email
2 at 2:15 PM on 10/23/23. At 2:29 on that day, Mr. Rader sent another email to Arbit
3 clarifying that his question applies to the court reporter as well. At 3:24 on that day,
4 Mr. Rader sent an email giving Arbit a deadline of 3:30 PM to respond.
5

6 Similar to the Motion for an Extension to File an Answer, Mr. Radar and the
7 ASS manufactured a situation to falsely paint themselves in a good light, gain a
8 strategic and unfair advantage, and blame the other party for the situation they
9 themselves designed. In other words, classic Zuckerberg—but more importantly, it is
10 mail fraud and wire fraud.
11
12

13 Following the list, Mr. Rader and the ASS have the audacity to conclude that
14 “The Motion for Subpoenas continues Plaintiff’s pattern of harassment.” Looking at
15 the factual record is enough to see that this statement is false. Additional evidence will
16 be presented during this case that will show that Mr. Rader and the ass were stalking
17 and harassing during a extended period of time that includes this case.
18
19

20 Page 3, ¶ 1, claims that Schneider Electric IT Corp. employee Matthew McGraw
21 and Lucien Berthiaume, however, Schneider Electric IT Corp. is not a customer facing
22 company and was unknown to Arbit who spent more than five years working with Mr.
23 McGraw and a few years working with Mr. Berthiaume with the understanding that
24 theses two gentlemen work for Schneider Electric SE (The French company). As *Arbit*
25
26
27
28

1 v. *Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.), Doc. 32 explains, Arbit had good
2 evidence to make that assertion. To put it mildly, ¶ 1 or page 3 is disingenuous.

3 ¶ 2 of page 3, claims that the remains witness are not relevant to the case. Again,
4 we can turn to *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.), Doc. 32 to see why
5 these witnesses are relevant and necessary.
6

7 ¶ 3 of page 3, is easily debunked by a little bit of research. *Arbit v. Schneider*
8 *Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.), Doc. 32 also has screenshots of Schneider Electric
9 SE's website as why ¶3 of page 3 is false.
10

11 Mr. Rader then continues with an alternative proposition of can always seek
12 permission to depose the witness Arbit requested to be subpoenaed. Without touching
13 the procedural technicality of such a request, it is worth pointing out that this is a
14 statement that misrepresents the facts in attempt to defraud Arbit of the equitable
15 disgorgement and injunctive relief that he is entitled to in the trademark case. This is
16 fraud because Mr. Rader know that Arbit works during the day as a delivery driver to
17 make enough money to afford food and a hotel room for the night—a disposition is
18 certainly not affordable. Mr. Rader is acutely aware of this fact because he is a memory
19 of the gang that has orchestrated this predicament for Arbit.
20
21
22
23

24 Finally, as *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.), Doc. 32 shows,
25 the requested witness are parties to the case as alter egos. The subpoenas were granted.
26
27
28

1 It is clear that the defendant has gone all in on false statement, lies by omission,
2 bad-faith participation, obstructionism, and gaslighting—the classic Zuckerberg. Also
3 known as 18 U.S.C. Chapter 63, Mail Fraud and other Fraud Offenses.
4

5 On Tuesday, 10/24/23 at 9:53 AM, Ashley Lohr or Wolf Greenfield Sacks PC
6 emailed Defendant’s Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion for Subpoenas. Defendant also e-
7 filed the motion. Defendant served a copy of the opposition to Arbit by mail by first
8 class mail on 10/24/23. Arbit received the first-class package containing *Arbit v.*
9 *Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023), Doc. 46 on
10 November 03, 2023 That would be two counts of wire fraud and one count of mail
11 fraud for *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27,
12 2023), Doc. 46.
13
14
15

16 Next the ASS team turned to false character attacks in this trademark
17 infringement lawsuit. Mr. Rader filed a truly spectacular set of lies with *Arbit v.*
18 *Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023), Doc. 52 and
19 53.
20

21 In 2015, when Schneider Electric, it’s French intelligence agents, AEPi and
22 other A.S.S. agents ramped up their stalking, harassment, defamation, hacking,
23 psychological operations, and other crimes when Arbit started looking for new
24 challenges, they sought to inhibit his ability to file lawsuits by draining him of
25 financial resources and restricting all forms of income (in addition to the overarching
26
27
28

1 goal of extorting the family’s IP, neutralizing Arbit as a potential competitor, and later
2 extorting or stealing Arbit’s IP and digital properties). As a secondary precaution, they
3 conspired to defame Arbit on all scales—from family and friends to long-term
4 customers—with the notable inclusion of Arbit’s favorite customer SpaceX. Although
5 Arbit had never worked with Mr. Musk, Mr. Musk employed an individual to move in
6 next door to Arbit and stalk, harass, and hack Arbit in 2015—for fun, social equity, and
7 profit.
8

9
10 Arbit was aware that his former employer, Dennis Strieter, President of LDP
11 Associates, a manufacturer’s representative of Schneider Electric was involved in these
12 crimes and Arbit tried to engage diplomatically by offering a thoughtful gift with a
13 request for dialogue and then later statements requesting that Strieter desist his activity.
14 These failed attempts at diplomacy were also used against Arbit in this case when Mr.
15 Rader filed Dennis Strieter’s, declaration.
16

17
18 In Mr. Rader’s motion to hold the evidentiary hearing remotely, Mr. Radar
19 references an email as the strongest evidence to support his motion. *Arbit v. Schneider*
20 *Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023), Doc. 52. Mr. Radar
21 states that the defendants are fearful for their safety because Arbit sent them a letter
22 with the subject line “Death threats.” What Mr. Radar fails to mention, is that email
23 was a reference to death threats made to Arbit by the Defendant and their criminal
24 enterprise. But as Mr. Radar has written it, the reader is left to interpret that Mr. Arbit
25
26
27
28

1 has made death threats against the witnesses that Arbit has requested be subpoenaed.
2 Mr. Rader also states that Dennis Strieter has been suffering harassing behavior from
3 Arbit for eight years. Arbit has not been stalking and harassing anybody and Arbit has
4 not made any death threats. Mr. Rader seeks to flip his, and his defendant's own
5 behavior back onto the victim. Mr. Rader, Mr. Strieter and the ASS are gaslighters, but
6 in the context of this complaint, Doc. 52, 53 and 54, each represent two counts of wire
7 fraud and one count of mail fraud. *Id.*

10 The email referenced in Mr. Radar's motion was sent on May 31, 2021 at 10:36
11 AM. It was sent from stan@securepower.io to Matthew McGraw at
12 MMcGraw@apcc.com, Matthew.McGraw@se.com, Matthew.McGraw@schneider-
13 electric.com, and Matthew.McGraw@apc.com. At 10:55 AM, Arbit added Aamir Paul
14 and Pankaj Sharma at Aamir.Paul@se.com, Aamir.Paul@scheider-electric.com,
15 pankaj.sharma@schneider-electric.com and pankaj.sharma@se.com. Figure 1 is a
16 screenshot of the email. Nothing in this email warrants a security concern. Mr. Rader's
17 motion, filed 48 hours before a hearing was based on false facts and Mr. Rader. As an
18 active participant in the ASS, was aware that he was committing fraud when he filed
19 this motion and served it to Arbit.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Figure 1.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Stan Arbit <stan@securepower.io>

Death threats

5 messages

Stan Arbit <stan@securepower.io> Mon, May 31, 2021 at 10:36 AM
To: MMcGraw@apcc.com, Matthew.McGraw@se.com, Matthew.McGraw@schneider-electric.com,
Matthew.McGraw@apc.com

Hey Matt,

I hope all is well. I'm reaching out to you today because I've been getting death threats and messages telling me to close my business. Do you think another APC partner has gone full gangster and is trying to protect their territory?

Best regards,
Stan Arbit
President | SecurePower
stan@securepower.io
(424) 398-2547

Stan Arbit <stan@securepower.io> Mon, May 31, 2021 at 10:55 AM
To: Aamir.Paul@se.com, Aamir.Paul@scheider-electric.com, pankaj.sharma@schneider-electric.com,
pankaj.sharma@se.com, MMcGraw@apcc.com, Matthew.McGraw@se.com, Matthew.McGraw@schneider-electric.com,
Matthew.McGraw@apc.com

+ Aamir
+ Pankaj

Best regards,
Stan Arbit
President | SecurePower
stan@securepower.io
(424) 398-2547

Mr. Rader also knowingly committed fraud when he filed *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023), Doc. 53, Dennis Strieter's declaration that he signed under penalty of perjury. Doc 53 was served and filed along with the motion (Doc. 52). Dennis Strieter (Strieter) is Arbit's former employer and an active participant in the ASS. In his declaration Strieter made

1 numerous defamatory statements that in this context constitute fraud, in addition to
2 perjury.

3 ¶ 3 states that Strieter does not know anything about Schneider Electric SE is
4 false. It, along with ¶¶ 1 and 2 are also not relevant to a motion to hold an evidentiary
5 hearing remotely.
6

7 ¶ 6 states that Arbit resigned suddenly. This is a false statement. Arbit resigned
8 because Strieter and the rest of the ASS were harassing Arbit. Arbit always was polite
9 and patient, and followed the security protocols, when he visited SpaceX—a routine
10 that Arbit was well accustomed to after five years.
11

12 ¶ 7 Strieter, a criminal, who has been stalking and harassing Arbit for years, after
13 Arbit gave him and Schneider Electric more than five years of good service, falsely
14 claims that it was Arbit who was stalking and harassing him.
15

16 ¶ 8, Strieter claims never to have spied on Arbit's computer use is a false
17 statement. As part of the ASS, Strieter knew everything about Arbit, including
18 information about his personal computer use.
19

20 ¶ 9, states that Strieter believes Arbit is suffering delusions, but Strieter knows
21 that Arbit is not suffering delusions. Arbit is suffering from stalking and harassment in
22 which the Strieters are active participants.
23

24 ¶ 10, misrepresents the truth. Arbit has made attempts at diplomacy and then
25 explicit statements requesting the Strieters, as in all Dennis Strieters (I, II, and III) and
26
27
28

1 Mrs. Strieter, to cease their stalking and harassment campaign. When Arbit met with
2 Mr. Strieter, Mr. Strieter was absolutely giddy with the power he held over Arbit. Mr.
3 Strieter was enjoying the criminality orchestrated against Arbit in which he was an
4 active participant.
5

6 ¶¶ 11, 12, and 13 can simply be described as gaslighting.

7
8 *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27,
9 2023), Doc. 53 was fraud that was used to put the proverbial thumb on the scales of
10 justice and deprive Arbit of the equitable disgorgement and injunctive relief he is
11 entitled to in this trademark infringement case.
12

13 *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27,
14 2023), Doc. 54 echos false statement made in Doc. 52 and 53. *Id.* On page 2 of Doc.
15 53 Radar attributes stalking and harassment to Arbit instead of himself, the Strieters,
16 Schneider Electric SE, and the rest of the ASS. Mr. Rader also references Figure 1,
17 which by he falsely implies that Arbit has made death threats. The next page continues
18 with more false statement about concern for the safety of the witnesses.
19
20

21 The motion was denied. Of particular interest was that Mr. McGraw was in line,
22 right in front of Arbit, at the security check in, as a bait, at the November 2, 2023,
23 hearing. In front of the security checkpoint, the rest of the witnesses were waiting for
24 Mr. McGraw, along with the Defendant's counsel. They were baiting for a response
25 from Arbit and hoping to quash the dispute out of court based on their goal of agitating
26
27
28

1 Arbit with the defamatory motion and declaration filed less than 48 hours prior to the
2 hearing. Mrs. Boeltiz was smiling; the others had neutral expressions. Nobody seemed
3 fearful of Arbit.
4

5 The transcript of the November 2, 2023, evidentiary hearing for case *Arbit v.*
6 *Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27, 2023) Doc. 65 was
7 e-filed on 11/17/23. The transcript contains testimony that misrepresented facts.
8 Because the transcript was e-filed it constitutes wire fraud. As specified in Document
9 64, filed on 11/06/23, defendant's transcript order requested that the transcript be
10 emailed, in PDF format, to michael.rader@wolfgreenfield.com and
11 ashley.lohr@wolfgreenfield.com. The email delivery of the transcript adds two more
12 counts of wire fraud.
13
14
15

16 The fraudulent statements were made with the intent of defrauding Arbit out of
17 the equitable disgorgement and injunctive relief sought in this trademark infringement
18 case. The fraudulent statements were material to the case. SE's The infringement has
19 lasted for over 3 years. The disgorgement is trebled in the trademark infringement
20 case, and trebled in this RICO complaint. The approximate liability for the ASS, in
21 regard to just the trademark case, is 15 billion (as of 08/21/2024).
22
23

24 Ms. Boelitz states that Schneider Electric SE has two employees. This is a false
25 statement. Schneider Electric has over 150,000 employees. <https://www.forbes.com/>
26
27
28

1 companies/schneider-electric, last visited on August 20, 2024. And [https://](https://www.se.com/us/en/about-us/company-profile/)
2 www.se.com/us/en/about-us/company-profile/ last visited on August 20, 2024

3
4 Ms. Boelitz states that Schneider Electric is a holding company. This is a
5 fraudulent statement. Schneider Electric SE's website is [se.com](https://www.se.com)
6 <https://www.se.com/ww/en/about-us/legal/terms-of-use.jsp>

7
8 Per Schneider Electric SE's website ([se.com](https://www.se.com)):

9 We are a **global industrial technology leader** bringing world-leading expertise in
10 electrification, automation and digitization to smart **industries**, resilient **infrastructure**,
11 future-proof **data centers**, intelligent **buildings**, and intuitive **homes**. Anchored by our deep
12 domain expertise, we provide integrated end-to-end lifecycle AI enabled Industrial IoT
13 solutions with connected products, automation, software and services, delivering digital twins
14 to enable profitable growth **for our customers**.

15 We are a **people company** with an ecosystem of 150,000 colleagues and more than a million
16 partners operating in over 100 countries to ensure proximity to our customers and
17 stakeholders. We embrace **diversity and inclusion** in everything we do, guided by our
18 meaningful purpose of a **sustainable future for all**.

19 -<https://www.se.com/us/en/about-us/company-profile/>

20 Ms. Boelitz continues to commit perjury when she says that Schneider Electric
21 SE does not do business with companies outside of the Schneider Electric family.
22 Schneider Electric SE has alliances with major companies such as Microsoft and
23 Accenture. <https://www.se.com/ww/en/about-us/alliances/>. Schneider Electric SE sells
24 through distributors; system integrators; Process Instrumentation, SCADA and
25 Telemetry, and Tank-Level Monitoring Partners; IT Resellers, Catalog Distributors;
26 Retail locations; and directly to consumers. [https://www.se.com/us/en/work/support/](https://www.se.com/us/en/work/support/locator/)
27 [locator/](https://www.se.com/us/en/work/support/locator/) (8/21/24). If you want to find a Schneider Electric SE sales office, you can use
28

1 this link: <https://www.se.com/us/en/locate/310-schneider-electric-sales-office-locator>
2 (8/21/24).

3
4 Ms. Boelitz was asked if Schneider Electric SE does any business in the State of
5 Arizona. Ms. Boelitz answered in the negative. But according to the sales office locator
6 mentioned in the previous paragraph, Schneider Electric Se has an office in Arizona. In
7 the where to buy link, found on the homepage, if you click IT Resellers and then data
8 centers, you will be taken to Schneider Electric's APC-branded webpage. From there
9 you can see that Schneider Electric has 23 partners that help it sell data center
10 equipment in the Phoenix Metropolitan Area. Arizona also had hardware stores that are
11 listed in Schneider Electric's website: [https://www.se.com/us/en/work/support/](https://www.se.com/us/en/work/support/locator/?configId=310)
12 [locator/?configId=310](https://www.se.com/us/en/work/support/locator/?configId=310). And according to the website, you can buy square D and APC
13 products at these hardware stores.
14
15
16

17 Ms. Boelitz was asked if Schneider Electric SE sells any products in Arizona.
18 Ms. Boelitz answered in the negative. But according to Schneider Electric's website
19 and testimony provided by witnesses that were questioned before her, Ms. Boelitz has
20 perjured herself.
21

22 Ms. Boelitz was asked if SE has any offices in Arizona. Ms. Boelitz lied when
23 she stated that it does not.
24

25 Ms. Boelitz was asked if SE occupies any real estate in Arizona. Ms. Boelitz lied
26 when she state that it does not.
27
28

1 Ms. Boelitz was asked if SE executes any contracts in Arizona. Ms. Boelitz lied
2 when she said SE does not have any contracts in Arizona.

3
4 Ms. Boelitz was asked if SE as the parent and its various subsidiaries observe
5 corporate formalities? Ms. Boelitz lied when she said they do. According to Schneider
6 Electric, “We are one integrated company. We are the most local of global companies.
7
8 Out multi-hub approach is a key element to offer improved resiliency, agility, and
9 proximity to our customers and suppliers.” *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35
10 (9th Cir.), Doc. 32, page 22.

11
12 Ms. Boelitz was asked if Annette Clayton is the CEO of Schneider Electric
13 U.S.A. Ms. Boelitz answered in the affirmative. But Annette Clayton is also listed as
14 an executive leader of North America on SE’s website. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*,
15 No. 24-35 (9th Cir.), Doc. 32, page 21.

16
17 Ms. Boelitz purports to not being an employee of Schneider Electric SE, and she
18 explains that she is an employee of Schneider Electric U.S.A., Inc. When Arbit asked
19 Ms. Boelitz if Schneider Electric U.S.A., Inc. has a website, Ms. Boelitz answered that
20 there are many domain names managed by various subsidiaries across the Schneider
21 Electric family, and she does not know if Schneider Electric U.S.A., Inc. has its own
22 individual domain name. When Arbit followed up by asking if Ms. Boelitz has an
23 email address, Ms. Boelitz answered in the affirmative. When Arbit inquired what the
24 domain of said email address is, Ms. Boelitz answered, “SE.com.”
25
26
27
28

1 Based on the exchange in the previous paragraph, it is clear that Ms. Boelitz as
2 being evasive at first and that she works for Schneider Electric SE. To put it another
3 way, Schneider Electric U.S.A. is a shell corporation, and Ms. Boelitz interests extend
4 to Schneider Electric SE. Ms. Boelitz uses the same domain and trademarks as
5 Schneider Electric SE. Ms. Boelitz uses the same domain and trademarks as
6 Schneider Electric SE.

7
8 When Ms. Boeltiz was shown a webpage from se.com, it had the same address
9 as the Schneider Electric SE's address that Ms. Boelitz had previously read as the
10 address for Schneider Electric SE according to an exhibit introduced by the defendant.
11

12 Ms. Boeltiz was shown another page from se.com, one that included products
13 and services, and Ms. Boelitz was asked if this website looked like it belonged to a
14 holding company. Ms. Boelitz answered in the negative. Either Ms. Boelitz was lying
15 at first or she was prepped by the Defendant's counsel to lie. As a highly educated
16 women, in a senior position, Ms. Boelitz probably knew the truth and agreed to
17 misrepresent the truth until she broke on the cross-examination and decided to be
18 truthful.
19
20

21 However, on redirect, Ms. Boelitz continued the lie that Schneider Electric SE is
22 a holding company, and that Schneider Electric has nothing to do with products being
23 sold in the U.S. market. Ms. Boelitz continued to claim that Schneider Electric only
24 has two employees and that they occupy only one office in France.
25
26
27
28

1 On November 27, 2023, Judge Steven P. Logan granted Defendant’s Motion to
2 Dismiss for Improper Service, Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, and Improper Venue. The
3 Plaintiff, who’s character was recently fraudulently and maliciously besmirched was to
4 take nothing.
5

6 **4. Trademark Appeal (fraud)**

7
8 In furtherance of the overarching scheme to defraud Arbit out of all income and
9 property and neutralize him as a competitor, wire and mail fraud was committed in the
10 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals case *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th
11 Cir.).
12

13 On 01/18/2024, the Ninth Circuit court referred the matter back to the district
14 court for the limited purpose of determining if in forma pauperis status should continue
15 for this appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad faith. *Arbit v.*
16 *Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 3.
17

18 On 03/13/2024, the Ninth Circuit received the District Court’s order/notice
19 electing *not to* revoke in forma pauperis status. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No.
20 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 11.
21

22 **a. Summary Affirmation**

23
24 On 03/28/2024, Defendant filed a Motion for Summary Affirmation, along with
25 an Excerpts of Record. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 15.
26 This motion misrepresented the facts of the case. The Motion was e-filed 03/38/2024.
27
28

1 The motion was emailed to Arbit by Virginia Weeks, using
2 virginia.weeks@wolfgreenfield.com. Ms. Weeks sent the email to
3 stan@securepower.com and stanarbit@gmail.com on 03/28/2024 at 2:13 PM. The
4 email contained: Defendant-Appellee Schneider Electric SE's Motion for Summary
5 Affirmance and for Stay of the Briefing Schedule (DktEntry 15.1); Corporate
6 Disclosure Statement(DktEntry 15.2); and Excerpts of the Record (Volume 1 of 1)
7 (DktEntry 16.1). Defendant also mailed the documents to Arbit on 03/28/24, and Arbit
8 received the package in the mail on 04/08/24. The Summary Affirmation was mailed to
9 Arbit at 440 N. Barranca Ave. 7377 from Wolf Greenfield, 600 Atlantic Avenue,
10 Boston MA 02210. The mailing of the reply constitutes one count of mail fraud. This
11 motion adds three more counts of wire fraud for the two emails and e-file and another
12 count of mail fraud to the ASS's pattern of fraud that affects interstate commerce.
13
14
15
16

17 In the Motion for Summary Affirmance, the most egregious falsehood stated by
18 the ASS legal representative, Mr. Rader, is that the appeal is frivolous. Mr. Rader,
19 stated that appeal is frivolous three times in his motion for summary affirmance. First
20 of all, Mr. Rader knows that the appeal is not frivolous, because Arbit owns the
21 trademark. Mr. Rader knows that Arbit owns the trademark because that it is public
22 information that he himself presented in court. A simple internet search would be
23 sufficient to find infringement of Arbit's trademark by his client, Schneider Electric,
24 and with two law firms and four lawyers of record, plus the undisclosed legal staff
25
26
27
28

1 employed by Mr. Zuckerberg to assist in this case—Mr. Rader was clearly aware of
2 this fact.

3
4 Additionally, the record clearly reflects the fact this appeal is not frivolous. On
5 03/13/2024, the Ninth Circuit received the District Court’s order/notice electing *not to*
6 revoke in forma pauperis status. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.)
7 Doc. 11. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27,
8 2023) Doc. 73.

9
10 Appellant states in his Opening Brief this appeal is for malicious trademark
11 infringement. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 12, p. 11.
12 Appellee’s Motion for Summary Affirmation falsely states Appellant’s opening brief is
13 insubstantial “...from the face of appellant’s brief.” *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No.
14 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 15, p. 1. A malicious trademark infringement case cannot be
15 categorized as insubstantial by any lawyer not suffering from a medical condition—
16 and certainly not by two law firms with four lawyers of record on this case—and the
17 undisclosed legal team employed by Zuckerberg.

18
19 Appellant cited enough case law in his opening brief to show that, at the very
20 least, general personal jurisdiction is an ambiguous and underdeveloped concept—far
21 from being “obviously controlled by precedent.” Mr. Rader, and the ASS legal team,
22 attempted to short-circuit the appeal process by purposefully committing fraud.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 The motion continues the pattern of fraud by stating that the testimony at the
2 hearing established that Schneider Electric SE has no contacts with Arizona and does
3 not dominate its or control its so-called subsidiaries. However, section of (III)(1)(C) of
4 this complaint provides facts and links to show that this is false. *Arbit v. Schneider*
5 *Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 32, provides additional facts and arguments as to
6 why Mr. Rader’s claims are false.
7
8

9 Mr. Rader falsely refers to his witness as “in-house counsel from a Schneider
10 Electric SE subsidiary.” Mr. Rader’s statement is inaccurate. The witness’s name is Ms.
11 Boelitz and she represents Schneider Electric SE.
12

13 Mr. Rader continues to lie by saying that Arbit concedes that Schneider Electric
14 SE is a foreign company registered and located in France that has only employees.
15 This is lying by omission because Arbit assumes these points for the sake of the
16 argument but very clearly states in the opening brief that he believes they are false:
17

18 Defendant, Schneider Electric SE, did indeed contend that Schneider
19 Electric SE is a French holding company, operated by two people in France. While
20 the plaintiff, a former sales representative for Schneider Electric SE disputed these
21 facts and continues to dispute the fact that Schneider Electric SE has no contact
22 with the forum state—we can assume that they do not—as claimed by the
23 defendant in the originating district court case and reflected in the final order
(ER-1–11) that is being appealed here.

24 - *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 12

25 Mr. Rader knows that Schneider Electric SE is not a holding company and it has
26 several orders of magnitude more employees than two (150,000). Mr. Rader also
27
28

1 knows that Schneider Electric SE has more than one office. Mr. Rader is also acutely
2 aware that Schneider Electric SE has sales representatives, partners, and agents who
3 sell equipment made by and promoted on Schneider Electric SE’s website. Yet, Mr.
4 Rader asserts the opposite of this positions.
5

6 Mr. Rader also knows that Schneider Electric SE’s subsidiaries are merely shell
7 companies and do not observe corporate formalities—they don’t even have their own
8 website. As previously mentioned, Schneider Electric SE considers itself one
9 integrated company: “We are a **people company** with an ecosystem of 150,000
10 colleagues and more than a million partners operating in over 100 countries to ensure
11 proximity to our customers and stakeholders ([https://www.se.com/us/en/about-us/
12 company-profile](https://www.se.com/us/en/about-us/company-profile)); “ We are one integrated company. We are the most local of global
13 companies. Our multi-hub approach is a key element to offer improved resiliency,
14 agility, and proximity to our customers and suppliers.” *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*,
15 No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 32, p. 22.
16
17
18
19

20 In § 2, of the Appellee’s Motion for Summary Affirmance, Mr. Rader claims that
21 Schneider Electric has not had continuous and systematic contact with Arizona. This is
22 false. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 32.
23

24 On Page 9, Mr. Rader states Schneider Electric SE does not dominate or control
25 the operations of its subsidiaries. This is false. The subsidiaries exist to be shell
26 corporation for the purpose of tax evasion and litigation mitigation. As previously
27
28

1 stated and further explained in Arbit’s appeal, Schneider Electric SE is one single
2 enterprise, commonly referred to as Schneider Electric. It owns other well-known
3 product brands, such as APC and Square D. Its website is se.com. It is a mature
4 business that can trace its roots back 170 years. All product brands and shell companies
5 operate under the control of the flagship brand, Schneider Electric. Even Schneider
6 Electric considers itself one integrated company according to its literature and website.
7 Moreover, its website offers no information about its purported 400 subsidiaries that
8 allegedly are not under control of the ultimate parent company, Schneider Electric.
9 *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 32.

13 In § 3, Rader claims that Schneider Electric SE is not a defendant located in the
14 United States. As previously stated. This is false.

16 Mr. Rader continues to maliciously lie when he states that Arbit has filed several
17 frivolous motion. In classic Zuckerberg style—delay, lie, obstruct, and gaslight—Mr.
18 Rader filed a frivolous motion filled with lies and a request for additional time (the
19 Motion for Summary Affirmance), and falsely claims that Arbit has filed frivolous
20 motions.
21

23 One of the motions Mr. Rader mentions is a subpoena for Microsoft for email
24 records of senior executives of Schneider Electric subsidiaries. First, they are not
25 subsidiaries. The request was for the domain se.com. As previously stated, that is the
26 defendant’s domain. What Mr. Rader claims are independent subsidiaries, which they
27
28

1 are not, also use the se.com domain—because they are not independent subsidiaries.

2 Second, Mr. Rader is an ASS co-conspirator and is aware that Schneider Electric

3 officials are involved in threats, stalking, and harassment of Plaintiff—Arbit.

4 Schneider Electric uses Microsoft email servers.

5
6 Another motion that Mr. Rader refers to as frivolous, in his frivolous and
7 fraudulent Motion for Summary Affirmation, is a motion for default judgment. Once
8 again, Mr. Rader was a co-conspirator in the delayed arrival of the Motion for Dismissal
9 and knows that Arbit didn't receive it until after Arbit filed a motion for default
10 judgement.
11
12

13 Mr. Rader mentions the TRO application filed in the district case, as if he was
14 innocent and has no knowledge of what Arbit is referring to. This is fraud, and the
15 criminal harassment serves to gaslight Arbit and defame him in this Trademark
16 Infringement case.
17

18 In classic Zuckerberg style, Mr. Rader pretends that his client is the victim of
19 harassment by way of abuse of the legal system. This is of course and an outlandish
20 lie. Mr. Rader is a co-conspirator and an active participant in the extensive and daily
21 stalking and harassment of Arbit designed to terrorize and defraud Arbit of the all
22 income and property and legal recourse such as the equitable disgorgement Arbit is
23 entitled to in the Trademark Infringement case for which Mr. Rader is an attorney of
24 record.
25
26
27
28

1 The Motion for Summary Affirmance was denied, as Mr. Rader and the ASS
2 expected it be, but Mr. Rader was given an extension to file an answer, and like he and
3 his team did in the district court, they were able to paint Arbit as an unworthy litigant
4 and themselves as the victims, all while continuing to agitate and gaslight Arbit
5 (classic Zuckerberg). Arbit objected to the extension in his response to the Motion for
6 Summary Affirmance and in Arbit’s Motion for Reconsideration of a clerk’s order
7 granting an extension.
8

9
10 **b. Reply to Response to Motion for Summary Disposition**
11

12 Mr. Rader is misrepresenting the facts when he says that this appeal
13 insubstantial in his Reply. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 20.
14 As Arbit’s response points out, Mr. Rader fails to explain why a trademark case, which
15 is worth millions of dollars, is insubstantial. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35
16 (9th Cir.) Doc. 18, p. 2. Clearly Mr. Rader understands this and is lying.
17

18 The Opening Brief was filed almost a month prior to Mr. Rader’s reply to
19 Response to Motion for Summary Disposition. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No.
20 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 12, 20. In the opening Brief Arbit explains why Bauman is ripe
21 for overturning. When Mr. Rader says that the appeal is obviously controlled by
22 precedent he fails to address the points made in the Opening Brief.
23

24 Mr. Rader was made aware of the facts of this case. Paragraph xx. Mr. Rader
25 knows that the facts differ substantially from the facts that were presented in *Daimler*
26
27
28

1 *AG v. Bauman*, 571 U.S. 117, 141 (2014). Arbit also explicitly states that the there is a
2 different set of facts in this case, compared to the *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, making this
3 appeal not a case that is obviously controlled by precedent. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric*
4 *SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 12.

6 Mr. Rader commits fraud when he again says that Arbit’s appeal is frivolous.
7 This appeal is not frivolous and that is a fact. On 03/13/2024, the Ninth Circuit
8 received the District Court’s order/notice electing *not to* revoke in forma pauperis
9 status. *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 11.

12 Mr. Rader commits fraud when he implies that Appellee’s allegations of
13 “various unrelated offenses, including tax evasion, hacking electronic devices,
14 engaging in psychological warfare, slander, bribing judicial officers and police
15 officers, and stalking” are false. Mr. Rader, Mr. Zuckerberg, and Mr. Musk, along with
16 their crime partners are indeed guilty of the these offenses.

19 Mr. Rader commits fraud when he accuses Arbit of abusing the legal system.
20 Arbit’s trademark case is a legitimate trademark infringement case where Arbit’s
21 registered trademark is being used by the Defendant, Schneider Electric SE, Mr.
22 Rader’s client.

24 This reply was e-filed on 04/11/24 for one count of wire fraud. This reply was
25 emailed to Arbit at stan@securepower.io and stanarbit@gmail.com from
26 ashley@lohr@wolfgreenfield.com on 04/11/2024 at 1:51 PM. The email also had an
27

1 extension of time to file an answering brief request attached to it. The email serves as
2 two counts of wire fraud. The reply was mailed to Arbit at 440 N. Barranca Ave. 7377
3 from Wolf Greenfield, 600 Atlantic Avenue, Boston MA 02210. The mailing of the
4 reply constitutes one count of mail fraud.
5

6 **c. Appellee Schneider Electric SE'S Opposition to Plaintiff-**
7 **Appellant's Motion for Reconsideration**
8

9 Mr. Rader committed fraud when claimed that Arbit is abusing the legal system
10 or that his motions are frivolous.
11

12 Because of the ASS's tendency to weaponize willful incompetence, it seems
13 more likely than not that Mr. Rader and his legal team of illegal actors, is purposely
14 confusing the terms summary affirmance and summary disposition. A summary
15 disposition automatically stays the briefing schedule but a summary affirmance does
16 not. Mr. Rader cites no legal authority to dispute this fact.
17

18 In classic Zuckerberg fashion (lie, delay, obstruct and gaslight), Mr. Rader
19 attempted to delay the proceedings until the summary affirmance was ruled on.
20

21 This fraud also attempted to "sanction by taxing Appellant" and is a continuation
22 of the ASS's goal of depriving Arbit out of all income, property, and legal recourse,
23 including the equitable disgorgement he is entitled to in the Trademark Infringement
24 case that is the subject of this appeal.
25
26
27
28

1 This document was email to Arbit at stan@securepower.io and
2 stanarbit@gmail.com by ashley.lohr@wolfgreenfield.com on 04/23/2024 at 7:58 AM,
3
4 for the two counts of wire fraud. An additional count of wire fraud occurred on
5 4/23/2024 when this document was filed at docket entry 26.1 in *Arbit v. Schneider*
6 *Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.). This document was sent to Arbit at 440 N. Barranca
7 Ave. #7377 from 600 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, MA 02210. It was received on May 1,
8 2024.
9

10 **d. Appellee Schneider Electric SE’s Answering Brief**
11 (Mail and Wire Fraud)

12 The fraud contained in this document can be subdivided into two buckets—
13 fraud that stems from the district court case and fraud that is new to this appeal
14 document. Each fraudulent assertion can be further subdivided into false statements
15 and fraud by omission. The purpose of the fraud contained in this document is to deny
16 Arbit the legal recourse he is entitled to for the infringement of his registered
17 trademark. The fraud also serves the overarching ASS goals of entertaining the ASS
18 members and depriving Arbit of all income and property.
19
20
21

22 The appeal makes a multi-pronged argument that Mr. Rader reduced to elements
23 that only fit his false narrative. The main themes of this section are: (1) Arbit presented
24 evidence in the district court that contradicted Schneider Electric’s claims and
25 continues to challenge the facts asserted by Schneider Electric; (2) Schneider Electric’s
26 claims in the district are misleading or outright lies; (3) Even if we assume Schneider
27
28

1 Electric's fraudulent assertions to be true, the case should still be remanded back to the
2 district court to avoid a miscarriage of justice; and (4) Mr. Rader, a top-ranked
3 attorney, is aware of the complexities in this case but leverages willful incompetence—
4 a tactic often deployed by Mr. Rader's co-conspirator, Mark Elliot Zuckerberg. [https://
5 web.archive.org/web/20240304171155/https://wolfgreenfield.com/professionals/rader-
6 michael-n.](https://web.archive.org/web/20240304171155/https://wolfgreenfield.com/professionals/rader-michael-n)
7
8

9 **Page 1**

10 Mr. Rader starts to lie on page 1 of *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35
11 (9th Cir.) Doc. 29. Mr. Rader says that the lawsuit is meritless but that is false. Mr.
12 Rader falsely states that Schneider Electric SE, a company that does business in
13 Arizona, is not subject to personal jurisdiction in Arizona.
14

15 Mr. Rader implied that Schneider Electric SE has legal protections against
16 Arbit's trademark, but this is false. Schneider Electric SE is sophisticated multination
17 corporation with over 35 billion Euros in revenue per year, and it protects its portfolio
18 of trademarks with the trademark symbol and the registered trademark symbol. Neither
19 symbols were ever used for the mark that Schneider Electric uses to infringe on Arbit's
20 registered mark with a similar mark.
21
22

23 Mr. Rader claims that Arbit's trademark application was improper but that
24 unsupported statement is false. Furthermore, Schneider Electric SE and the ASS agents
25 working in concert with Schneider Electric SE were aware that the trademark
26
27
28

1 application occurred and was published because Schneider Electric SE and the group
2 of criminals working in concert with Schneider Electric SE had illegally hacked Arbit's
3 computer and were actively monitoring Arbit's digital activities. Schneider Electric
4 never filed an opposition to the published trademark with the United States Patent and
5 Trademark Office®.
6

7
8 Mr. Rader fraudulently claims that Schneider Electric SE is not subject to
9 personal jurisdiction in Arizona. This is false because Schneider Electric SE has for
10 many years sustained continuous, systematic, and substantial business activities, and
11 evidence of this was provided by Arbit in the district court. As Arbit explained in the
12 Opening Brief and the Reply, that even if we accept, for the sake of the argument, that
13 Schneider Electric SE's claim that only the subsidiaries of the Schneider Electric SE
14 operate in Arizona, Mr. Rader's argument still fails because the subsidiaries are alter
15 egos of Schneider Electric SE. Evidence was presented in the district court to support
16 the alter ego argument in Arbit's opposition to Schneider Electric SE's motion to
17 dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and at the evidentiary hearing regarding jurisdiction.
18
19
20

21 Mr. Rader lied when he said that Schneider Electric SE has no relationship with
22 Arizona. Mr. Rader falsely attributes its Arizona actives to subsidiaries that exist for
23 the sole purpose of evading tax and legal liability.
24

25 Mr. Rader says that Schneider Electric SE does not have offices in Arizona. But
26 it does have showrooms that are operated by its manufacturer's representative, LDP
27
28

1 Associates. It also has employees who work from home. While the employees claim
2 they work for so-called subsidiaries, they can't name one fact that differentiates any of
3 the subsidiaries between the parent corporation. They all share the se.com domain for
4 product listings and email. They share the same logos and common name, Schneider
5 Electric. Revenue is passed directly to the parent. Even Schneider Electric claims that
6 it is "One integrated company. We are the most local of global companies. Our multi-
7 hub approach is a key element to offer improved resiliency, agility and proximity to
8 our customers and suppliers." ER-46
9
10

11
12 Mr. Rader fraudulently claims that Schneider Electric SE does not dominate or
13 control its subsidiaries. This false statement is based on the false statement provided by
14 Ms. Boelitz. Both Mr. Rader and Ms. Boelitz knew that this was a false statement. SE
15 does dominate and control its subsidiaries to the extreme point where even long term
16 employees do not know the difference between the parent and the subsidiaries and long
17 term sales representatives, such as Arbit, believed they were selling for the French
18 company Schneider Electric (SE), and had no knowledge of the subsidiaries prior to
19 the filing of the complaint in the district court. If the subsidiaries do indeed exist they
20 exist, they are purposely hidden from the public while Schneider Electric presents
21 itself as one integrated multinational corporation.
22
23
24

25 Mr. Rader states the court correctly found that Schneider Electric SE is not at "at
26 home" in Arizona for general personal jurisdiction, but this a lie. As the evidence in the
27
28

1 district court showed, Schneider Electric affiliates make and execute marketing plans
2 to sell products found on Schneider Electric SE's website, se.com. Mr. Rader was
3 aware that he was lying and he did so to defraud Arbit out of legal recourse he is
4 entitled to for the infringement of his registered trademark.
5

6 Mr. Rader states district court correctly found that Arbit failed to establish any of
7 the three purposeful availment factors for specific personal jurisdiction. This is
8 misleading. Evidence was presented in the district court that showed Schneider
9 Electric purposely directed its activists to the forum states (directly or through its
10 affiliates); Arbit's claims arise out of the activities related to Schneider Electrics
11 products sold in the forum state; and it would be reasonable for Schneider Electric to
12 face litigation for said complaints in the forum.
13
14

15 Mr. Rader states the states district court correctly found that Arbit failed to
16 establish an agency relationship between Schneider Electric SE and its subsidiaries,
17 which would in any event be irrelevant to general personal jurisdiction as a matter of
18 law. This is false on both points. General jurisdiction is not ruled by an agency theory
19 and Schneider Electric has agents in Arizona. The agents are either direct employees of
20 Schneider Electric SE, the French company, or they are agents of the alter egos that
21 Mr. Rader refers to as subsidiaries.
22
23
24

25 **Page 2**
26
27
28

1 Mr. Rader says that Arbit concedes to the district court's factual findings. This
2 categorically false. The appeal is made de novo, and Arbit states so in his opening brief
3 and cites case law that requires that appealed personal jurisdiction ruling to be
4 reviewed de novo. When Arbit said in his opening brief that we can use the facts used
5 by the Honorable Steven P. Logan, he was referring to the facts presented in the
6 excerpts of record. Some of the facts presented were used by Mr. Rader, but Mr. Rader
7 purposely did not include all of the facts. Mr. Rader, as a shareholder at his firm, who
8 has been practicing law since 1997 must be aware of the nature of de novo reviews.
9 Since willful incompetence is a favorite technique of Mr. Rader and the criminal gang,
10 ASS, he does not deserve the benefit of the doubt. In Arbit's Statement of the Case he
11 is summarizing the statements presented by both sides, and Arbit even explicitly states
12 that he disputed the facts alleged by Schneider Electric and continues to dispute the
13 facts alleged by Schneider Electric. Even if we accept Mr. Rader's proposed facts, they
14 are incomplete and need to be presented with all of the facts—which Mr. Rader does
15 not because it would hurt his case.
16
17
18
19
20

21 Mr. Rader knows that even if we are to assume Ms. Boelitz fraudulent
22 statements to be true, for the sake of the argument, Schneider Electric would still be
23 subject to jurisdiction under the alter ego and agency doctrines that allow for equitable
24 relief in situations where a company, such as Schneider Electric, is purposely
25
26
27
28

1 manipulating corporate structures to gain unintended and unfair advantages at the
2 expense of other market participants.

3
4 Mr. Rader states *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 571 U.S. 117 (2014), held that a
5 subsidiary's presence in a forum does not give rise to general personal jurisdiction over
6 its parent company. But this is misleading because it also does not rule out the
7 possibility that a subsidiary's contact with a forum can be used as a basis for general
8 jurisdiction in situations where the subsidiary is dominated by the parent. Mr. Rader, as
9 an accomplished and highly ranked attorney, is more likely than not, fully aware of
10 this fact, but chose to cherry pick the Supreme Court's opinion, much like he cherry
11 picks facts, to favor his client— Schneider Electric SE, a foreign (and hostile)
12 company. This is fraud.

13
14
15
16 Mr. Rader even lies about filing the Excerpts of Record by falsely claiming that
17 the “The parties filed Excerpts of Record at ECF Nos. 13 and 15.3.” Schneider Electric
18 SE had nothing to do with Arbit's Excerpts of Record and Mr. Rader attempted to file
19 an excerpts of record with a motion which was labeled as defective (much like Mr.
20 Rader's ethics) and attached as exhibits.

21
22
23 Mr. Rader mentions the agency theory brought up by Arbit fails to mention that
24 Arbit incorporated elements of the Alter Ego doctrine in the opening brief. On page 11
25 of Arbit's opening brief, Arbit states, “the subsidiary appears to be just a bacon of a
26 company that doesn't have its own website, and was unknown to the plaintiff (ER-22),
27
28

1 an indirect field sales engineer for the products sold on the parent company's website
2 (se.com), and later an owner of a company that sold said products and a competitor to
3 the defendant. This argument was originally mentioned in Arbit's Opposition to
4 Motion to Dismiss and incorporated in Arbit's closing arguments at the jurisdiction
5 hearing.
6

7
8 **Page 4**

9 ~~On Page 4, of *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir.) Doc. 29, Mr.~~
10 Rader states that his witness was in-house counsel from a Schneider Electric SE
11 subsidiary. This is a false statement meant to give credence to the false idea that
12 Schneider Electric SE has formal subsidiaries that operate independently of the
13 ultimate parent, Schneider Electric SE.
14

15
16 ~~On page 4, Mr. Rader classifies the court's order to dismiss as thorough and~~
17 well-reasoned, but Mr. Rader knows that Schneider Electric should be subject to
18 personal jurisdiction in Arizona, and evidence for subjecting Schneider Electric to
19 jurisdiction in Arizona was presented in Arbit's response in opposition to Schneider
20 Electric's motion to dismiss and at the evidentiary hearing regarding jurisdiction.
21

22
23 Mr. Rader states that Arbit concedes that Schneider Electric SE is a foreign
24 company located in France with only two employees, but this is not true. Arbit did not
25 concede this point nor is it a fact that reflects reality. This falsehood is based on a
26 perjurious statement made by Schneider Electric SE's witness, Ms. Boelitz. Arbit
27
28

1 explicitly stated, in his Opening Brief that he opposes this lie. Exhibits from Schneider
2 Electric's website were entered into evidence that directly contradict this false
3 narrative. Moreover, it is a well known that fact that Schneider Electric SE is not a
4 company with only two employees that only operates in France. Schneider Electric SE
5 is a 170-year-old multinational corporation with over 135,000 employees, operating in
6 100+ countries as one integrated company. ER-46 (Exhibit 3).
7

8
9 ~~In § 2, when Mr. Rader states that Arbit concedes that Schneider Electric SE is a~~
10 ~~foreign company in located in France with only two employees, Mr. Rader is not~~
11 ~~telling the whole truth. Arbit conceded the point for the sake of the argument but not as~~
12 ~~the truth that reflects reality. Schneider Electric SE has about 150,000 employees~~
13 ~~(paragraph XX).~~
14

15
16 To account for the clear evidence that Schneider Electric is doing business in
17 Arizona, Mr. Rader admits that Schneider Electric SE has subsidiaries that do business
18 in Arizona. However, none of the witnesses could distinguish any differences between
19 the various so-called subsidiaries and the parent company.
20

21 Mr. Rader says that Schneider Electric only has one office. This is false.
22 Schneider Electric has many offices including locations in Phoenix. One location is
23 listed on its website and other locations include offices of its alter egos. It also has
24 representatives in Arizona who work from home in Arizona.
25
26
27
28

1 Mr. Rader lies when he says Schneider Electric SE has no connection at all to
2 Arizona. To say that Schneider Electric SE is not registered to do business in Arizona
3 is a misleading statement because they should be registered to do business in Arizona,
4 because they do business in Arizona,
5 because they do business in Arizona.

6 In § 2, Mr. Rader misrepresents the truth when he states that Schneider Electric
7 SE “sells no products in Arizona, has no offices or other real estate in Arizona, has no
8 telephone lines, sales representatives, or bank accounts in Arizona, and executes no
9 contracts in Arizona.”
10 contracts in Arizona.”

11 In. § 2 Mr. Rader, states that it is undisputed testimony that Schneider Electric
12 SE and its subsidiaries observe corporate formalities and Schneider Electric SE does
13 not dominate or control the operations of its subsidiaries. This is categorically false,
14 and evidence disputing this was presented to the district court. The subsidiaries are
15 dominated by the parent that their existence can only be attributed to intent to
16 manipulate the legal concept of a company beyond its intended purpose. Schneider
17 Electric did not back up this conclusory and perjurious statement with any facts.
18
19
20

21 **Page 5**

22 Mr. Rader states that Schneider Electric SE is neither incorporated nor
23 headquartered in Arizona, and therefore is not a resident of Arizona. But this is just
24 smoke and mirrors. Schneider Electric SE conducts its business in Arizona as
25 Schneider Electric—one integrated global company—but when it is sued it claims that
26
27
28

1 it has subsidiaries in the forum who are completely independent. This is false. But
2 even if grant Schneider Electric’s contention that it has legitimate subsidiaries in the
3 forum, Schneider Electric’s argument still fails because the subsidiaries are alter egos
4 of the parent. And the subsidiaries have a nexus in Arizona. Mr. Rader and his
5 witnesses did not present any other principal place of business.
6

7
8 Mr. Rader says that Arbit did not provide any evidence to support general
9 personal jurisdiction, but this a false statement. Arbit and other witnesses did provide
10 facts that support Arizona as a principal place of business and that Schneider Electric is
11 essentially at home in Arizona because it has management level employees who make
12 and execute marketing plans to sell products found on Schneider Electric SE’s website.
13

14
15 General jurisdiction was also supported by Arbit’s declaration in opposition to
16 defendant’s motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Specifically, Arbit said that
17 Schneider Electric has “sustained substantial, continuous, and systematic operations in
18 the State of Arizona and jurisdiction would not offend traditional notions of fair
19 justice.” Arbit’s declaration also stated, “Schneider Electric SE is also known by its
20 other brands...and aliases, but it is one global company.”
21

22
23 Screenshots taken from Schneider Electric SE’s websites also support general
24 and specific personal jurisdiction based on Schneider Electric’s activity.
25
26
27
28

1 Mr. Rader also falsely claims that Arbit did not establish the “Calder factors” for
2 purposeful availment, but Arbit showed that Schneider Electric SE intentional actions
3 involving expressly aiming marketing efforts at Arizona, while knowing that Arbit, a
4 resident of Arizona would be injured from their actions—thereby satisfying the calder
5 factors.
6

7
8 Mr. Rader claims that Arbit did not provide evidence that Schneider Electric
9 SE’s subsidiaries acted as agents of the parent company, and Mr. Rader claims that
10 Arbit does not challenge any of the district court’s factual findings. But his a false
11 statement. Arbit did present evidence agency and did challenge the the district court’s
12 findings. Arbit also argued that even if we accept Mr. Rader’s fiction, the case should
13 still be remanded to avoid a miscarriage of justice. Arbit explicitly stated his objection
14 to the district court’s findings and in the argument section he described the situation
15 specific to this case where the so-called subsidiaries were mere corporate stooges of
16 the parent company.
17

18
19
20 Mr. Rader is at times echoing the district court’s findings on page 5, but even we
21 assume, for the sake of the argument, that the district court judge was not complicit in
22 the conspiracy to defraud Arbit out of the legal recourse he is entitled to for the
23 infringement of his registered trademark, the fraud previously outlined in regards to
24 this court case undoubtedly led to the district court’s erroneous and prejudicial
25 decision. Mr. Rader’s propagation of said frauds is in itself fraud.
26
27
28

1 **Page 6**

2 Mr. Rader cites *Yu v. Idaho State Univ.*, 15 F.4th 1236, 1241 (9th Cir. 2021) as
3 the case law to support a lower courts’s findings of fact as “significantly deferential.”
4 However, as the cited case explains, this is after a bench trial and not an evidentiary
5 hearing regarding jurisdiction, and certainly not for one that was scheduled three
6 weeks from the date of the order and lacked any discovery.
7

8
9 Mr. Rader cites the following case but fails to mention that the cited appeal
10 involved the amount of the damages and not the damages themselves. *Pac. Nat’l Bank*
11 *v. Derderian*, 872 F.2d 281, 282 n.1 (9th Cir. 1989) (“On appeal, Derderian does not
12 challenge the court’s finding of liability...Thus, the court’s findings of fact are
13 established for the purposes of this appeal.”). The elipses were added by Mr. Rader to
14 remove the key words, “or the imposition of damages. He only challenges the amount
15 of damages.” Mr. Rader uses this false quote to support his proposed standard of
16 review that unchallenged district court’s findings should be accepted as correct.
17
18
19

20 On page 6, Mr. Rader introduces his argument for lack of personal jurisdiction
21 and states that Schneider Electric SE has no contact with Arizona; personal jurisdiction
22 cannot be imputed from is subsidiaries its subsidiaries; imputing subsidiary activities
23 for general personal jurisdiction would contradict *Daimler*; This is all false. Mr. Rader
24 continues to lie by stating that while an agency relationship could theoretically be
25
26
27
28

1 considered for specific personal jurisdiction, Arbit concedes he failed to establish an
2 agency relationship between Schneider Electric SE and its subsidiaries.

3
4 **Page 7**

5 Mr. Rader states that “Schneider Electric SE is neither incorporated in, nor has a
6 principal place of business (or, indeed, any place of business) in, Arizona.” This is
7 false. The converse is true.
8

9 Mr. Rader says, “Arbit admits that Schneider Electric SE is a European
10 corporation with its sole office in France.” Schneider Electric is French company, but
11 this is not the whole truth. It a multinational company with extensive operations in the
12 U.S., including Arizona. Schneider Electric also has offices outside of its place of
13 incorporation. Arbit concedes the point for the sake of the argument while also
14 challenging it by plainly stating that this is a lie in his opening brief.
15
16

17 Mr. Rader continues to state that Arbit does not contest that Schneider Electric
18 SE lack any connection to Arizona. This is false. Evidence to support Schneider
19 Electric SE’s connection to Arizona was presented in Arbit’s opposition to Schneider
20 Electric SE’s motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and at the evidentiary hearing.
21 Mr. Rader knows that he is committing fraud when he states that Schneider Electric
22 does not have any business activities in Arizona.
23
24

25 Mr. Rader states that Arbit concedes that Schneider Electric SE does not have
26 nay contact with Arizona. But this is not the whole truth because Arbit is making a
27
28

1 multi-pronged argument that both challenges Mr. Rader’s false narrative and argues
2 that that even if its true the case should still be remanded back to the district court.
3

4 **Page 8**

5 Mr. Rader states that “Arbit does not address the alter ego test, let alone suggest
6 it is met here.” This is false. Arbit declared in his opposition to Schneider Electric SE’s
7 motion to dismiss that Schneider Electric SE has other aliases but it is one global
8 company. Arbit reiterated this point in his closing argument at the evidentiary hearing,
9 and Arbit raised the issue in his opening brief when he said that Schneider Electric
10 SE’s so-called subsidiaries appear to be nothing more than “...just a backend of a
11 company that doesn’t have its own website, and was unknown to the plaintiff, an
12 indirect field sales engineer for the products sold on the parent company's website
13 (se.com), and later an owner of a company that sold said products and a competitor to
14 the defendant.” Finally, at the evidentiary hearing evidence to support the alter ego was
15 presented using screenshot of Schneider Electric SE’s own website.
16
17
18
19

20 Mr. Rader continues to lie when he says that “Schneider Electric SE and its
21 various subsidiaries strictly adhere to corporate formalities. ER-125-126. Schneider
22 Electric SE does not dominate or control the operations of its subsidiaries. ER-126.
23 Arbit has never argued, let alone proved, otherwise. The alter ego concept is
24 inapplicable.” This is categorically false. Schneider Electric SE’s subsidiaries are
25 unknown to the public and unknown to long-term employees. If they do exist as active
26
27
28

1 companies, their sole purpose is to mitigate tax and legal liabilities. No evidence was
2 presented that provided any facts to support any distinctness of the so-called
3 subsidiaries and the parent, Schneider Electric SE, and no evidence of independence
4 was presented. On the contrary, the court witnessed evidence that the Schneider
5 Electric family uses the same website, logo, common name and email domain and
6 most telling is that Schneider Electric SE's own website describes itself as one
7 integrated company that spans most of the world. For Mr. Rader to say that Arbit
8 waived the alter ego argument or didn't prove it is an attempt to gaslight, and in the
9 context of this case, it is fraud for the purpose of depriving Arbit the legal recourse he
10 is entitled to for the infringement of his registered trademark.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Mr. Rader claims that Arbit did not offer an agency theory of general personal jurisdiction but that's not true. Mr. Rader even refers to Arbit's agency theory on the next page of his answer.

Mr. Rader claims that *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 571 U.S. 117, 134-35 (2014) expressly rejected the agency theory of general personal jurisdiction, but *Daimler* does not rule of an agency theory for general jurisdiction like Mr. Rader claims. *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 571 U.S. 117, 134-35 (2014) (~~"This Court has not yet addressed whether a foreign corporation may be subjected to a court's general jurisdiction based on the contacts of its in-state subsidiary. Daimler argues, and several Courts of Appeals have held, that a subsidiary's jurisdictional contacts can be imputed to its parent only~~

1 ~~when the former is so dominated by the latter as to be its alter ego~~) “But we need not
2 pass judgment on invocation of an agency theory in the context of general jurisdiction,
3 for in no event can the appeals court’s analysis be sustained.” *Daimler AG v. Bauman*,
4 571 U.S. 117, 135 (2014).

6 Attributing general jurisdiction to company’s principal place of business,
7 established through alter egos, is not ruled out by precedent. *Daimler AG v. Bauman*,
8 571 U.S. 117, 137 (2014) (“*Goodyear* made clear that only a limited set of affiliations
9 with a forum will render a defendant amenable to all-purpose jurisdiction there. “For
10 an individual, the paradigm forum for the exercise of general jurisdiction is the
11 individual’s domicile; for a corporation, it is an equivalent place, one in which the
12 corporation is fairly regarded as at home.””) “*Goodyear* did not hold that a
13 corporation may be subject to general jurisdiction *only* in a forum where it is
14 incorporated or has its principal place of business;” *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 571 U.S.
15 117, 137 (2014). “As we have since explained, “[a] court may assert general
16 jurisdiction over foreign (sister-state or foreign-country) corporations to hear any and
17 all claims against them when their affiliations with the State are so ‘continuous and
18 systematic’ as to render them essentially at home in the forum State” *Daimler AG v.*
19 *Bauman*, 571 U.S. 117, 127 (2014). *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 571 U.S. 117, 138-39
20 (2014) (“Accordingly, the inquiry under *Goodyear* is not whether a foreign
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 corporation's in-forum contacts can be said to be in some sense "continuous and
2 systematic," it is whether that corporation's "affiliations ")

3
4 **Page 9**

5 Mr. Rader states on page 9 that the Supreme Court held, in *Daimler*, that an
6 agency relationship with a subsidiary is insufficient for general personal jurisdiction as
7 a matter of law but this is false. The supreme court rejected a specific agency test
8 based on "importance." But Schneider Electric SE has a substantial, continuous, and
9 systematic presence in Arizona, and is essentially at home in Arizona, making general
10 jurisdiction in Arizona complaint with *Daimler*. Mr. Rader offered no alternative
11 venue.
12
13

14 Mr. Rader says that following *Daimler* this court confirmed its agency theory
15 was voided. Once gain Mr. Rader is not being fair to the facts. *Daimler* rejected the
16 agency approach based on "importance" but it did not reject the concept of agency
17 altogether. We know this because the case Mr. Rader cites explains it. *Williams v.*
18 *Yamaha Motor Co.*, 851 F.3d 1015, 1024 (9th Cir. 2017) ("While the Court reserved
19 judgment on the viability of agency theory as a general concept"). Mr. Rader also cites
20 *Ranza v. Nike, Inc.*, 793 F.3d 1059 (9th Cir. 2015) for the same reason but Mr. Rader is
21 lying again for the same reason as he was wrong in *William* because it is not agency
22 that is question here but a specific form of agency that is based on "importance" that
23 the supreme court rejected.
24
25
26
27
28

1 Mr. Rader's knows that Schneider Electric should be subject to general personal
2 jurisdiction in the United States and that Arbit presented valid evidence and made a
3 legitimate case for general personal jurisdiction in Arizona—a forum where Schneider
4 Electric SE is essentially at home and has sustained substantial, continuous, and
5 systematic affiliations. Mr. Rader did not present any other nexus of operations. The
6 purpose of Mr. Rader's misrepresentations to persuade the courts to deny Arbit the
7 damages he has sustained as a result of his client's willful infringement of a trademark
8 owned by Arbit. Mr. Rader and the criminal enterprise he represents have effectively
9 damaged Arbit, his business, and his property. Mr. Rader and the ASS have used the
10 fraud reflected in Mr. Rader's last clause on page 9 to illegally affect interstate
11 commerce.
12
13
14
15

16 **Page 10**

17 Mr. Rader states that Arbit is asking the court to depart from the Supreme Court
18 and Ninth Circuit precedent by overruling Daimler and declining to follow Ranza and
19 Williams. This is not an accurate account of the facts. Arbit is presenting facts that are
20 different from the facts in Daimler and inviting the court to comment on Daimler in
21 light of the distinguished facts. In Arbit's opening brief he states, "While the facts of
22 Daimler AG V. Bauman and Goodyear V. Brown appear to be somewhat removed from
23 the district courts in which they originated from, the facts in this case, as shown by
24 declaration (ER-19, 21) are much more localized."
25
26
27
28

1 Arbit does not make any arguments regarding importance that was expressly
2 denied in Daimler, Ranza, and Williams. Mr. Rader says that Arbit may not have
3 appreciated that a court of appeal cannot overrule the Supreme Court, but Arbit does
4 not mention the word overrule. Arbit’s contention is that the Daimler case strayed from
5 decades of legal precedent because bad facts make bad law.
6

7
8 Mr. Rader says that the Supreme Court noted the risks to “fairness” that would
9 arise from excreting general personal jurisdiction based on an agency relationship. But
10 fairness is discussed in regards to *International Shoe* and Justices Sotomayor’s dissent
11 in which she explains how the Daimler ruling strays from fairness and the canonical
12 jurisdiction case *International Shoe*. By no means does fairness mean that a foreign
13 multinational corporation can evade litigation in any U.S. court while reaping the
14 benefits of the U.S. market—yet this is exactly what Mr. Rader is arguing for.
15

16
17 Arbit echos Justice Sotomayor’s accurate portrayal of the effects of the Daimler
18 ruling in his opening brief’s summary of the argument, “...allows some foreign
19 entities, who exert great commercial influence, to be beyond the reach of any U.S.
20 judicial forum.” This is no longer a hypothetical but evident in the very case being
21 appealed here. Mr. Rader, as a sophisticated litigator, is cognizant of this unfair reality
22 but chooses to gaslight instead.
23

24
25 When Mr. Rader is referring to the agency relationship he is once again referring
26 to one that is based on importance—an argument not made by Arbit.
27
28

1 Mr. Rader ironically refers to foreseeability but the Supreme Court was not
2 envisioning shamelessly corrupt companies such as Schneider Electric SE
3 manipulating their corporate structure to be as nebulous as possible and then
4 supporting their scheme with clearly fraudulent actions at every opportunity.
5 Regardless, Mr. Rader did not present any principal place of business when the
6 evidence suggests that most of Schneider Electric SE's revenue is generated in the
7 United States of America.
8

9
10 Mr. Rader mentions lack of foreseeability of subjecting corporate defendants to
11 jurisdiction based on unknown subsidiary contacts and he cites *Daimler*. This is not an
12 argument made in *Daimler* and it is not relevant to this case because Schneider Electric
13 SE is not an independent company that happens to own a subsidiary that is operating
14 without the parent's company dominance or control.
15
16

17 To avoid litigation Mr. Rader is relying on agent/alter ego companies that don't
18 even have their own website and are unknown to the market and unknown to industry
19 experts. The agent companies serve as plausible deniability for a defense popularized
20 in the hit song "It Wasn't Me" from the Jamaican-American reggae musician Shaggy.
21 In this metaphor the next-door neighbor is Arizona, Mr. Rader is Shaggy, and
22 Schneider Electric SE is RikRok. This song topped the charts in multiple countries and
23 one of those countries was France. The metaphor is not completely accurate because
24
25
26
27
28

1 the narrator in the song decided that the advice made no sense and he should confess
2 and apologize.

3
4 **Page 11**

5 Mr. Rader states that Arbit failed to demonstrate that the activities of the
6 Schneider Electric SE's subsidiaries would establish general personal jurisdiction. This
7 is false. As Arbit pointed out in the opening brief, Arbit was personally involved in
8 selling Schneider Electric SE's equipment and declared that Schneider Electric SE has
9 sustained, substantial, continuous, and systematic operations in the State of Arizona.
10 Arbit also presented evidence that Arizona is a nerve center, and no other location was
11 presented by either parties to suggest an alternative principal place of business.
12
13

14 Mr. Rader cites *Daimler*, *Ranza*, and *Williams* as contradictions to the idea that a
15 subsidiaries actions can be imputed to the parent, Schneider Electric SE in this case.
16 But as previously explained establishing a principal place of business based not the
17 activities of subsidiaries is not ruled out by the cases cited by Mr. Rader.
18
19

20 Mr. Rader states that Arbit offers no explanation how the activities of the
21 subsidiaries in the case tip the balance in favor of general jurisdiction, but this is false.
22 On page 11 of Arbit's Opening Brief, Arbit argues that in this case, the so-called
23 subsidiary appears to be just backend of a company, that doesn't have its own website,
24 and was unknown to the plaintiff, an indirect field sales engineer for the products sold
25 on the parent company's website, and later an owner of a company that sold said
26
27
28

1 products and a competitor to the defendant. Mr. Rader ignores the statement he claims
2 is absent, while offering a different statement to prove his point. Mr. Rader, a top-tier
3 litigator must have seen the explanation he claims is missing, but Mr. Rader chose to
4 gaslight the court instead—a classic Zuckerberg move.
5

6 **Page 12**
7

8 Mr. Rader states that Arbit’s allegations of Schneider Electric SE being engaged
9 in a dirty war with employees who live, work, cheat, and hunt Americans are “bizarre.”
10 Mr. Rader is a treasonous participant in Schneider Electric SE’s dirty war and his
11 denial of Schneider Electric SE’s nefarious actions is made for the purpose of
12 defrauding Arbit out of legal recourse and equitable damages that Arbit is entitled to.
13

14 Mr. Rader refers to Arbit’s declaration “ER-19, 21” as conspiracy theories. This
15 is categorically fraud. Mr. Rader is referring to Arbit’s account of harassment that
16 involves Mr. Rader himself as a co-conspirator and Arbit’s declaration that Schneider
17 ~~Electric SE does business in Arizona. Neither the harassment or the fact that Schneider~~
18 ~~Electric or its subsidiaries do business in Arizona is a conspiracy.~~ Mr. Rader actually
19 says that Schneider Electric SE subsidiaries doing business in Arizona is a conspiracy
20 theory, but this just advanced gaslighting because he states that subsidiaries of
21 Schneider Electric do business in Arizona on page on page of Schneider Electrics
22 answer in appeal.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Mr. Rader, again, repeats his false statement that Arbit offers no explanation
2 how any particular subsidiary's activities would justify general personal jurisdiction
3 even if attributed to Schneider Electric. But Mr. Rader, who admitted that the
4 subsidiaries are active in Arizona, chooses to ignore Arbit's statement describing how
5 the subsidiaries lack any meaningful structure that is typical of an independent
6 corporation. Specifically, Arbit states the the subsidiary appears to be just backend of a
7 company, that doesn't have its own website, and was unknown to the plaintiff, an
8 indirect field sales engineer for the products sold on the parent company's website, and
9 later an owner of a company that sold said products and a competitor to the defendant.
10 Arbit also referred to declarations that provide facts as to why Schneider Electric
11 should be considered at home in Arizona (e.g., they execute contracts, they have
12 employees living in Arizona who are assigned to manage the Phoenix market, they
13 provide onsite maintenance, and they have partners and resellers serving the Phoenix
14 market).

15
16
17
18
19
20 Mr. Rader repeats the most outlandish and easily debunked fraudulent assertion
21 that Schneider Electric SE is a holding company with approximately 400 subsidiaries
22 around the world. This is fraud. Schneider Electric SE operates as one enterprise, while
23 it may have subsidiaries for various reasons, they are alter egos at most. Evidence to
24 disprove Mr. Raders false claim, that Schneider Electric SE is merely a holding
25 company with 400 subsidiaries, was presented in court. Mr. Rader again quotes
26
27
28

1 Daimler, but Daimler’s agency theory based on importance is not being argued here. In
2 fact Daimler specifically states that attributing general jurisdiction based on the
3 activities of a subsidiary is not ruled out, it’s only ruled out based on importance and
4 based on the facts presented in Daimler it was ruled out to extend to every state where
5 subsidiary has extensive operations. However, this is ripe for overturning, and in this
6 case no other nexus besides Arizona was presented making this case ripe for
7 remanding.
8

9
10 Mr. Rader says that Arbit failed to show any agency relationship between
11 Schneider Electric SE and its subsidiaries. But this is false. What Mr. Rider is not says
12 is that vidence was presented to show that the so-called subsidiaries are alter egos of
13 the parent company, Schneider Electric. Mr. Rader is also not saying he presented
14 fraudulent testimony to distract the Judge from the truth. One example of Mr. Rader’s
15 fraud, is the absurd notion, presented by his witness, Ms. Boelitz that Schneider
16 Electric is a holding company with only two employees who only deal with
17 subsidiaries.
18
19
20

21 Mr. Rader is also not discussing the fraudulent motion he filed less than 45
22 hours prior to hold the evidentiary hearing remotely. This motion included numerous
23 lies about Arbit and painted Arbit as a dangerous person who is mentally ill and
24 because of the danger Arbit presents to the witness and the court, Mr. Rader requested
25 that the hearing should be remote. The judge denied the motion with the explanation
26
27
28

1 that he will request the present of United States Marshals. At the hearing, Mr. Arbit had
2 to two U.S. Marshals standing a few steps behind him who were ready to pounce if
3 needed. This undoubtedly distracted the district court judge.
4

5 Now Mr. Rader is trying to pass off past fraud that he successfully used to deny,
6 or at least postpone, a trademark lawsuit where Mr. Rader knows that his client should
7 lose. Mr. Rader's appeal answer, on behalf of his client and the enterprise ASS is based
8 on fraud and made in furtherance of the conspiracy to defraud Arbit out of all income
9 and property and Arbit's right to defend himself and his property in a court of law.
10
11

12 **Page 13**

13 On page 13, Mr. Rader starts section (I)(B). This section is titled, "The District
14 Court Correctly Found No Specific Personal Jurisdiction, and Arbit Does Not Appeal
15 that Conclusion." While Arbit's appeal is not based on specific personal jurisdiction
16 this is still false because Mr. Rader knows that the court's order was based on, and
17 influenced by, a slew of fraud perpetuated by Schneider Electric SE and its defense
18 counsel.
19
20

21 This is also false because Arbit challenges the facts in the appeal and Schneider
22 Electric's so-called subsidiaries do not have a district identity, and if they do exist in
23 any meaningful way, they are dominated by the parent corporation. Mr. Rader once
24 again cites Daimler and Bollinger, "One may be an agent for some business purposes
25 and not others ..." But even if we assume Mr. Rader's argument is true, then the so-
26
27
28

1 called subsidiary in question is still an agent for the parent because they both sell the
2 same equipment that Arbit sells with his registered trademark. Mr. Rader and the ASS
3 are aware of this fact but instead of accepting Arbit's reasonable settlement offer they
4 chose to commit fraud.

6 Mr. Rader states that Arbit does not contest the findings but this is not entirely
7 true. While Mr. Rader claims that Schneider Electric SE has no contact with the United
8 States, Arbit argued in his opening brief, and presented evidence in the district court,
9 that support subjecting Schneider Electric SE to jurisdiction in the United States, and
10 specifically Arizona.
11

13 Mr. Rader is correct that the appeal is for general jurisdiction but the district
14 court found that Arbit did not satisfy the Calder test *because of the fraud submitted by*
15 *the Mr. Rader.*
16

17 The first prong of the Calder test is transacting business in Arizona or some act
18 by which a defendant purposely avails themselves of the privilege of conducting
19 activities in the forum, thereby invoking the benefits and protections. In this case
20 Schneider Electric SE purposely targets the Arizona forum. This is clearly
21 demonstrated by Exhibit 7 that was entered into the record showing a map of Arizona
22 and Schneider Electric's logo on the top left corner. The product brand, APC is also
23 seen on the page and as multiple witnesses testified, this is a brand owned by
24 Schneider Electric SE. The map shows 30 results for resellers/partners in the Phoenix
25
26
27
28

1 area, including the manufacturer's representative. Additionally, Schneider Electric has
2 acknowledged that it has subsidiaries in the market and we learned at the jurisdiction
3 hearing that they are alter egos with no distinct identity of their own.
4

5 The plaintiff testified that he worked as field sales representative for Schneider
6 Electric SE for many years, and then he started a company that sold Schneider Electric
7 SE and then competed against Schneider Electric SE—throughout all of those years,
8 after millions in sales, he believed he was selling for the French company, Schneider
9 Electric SE.
10

11
12 The second prong, requires that claim arises out of or results from the
13 defendant's forum-related activities. As Arbit stated by declaration, Schneider Electric
14 SE's conduct in Arizona is related to Arbit's complaint. Schneider Electric sells UPSs
15 in Arizona, according to witness testimony provided at the evidentiary hearing.
16 According to Schneider Electric SE's Exhibit '106' Arbit sells UPSs. Arbit states by
17 declaration that he was damaged in Arizona because of Schneider Electric SE conduct.
18
19

20 The third prong is regarding reasonableness. Since Schneider Electric SE has for
21 many years generated revenue in Arizona it follows that it should be reasonable for
22 Schneider Electric SE to be obligated to defend itself in court on actions related to its
23 forum related activities.
24

25 The problem is not with Mr. Rader's intelligence, as much as he would like the
26 court to believe there is, but rather, the problem lies with his ethics.
27
28

1 Mr. Rader states that Daimler left open the possibility of considering agency
2 theory for specific jurisdiction it also did not rule out applying agency theory to
3 general jurisdiction.
4

5 When Mr. Rader says that the district court found that the Arbit failed to carry
6 his burden of showing any agency relationship between Schneider Electric SE and its
7 subsidiaries that operate in Arizona, he is committing fraud. Mr. Rader fails to mention
8 the numerous counts of fraud that Mr. Rader unleashed in this case. Mr. Rader's
9 continues to commit fraud by referring to the fraudulently acquired court order and in
10 furtherance of the conspiracy to defraud Arbit in regards to his trademark.
11
12

13 **Page 14**

14 On page 14, Mr. Rader continues to gaslight on page 14 when he says that Arbit
15 does not challenge any factual findings. He then asks the court to affirm the finding of
16 specific personal jurisdiction even though he knows that Schneider Electric SE should
17 be subject to specific personal jurisdiction and that Arbit demonstrated in the district
18 court that Schneider Electric SE should be subject to specific personal jurisdiction in
19 Arizona.
20
21

22 Mr. Rader states that as the undisputed facts (he's lying, they are disputed) from
23 the evidentiary hearing established (he's lying, they didn't) Schneider Electric does not
24 dominate or control their operations of its subsidiaries (he's lying, they do). This is
25 categorically fraud.
26
27
28

1 Mr. Rader claims that Arbit failed to establish that Schneider Electric SE
2 controlled its so-called subsidiaries. Mr. Rader is lying. In Arbit's declaration
3 submitted with Arbit's opposition to Schneider Electric's motion to dismiss for lack of
4 jurisdiction Arbit stated that Schneider Electric SE is also known by its other brands
5 and aliases, but it is one global company. Exhibit 3 that was entered into evidence is a
6 screen shot of Schneider Electric SE's Annual Report where it describes Schneider
7 Electric SE as "...one integrated company. We are the most local of global of
8 companies. Our Multi-hub approach is a key element to offer improved resiliency,
9 agility and proximity to our customers and suppliers.
10
11
12

13 This exhibit also shows Schneider Electric SE's worldwide revenue. When Ms.
14 Boelitz was asked if she thinks Schneider Electric SE's revenue of 34.2 billion Euros
15 for 2022 specified in Exhibit 3 included the revenue of the so-called subsidiary
16 Schneider Electric SE, Ms. Boelitz answered in the affirmative.
17
18

19 Exhibit 3 does not mention anything about subsidiaries. The record in the
20 jurisdiction hearing reflects the fact that there is no distinction between the various
21 subsidiaries. They use the same logos, domains, and emails. With 75 years of
22 experience among the witnesses none of them could state a single fact that
23 distinguishes any of the purported entities from each, and the witnesses expressed
24 confusion about any alleged differences.
25
26
27
28

1 Mr. Rader's false narrative that Schneider Electric SE is a holding company with
2 two employees and no U.S. contacts is clearly false, and Mr. Rader is was aware of this
3 fact. Even if Schneider Electric SE lied to Mr. Rader, less than a minute of online
4 research would have been required for Mr. Rader, a top-ranked and highly experienced
5 attorney, who was one of five attorneys of record for the defendants, to uncover the
6 truth. Even if Mr. Rader was ignorant of the facts, for whatever reason, he most
7 certainly was made aware of them at the jurisdiction hearing. But he chooses to repeat
8 them even at this level of legal proceedings. Because for Mr. Rader and the ASS,
9 defrauding Arbit is of higher importance, and cheaper, than ethics and the rule of law.
10

11
12
13 Mr. Rader's last line on page 14 is to repeat that Arbit failed to show an agency
14 relationship or dispute the district court's findings. Mr Rader is lying. Mr. Rader is and
15 the ASS are committing fraud. Arbit did explicitly state that he continues to dispute the
16 facts and that Schneider Electric SE is one enterprise.
17

18
19 On page 11, Mr. Rader states that Arbit failed to demonstrate the activities of
20 Schneider Electric SE's subsidiaries would establish general personal jurisdiction. This
21 is false. Evidence was presented that Schneider Electric SE has agents making and
22 executing marketing plans to sell products found Schneider Electric SE's website.
23

24 **Page 15**

25 Mr. Rader claims that the Court should affirm the district's court's dismissal of
26 the case and Arbit admitted that this point is moot by Arbit's own admission. This is
27
28

1 fraud because Arbit did not admit that his point is moot and the court should not affirm
2 the district courts order, and order which was based on fraud. Mr. Rader adds that the
3 defendant is not located in the United States but Schneider Electric SE, the defendant,
4 has extensive affiliations with the United States.
5

6 Exhibit 4 in the district court's jurisdiction hearing shows the email
7 correspondence with Schneider Electric SE's Associate General Counsel, Jennifer
8 Budoff. Ms. Budoff lists NAM Legal Department and Schneider Electric in her
9 signature. Ms. Budoff also has a banner in there email signature that has the Schneider
10 Electric logo and the banner says "World's most sustainable company. Your digital
11 partner for Sustainability and Efficiency. GLOBAL100." Ms. Budoff's email address
12 is a se.com domain, the same as Schneider Electric SE's domain. Schneider Electric
13 USA or Schneider Electric IT are not listed anywhere.
14
15
16

17 The mailing address that was provided by Schneider Electric SE's NAM legal
18 representative was used by Arbit and the United States Marshal Service to request a
19 service waiver.
20

21 Schneider Electric, as Exhibit 3 states, is one integrated company, but even if we
22 accept Mr. Raders story about operating through subsidiaries and that Schneider
23 Electric SE is only a holding company with two employees—the argument still fails
24 because the so-called subsidiaries are, in the most generous regard, simply alter egos,
25 and agents of the parent company, Schneider Electric SE.
26
27
28

1 Schneider Electric SE has sustained substantial, continuous, and systematic
2 operations in the United States and Arizona specifically; to claim the contrary in a
3 Federal District Court to derail a legitimate lawsuit is categorically fraud. Mr. Rader is
4 the author of the legal documents but he conspired with Mark Zuckerberg and the rest
5 of the ASS to defraud Arbit out of the legal recourse and equitable disgorgement that
6 Arbit is entitled to. This attempt to fraudulently win an appeal of a district court case
7 which was corrupted by the fraud orchestrated by the ASS is made in furtherance of
8 the conspiracy to defraud Arbit out of all income and property.
9
10

11
12 Mr. Rader then lists motions that he claims are frivolous:

13 “a motion to subpoena Microsoft for email records of senior executives of
14 Schneider Electric SE subsidiaries (2:23-cv-00533 ECF Nos. 27, 28), a motion for
15 default judgment notwithstanding that Schneider Electric SE had already filed a
16 motion to dismiss (2:23-cv-00533 ECF Nos. 30, 31), a request for a TRO based on
17 a purported altercation with a dog-walker that Arbit bizarrely attributed to
18 Schneider Electric SE (2:23-cv-00533 ECF Nos. 36, 37), a motion for entry of
19 default in this appeal despite this Court having earlier stayed the briefing schedule
20 (ECF No. 23), and a motion to reconsider that stay (ECF No. 25).”
21

22 Mr. Rader’s statement that each of the listed motion are frivolous is fraud. Mr.
23 Rader knows that Arbit was not served the motion to dismiss when Arbit filed the
24 default motion. Mr. Rader is an active co-conspirator in the conspiracy to stalk and
25 harass Arbit, and one of the crimes organized by the ASS and Mr. Rader includes the
26 incident that Mr. Rader is referring to as frivolous. The motion for entry of default in
27 this appeal was made because Mr. Rader was coordinating with Molly Dwyer to
28

1 receive an unwarranted extension of time to file an answer. Mr. Rader also fraudulently
2 used weaponized incompetence to delay the court proceedings by trying to claim that
3 he filed a summary disposition when he filed a summary affirmance. The difference
4 between the two is that one has rules that support an automatic stay and the other does
5 not.
6

7
8 Mr. Rader concludes with a classic Zuckerberg move, blame the other party for
9 the crimes you have engaged in and problems that you have created. Mr. Rader speaks
10 for the ASS and when he wrote that “Schneider Electric SE respectfully requests the
11 Court’s assistance in making clear to Arbit that further use of the legal system to harass
12 Schneider Electric SE is unacceptable and will not be tolerated.” Mr. Rader and his
13 ASS co-conspirators are perpetuating the closed-ended fraud to deny Arbit legal
14 recourse in this trademark infringement lawsuit and appeal, and the open-ended
15 scheme to defraud Arbit out of all income, property, and legal rights while they commit
16 crimes against him for entertainment and profit.
17
18

19
20 Mr. Rader, as the ASS legal representative and co-conspiriartor requests that this
21 Court affirm the District Courts judgement dismissing Arbit’s complaint for lack of
22 jurisdiction amounts to mail and wire fraud. The document was e-filed and emailed to
23 Arbit at stanarbit@gmail.com and stan@securepower.io by
24 ashley.lohr@wolfgreenfield.com at 1:00 pm on June 21, 2024, the same day it was e-
25 filed for three counts of wire fraud. The Answering Brief was mailed to Arbit at 440 N.
26
27
28

1 Barranca Ave #7377, Covina, CA 91723 on June 21, 2024 using U.S.P.S. Arbit
2 received the package on July 1, 2024.
3
4
5
6
7

8 On page 12, when Mr. Rader calls Arbit's declaration conspiracy theories he is
9 committing fraud.

10 On page 12, when Mr. Rader implies it is bizarre to say that Schneider Electric
11 SE subsidiaries do business in Arizona, he is committing fraud.
12

13 On page 12, when Mr. Rader says that Arbit offers no explanation how any
14 particular subsidiary actives would justify general personal jurisdiction even if
15 attributed to Schneider Electric SE, he is lying.
16

17 On page 12, when Mr. Rader says that Schneider Electric SE is a holding
18 company based in France with approximately 400 subsidiaries around world. He is
19 lying.
20

21 On page 12, when Mr. Rader says that Arbit failed to carry his burned of
22 showing any agency relationship between Schneider Electric SE and its subsidiaries,
23 he not accurately portraying the evidentiary hearing.
24

25 On page 12, when Mr. Rader says that Arbit does not challenge that or any
26 factual finding, Mr. Rader is not saying the whole truth.
27
28

1 On page 13, Mr. Rader says that Arbit’s requested “change” is ruled out by
2 *Daimler*. Mr. Rader cites “One may be an agent for some business purposes and not
3 others ...” from *Daimler*, but that is merely dicta. We know that is dicta because the
4 same paragraph in *Daimler* concluded by saying, “But we need not pass judgment on
5 invocation of an agency theory in the context of general jurisdiction.” *Daimler AG v.*
6 *Bauman*, 571 U.S. 117, 135 (2014). Furthermore, the same paragraph also states that
7 “A subsidiary, for example, might be its parent’s agent for claims arising in the place
8 where the subsidiary operates, yet not its agent regarding claims arising elsewhere. ”
9 *Id.* Schneider Electric SE asserted in the jurisdiction evidentiary hearing that it has
10 subsidiaries operating in Arizona; therefore, by no means, is agency theory ruled out
11 for general jurisdiction by *Daimler*.

12 Mr. Rader also cites *CIR. v. Bollinger*, 485 U.S. 340, 346-47 (1988) to support
13 his argument but the facts are distinguished in this case, and the case would support
14 Arbit’s argument and not the defendants. The court ruled that the corporation in
15 question was an agent of the partnership.

16 On page 13, Mr. Rader claims that Arbit does not contest the finding that
17 Schneider Electric purposely availed itself for specific jurisdiction. This is false. Arbit
18 elicited testimony, including his own, and provided exhibits contradicting Mr. Rader’s
19 no contest assertion. In the opening brief Arbit did not challenge the facts for the
20 purpose of the Opening Brief.

1 On page 14, Mr. Rader cited Reusser v. Wachovia Bank, N.A., 525 E.3d:855:(9th
2 Gir, 2008) and Sec. Pac. Nat'l Bank, 872 F.2d at 282 n.1. for no discernible reason
3 except to waste Arbit's and the Court's time.
4

5 On page 14, Mr. Rader claims that it is an undisputed fact that Schneider
6 Electric SE does not dominate or control the operations of its subsidiaries. Schneider
7 Electric does dominate its so-called subsidiaries, if they even exist in any meaningful
8 way. At most, Schneider Electric has alter egos that it uses to avoid paying taxes and
9 claiming lack of jurisdiction in courts. Furthermore, Mr. Boelitz provided no facts to
10 support lack of domination except for the conclusory lie.
11
12
13
14
15

16 On page 14, Mr. Rader once again claims that Arbit does not challenge the facts,
17 but that is not the whole truth. Arbit accepted the facts for the sake for the Opening
18 Brief with the caveat that he does not agree with them. In the Reply Brief Arbit added
19 in the rest of the facts. Mr. Rader does not speak in whole truths.
20

21 On page 14, Mr. Rader claims that Schneider Electric SE is not subject to
22 specific personal jurisdiction in Arizona based on the actions of its subsidiary. This is
23 not true. Schneider Electric SE has had continuous and systematic contacts with
24 Arizona for many years, and evidence for this contact was presented at the hearing and
25 in the Arbit's declaration supporting Arbit's response to Schneider Electric's motion to
26
27
28

1 dismiss. Schneider Electric is subject to specific jurisdiction in Arizona based on its
2 actions and the actions of its so-called subsidiaries, if they even exist in any
3 meaningful way other than bad faith tax avoidance and fraudulent attempts to claim
4 lack of jurisdiction in U.S. courts.
5

6 ~~On page 14, Mr. Rader claims that the evidentiary hearing established that~~
7 ~~Schneider Electric does not dominate or control the operation of its subsidiaries. This~~
8 ~~is neither fact nor established court fact. Mr. Rader did not provide any facts to support~~
9 ~~this claim. Mr. Boelitz merely stated this conclusion when she was perjuring herself on~~
10 ~~the stand. As discussed in Arbit's reply, this is false. Schneider Electric has agents in~~
11 ~~Arizona and evidence and testimony was presented at the hearing to support this fact.~~
12
13

14 On page 15, Mr. Rader continues to fabricate a reality that serves his client and
15 does not reflect truth. Mr. Rader claims that Arbit admitted the issue of sanctions is
16 moot—this does not represent the facts. Mr. Rader provides the quote that Schneider
17 Electric SE is not a “defendant located in the United States.” The facts in the case
18 show that Schneider Electric has contact with Arizona. In fact, Exhibit 7 (ER-22)
19 shows a map of Arizona and the Schneider Electric logo and lists 30 trained resellers
20 and partners that sell Schneider Electric products. It is also a fact that Mr. Rader, and
21 his witness, falsely claim that Schneider Electric SE has no contact with Arizona, *but*
22 *the fact that a misleading statement was made does not make the misleading statement*
23 *a fact.* Mr. Rader is an adept gaslighter. Mr. Rader obscures the entire picture by
24
25
26
27
28

1 leaving unfavorable facts out. In other words, Mr. Rader misrepresents the facts for the
2 purpose of defrauding Arbit out of the legal recourse he is entitled to for the
3
4 infringement of his trademark.

5 The quote Schneider Electric is not “defendant located in the United States” is
6 not cited to any specific source. It also false because Mr. Rader is the legal counsel for
7 the defendant in the U.S., Schneider Electric has contract with Arizona, and even if we
8 assume they do not for the sake of the argument, it has alter egos operating in Arizona
9 that it uses to avoid paying taxes, and claiming lack of jurisdiction in court.
10

11
12 As the facts in the court case show, legal counsel for Schneider Electric, using
13 the domain owned by Schneider Electric SE, the logo of Schneider Electric SE, and
14 claiming to be a “Associate General Counsel, NAM Legal Department, Schneider
15 Electric (Exhibit 4, ER-47), gave Arbit the mailing address to use for the waiver of
16 service.
17

18
19 Mr. Rader claims that the Court should affirm the district court’s dismissal of the
20 trademark infringement case. This is false. Schneider Electric has for many years
21 sustained continuous, systematic, and substantial business operations in Arizona. Arbit
22 presented a preponderance of evidence to support this fact. Mr. Rader’s only
23 counterpoint was a perjurious, conclusory statement provided by his witness, Ms.
24 Boelitz.
25
26
27
28

1 Because the appeals court should reverse and remand the case the issue is not
2 moot. This is, after all, the point of the appeal.

3 Mr. Rader claims that the sanctions were properly denied. This is false. As
4 previously stated, Schneider Electric has for many years sustained continuous,
5 systematic, and substantial business operations in Arizona. Arbit presented a
6 preponderance of evidence to support this fact.
7

8 Mr. Rader claims that Arbit filed several frivolous motions that “unreasonably
9 multiplying the proceedings.” This is fraud. Arbit did not file any frivolous motions. Mr.
10 Rader seems to be purposely confusing his own actions for the actions of his opponent
11 —classic Zuckerberg.
12

13 When Mr. Rader claimed that subpoenaing Microsoft for email record of senior
14 executives is frivolous, Mr. Rader is lying.
15

16 When Mr. Rader claimed that a motion of default judgment is frivolous, Mr.
17 Rader is lying.
18

19 When Mr. Rader claimed that TRO application in the trademark infringement
20 case was frivolous, Mr. Rader is lying.
21

22 When Mr. Rader claimed, that motion for entry of default filed in the appeal of
23 the trademark infringement case was frivolous, Mr. Rader is lying.
24

25 When Mr. Rader claimed, that a motion for reconsideration to stay was filed
26 frivolously by Arbit, Mr. Rader is lying.
27

1 When Mr. Rader claimed that “this court denied all of these motions,” Mr. Rader
2 is lying.

3
4 When Mr. Rader “requests the Court’s assistance in making clear to Arbit that
5 further use of the legal system to harass Schneider Electric SE is unacceptable and will
6 not be tolerated.” He is committing fraud. Arbit has a constitution right to use the legal
7 system to defend his property, which his client is maliciously infringing on. Mr. Rader,
8 who is an active participant in the criminal organization, ASS, that commits crimes
9 against Arbit, including harassment continuous to commit fraud and project his guilt of
10 criminality on the victim, Arbit. Classic Zuckerberg.

11
12
13 Mr. Rader filed this fraudulent document as “Defendant-Applee Schneider
14 Electric SE’s Answering Brief.” Mr. Rader filed it electronically, and emailed it to
15 Arbit for three counts of wire fraud. Mr. Rader’s law firm, Wolf Greenfield & Sacks
16 mailed the fraudulent document to Arbit on 06/21/2024, for one count of mail fraud.
17 The email was sent on 06/21/24 at 1:00 PM by ashley.lohr@wolfgreenfield.com to
18 stan@securepower.io and stanarbit@gmail.com. The email contained the document as
19 an attachment. The package was received at 440 N. Barranca Ave 7377, Covina, CA
20 91723 by way of U.S. Mail on July 1, 2024.

21
22
23
24 When Mr. Rader cites case law it sometimes has no relevancy to his point and
25 other times he is just picking words from the case law that fit his narrative—it would
26 be like someone citing the dictionary as case law and only using certain words to prove
27
28

1 a point. Mr. Rader is an experienced and highly accomplished legal professional who
2 weaponizes incompetence. He then uses repetition to continually hammer his false
3 points—a classic Zuckerberg stratagem.
4

5 **5. Arbit v Zuckerberg (mail and wire fraud)**

6
7
8 Fraud was committed to prevent Arbit from receiving damages and injunctive
9 relief he was entitled to in *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.). The
10 presiding judge Douglas W. Stern, the San Mateo County Sheriff's Office, including
11 Sheriff Corpus, conspired with the ASS to delay and corrupt the judicial process in
12 *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.). The lawsuit alleged Stalking
13 and Defamation against Mark Zuckerberg.
14

15
16 Judge Stern started colluding with the ASS prior to Arbit's first appearance
17 before him, on 03/06/24. As stated in Arbit's declaration filed along with a motion to
18 disqualify Judge Stern on April 25, 2024 in *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474
19 (L.A. Sup. Ct.), Judge Stern's first words to Arbit were used to tell Arbit that he, Judge
20 Stern, does not care about this case, and he believes that Plaintiff, Arbit, does not care
21 either.
22

23
24 When a judge has a conflict of interest it is expected that they recuse
25 themselves. But even if they don't, and a party to the case submits a motion to
26 disqualify a judge, that judge does not get to rule on his own conflict of interest. This
27
28

1 would of course always result in a corrupt judge continuing his corruption. The law
2 reflects this common sense. Section 170.3(c)(5) requires that the question of
3 disqualification be heard by another judge. This did not occur in *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*,
4 No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.). Judge Stern submitted an answer and decided to
5 rule in his favor—even though he did not have the authority to do so. He also issued an
6 order striking the Statement of Disqualification.
7

8
9 Judge Stern’s answer was mailed to Arbit, as is required, and it contained false
10 statements. The letter was mailed by J. Edwards, Deputy Clerk of Court on
11 05/02/2024. The letter was sent to Stanislav Arbit at 440 N Barranca Ave #7377
12 Covina, CA 91723. Arbit received the letter on May 07, 2024.
13

14 Arbit scheduled the Ex Parte Motion to Disqualify Judge Stern for April 26,
15 2024 at 8:30 AM. On the day that Arbit filed his Motion to Disqualify Judge Stern,
16 Judge Stern scheduled an Ex Parte hearing at 2:30 PM, no attempts at providing notice
17 were made and Arbit made changed his plans to show up to court the next day when he
18 was scheduled to do so. The hearing is listed as “Not Held - Taken Off Calendar by
19 Court.” The next day, Judge Stern put the hearing back on the calendar and labeled it
20 as “Not Held - Advanced and continued - by Court.” This information was gleaned
21 from lacourt.org, and as previously stated Stern is an ASS member and therefore has
22 visibility into Arbit’s internet usage. These judicial actions were designed to keep Arbit
23 out of Court and constitute wire fraud.
24
25
26
27
28

1 In the motion to disqualify Judge Stern, Arbit stated the following:

- 2 1. As previously stated, Judge Stern declared his prejudice against Arbit and
3 his complaint. Judge Stern told Arbit on 03/06/24) that he does not care about
4 Arbit's complaint, he believes Arbit doesn't care about Arbit's complaint and
5 Judge Stern made it clear that he does not approve of the complaint's title.
6
7
- 8 2. At the next hearing (3/19/24), Judge Stern demonstrated his proclaimed
9 disinterest by exhibiting a willful lack of understanding of the motion before
10 him. Arbit stated Judge Stern is not reading what Arbit is submitting and and
11 Arbit reiterated the gravity of the situation and asked if it would be helpful if
12 Arbit came back the next day so that Judge Stern could have more time to
13 familiarize himself with the motion. Judge Stern declined the suggestion and
14 denied the motion.
15
16
- 17 3. The hearing after being told by Plaintiff that he is not reading or
18 understanding the documents being submitted, Douglas W. Stern's courtroom
19 had a Los Angeles County Sheriff's deputy present. Right before Plaintiff's
20 case was called the deputy positioned himself at the door leading to
21 Plaintiff's desk. Plaintiff stated his name with an armed deputy next to him—
22 both of the deputy's hands could reach the Plaintiff without having to adjust
23 his stance.
24
25
26
27
28

- 1 4. After appearances, Douglas W. Stern asked the Plaintiff about what exactly
2 Mr. Stern was not understanding. Plaintiff told him that everything he wanted
3 Mr. Stern to understand was in the filed documents. This hearing occurred on
4 3/26/24 and included the declaration (filed on 3/21) that accused Mr. Stern of
5 having an undisclosed conflict of interest. Mr. Stern then stated he is once
6 again denying the motion. Plaintiff left the courtroom immediately and was
7 followed to the elevator by the deputy. Before the elevator's doors closed the
8 armed deputy made a showing of stretching his arms as a show of force.
9
10
11
12 5. Before leaving the Torrance Courthouse, Plaintiff stopped by the library to do
13 legal research. While conducting the research, various deputies would poke
14 their heads in and make a comment before leaving. This was not just
15 distracting, but more intimidation that was intended to silence the Plaintiff.
16
17 6. On 03/28/24, Arbit emailed a litigation hold to Dept. B, addressed to Mr.
18 Stern. It was emailed to tordeptb@lacourt.org at 9:14 a.m. as an attachment.
19 It was included as Exhibit "1" in the motion to disqualify Judge Stern.
20
21 7. On 04/13/24, Arbit emailed a copy of a cease and desist letter, regarding
22 harassment, addressed to Mr. Stern and sent it to tordeptb@lacourt.org as an
23 attachment. It was included as Exhibit 2 in the motion to disqualify Judge
24 Stern.
25
26
27
28

1 8. On 04/16/24, Mr. Stern ruled, without calling the matter for hearing, against
2 an ex parte application/motion.

3
4 9. In the motion to disqualify Judge Stern, Arbit stated that he believes Judge
5 Stern has conspired with Defendant, Mark Zuckerberg, either directly or
6 indirectly, to manipulate the proceedings in a way that unfairly harms the
7 plaintiff.
8

9 10. The San Mateo Sheriff's Office is unable or unwilling to serve the summons
10 and complaint (see motion filed on 4/15/24). Judge Stern has denied four
11 motions for alternative service and failed to recuse himself after being told
12 that he is not reading or understanding the documents submitted by Plaintiff,
13 receiving a declaration stating Plaintiff's belief that Douglas Stern, the
14 presiding judge, has a conflict of interest, receiving a litigation hold notice,
15 and a cease and desist notice regarding harassment.
16
17

18 11. While avoiding service, Defendant, Mark Zuckerberg, continues to wage his
19 daily campaign to terrorize Plaintiff, Stanislav Arbit, physically, mentally,
20 and financially.
21

22 The Order to Strike and the Verified answer that were filed together on 05/01/24
23 contained nothing but falsehoods. Judge Stern was working with the A.S.S.
24 members.
25
26
27
28

1 Starting with § 1, Judge Stern claims to be a Judge of the Superior Court and
2 presides over this case. While Judge Stern is a Judge of the Superior Court, he was
3 acting in his personal interests in this case and not in the State's or Court's interests.
4 And technically speaking, he legally is not the judge over this case once he refused
5 to recuse himself—at least not until another judge ruled on the motion to dismiss as
6 is required according to C.C.P. 170.3(c)(5).
7
8

9 In § 2, Judge Stern claims not to be biased or prejudiced against any party to this
10 proceeding or counsel. This is categorically false. He most certainly is prejudiced
11 against Plaintiff, Arbit. Judge Stern explicitly stated his prejudice in court on
12 03/06/24. Judge Stern said that he does not care about his case, he believes Arbit
13 does not care about this case, and he dislikes the way the complaint is titled. While
14 Judge Stern claims to be a Judge of the Superior Court, he acts more like a king.
15
16

17 In § 3, Judge Stern claims that all rulings have been made based upon facts and
18 arguments officially presented to Judge Stern and upon Judge's Stern understanding
19 of the law. This is false because the only facts Judge Stern has been represented
20 where officially from Arbit. At this time, Zuckerberg and his ASS gang were not
21 officially a party of the case, yet they had influenced Judge Stern to delay and rule
22 against Arbit on four ex parte applications for alternative service and the motion to
23 disqualify Judge Stern.
24
25
26
27
28

1 § 5, Says that the best evidence for his statements and ruling is the court record.
2 A transcript of the record will show that he began the case while trying to
3 discourage Arbit with his prejudice against the case. If one takes a look at the
4 record then they can see that Arbit is showing facts sufficient and logical for the
5 motions that he is filing—if one was not on the take and dirty like Judge Stern is.
6 Furthermore, the best evidence of Judge’s action would not be the record in *Arbit v.*
7 *Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.). The best evidence of Judge Stern’s
8 actions will be presented in this case, where the Plaintiff will show that Judge Stern
9 colluded with the ASS gang because the ASS gang defamed Arbit and incentivized
10 Judge Stern to grossly infringe on Arbit’s first amendment right to petition his
11 government.
12
13
14
15

16 § 6: Plaintiff’s characterizations are directly reflective of what transpired in
17 court, contrary to Judge Stern’s proclamation. Judge Stern did say he did not care
18 about the Arbit’s actions. The official record will reflect his dismissive statements
19 designed to discourage Arbit from pursuing his actions. Judge Stern demonstrated a
20 willful incompetence, a technique often used by Zuckerberg and well documented
21 in other court cases involving Zuckerberg.
22
23

24 Naturally, based on the previous paragraphs it follows that § 7 is categorically
25 false. Judge Stern is as corrupt as a Judge can get and offers no remorse for his
26 previous actions. Judge Stern has decided to cover up his malfeasance with perjury
27
28

1 in the form of the verifiable answer that is being discussed here and mail fraud
2 when this verifiable answer and order to strike was mailed to Arbit, as well wire
3 fraud when this answer and order were uploaded to the electronic docket system
4 used by the Los Angeles Superior Court system.
5

6 Additional counts of mail and wire fraud in this include each of the docket
7 entries, because as previously stated, Judge Stern was working with the ASS to
8 defraud Arbit out of the damages and injunction relief he was entitled to.
9

10 Sheriff Corpus and her office are also part of the ASS gang and committed mail
11 fraud and wire fraud in support of the overarching goals of the ASS. Sheriff Corpus
12 and her deputies never made any good faith attempts to serve Zuckerberg. Instead,
13 Sheriff Corpus colluded with the ASS to delay the process. In doing so, Sheriff
14 Corpus committed multiple counts of fraud.
15
16

17 Arbit first contacted the San Mateo County Sheriff's Office (SMCSO) on
18 January 09, 2024 at 9:57. Arbit provided his email address, stan@securepower.io,
19 his full name, and informed SMCSO that "A California court has authorized a fee
20 waiver for my civil case. Can I email the documents that I need to serve?" by using
21 the online contact us form found at <https://www.smcsheriff.com/contact-us>.
22 SMCSO responded requesting a completed SER-001 or SER001A form and
23 instructed Arbit to submit the documents to
24 SHERIFFS_civil_enforcement@smcgov.org. SMCSO sent that message on
25
26
27
28

1 01/09/24. At this time, SMCSO was already part of the ASS and this constitutes as
2 the first count of wire fraud in furtherance of the scheme to defraud Arbit out of
3 damages and injunction relief.
4

5 Arbit responded on 01/09/24 at 12:54 PM with a completed SER-001 and the
6 summons, complaint, and ancillary service documents for case *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*,
7 No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.).
8

9 On 01/15/25 at 2:46 PM, Arbit sent an email to
10 SHERIFFS_civil_enforcement@smcgov.org (SMCSO) with a completed SER-001 and
11 a copy of form CH-116 notifying Zuckerberg of a restraining order hearing for case
12 *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23PSRO02415, (L.A. Sup. Ct. Mar. 8, 2024).
13

14 On 01/17/24 at 9:40 AM, Arbit sent a completed SER-001 and a Notice of Case
15 Reassignment for *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.) to the
16 SMCSO's civil enforcement email address.
17

18 On 01/17/24 at 10:34, SMCSO's civil enforcement responded to Arbit's
19 01/17/24 email asking for a fee waiver.
20

21 On 01/17/24 at 2:53 PM, Arbit replied to with a fee waiver and the previously
22 supplied SER-001 and notice of Case Reassignment for *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No.
23 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.).
24
25
26
27
28

1 On 01/19/24 at 7:50 AM, SMCSO's civil enforcement responded to Arbit's
2 01/17/24 request with an acknowledgment of receipt of the document and confirmation
3 that document was prepared for service.
4

5 On 01/24/24 at 6:44 PM sent an email to SMCSO's civil enforcement asking for
6 an update on *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23PSRO02415, (L.A. Sup. Ct. Mar. 8, 2024).
7 Arbit attached the SER-001 and the CH-116 forms related to this request.
8

9 On 01/25/24 at 3:58 PM responded by falsely claiming that that two attempts
10 were made but both were unsuccessful and proof of service will be mailed. Arbit
11 responded with a thank you at 6:40 PM.
12

13 On 01/29/24 at 7:18 AM, Arbit emailed SMCSO with a completed SER-001 and
14 a notice of rescheduled hearing for *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23PSRO02415 (L.A. Sup.
15 Ct.).
16

17 On 02/12/24 at 9:56 AM, Arbit emailed SMCSO asking for an update to the
18 attached request. The attachment was a follow up to the request sent on 01/29/24 for
19 service of the rescheduled Notice of hearing in *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No.
20 23PSRO02415, (L.A. Sup. Ct. Mar. 8, 2024).
21

22 On 02/12/24 at 10:37 AM, SMCSO emailed Arbit in response to the 02/12/24
23 email. SMCSO informed Arbit that the service was unsuccessful. SMCSO never
24 attempted service and this counts as wire fraud. Arbit responded with a polite "Thank
25 you."
26
27
28

1 On 02/22/24 at 2:57 PM, Arbit replied to the message he sent on 01/09/24 at
2 12:54 requesting service of summons and complaint and ancillary documents. Arbit
3 included the SER-001 request form and the service packet for reference. The subject
4 the email, the request form, and the service packet all listed the case number for *Arbit*
5 *v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23PSRO02415 (L.A. Sup. Ct.).
6

7
8 On 02/26/24 at 7:03 AM, SMCSO responded to Arbit 02/22/24 request by
9 informing him that he service was unsuccessful. This email constitutes wire fraud
10 because SMCSO never attempted service nor did they intend to attempt to service.
11

12 On 02/26/24 at 2:01 PM, Arbit emailed SMCSO asking for a copy of the
13 Declaration of Diligence and Proof of Unsuccessful Service.
14

15 On 02/26/24 at 2:11 PM, SMCSO responded to Arbit's request. The subject of
16 the email listed case 23TRCP00474, and the thread was continuation of the original
17 request sent on 01/09/24 for service of summons and complaint in case *Arbit v.*
18 *Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.). However, SMCSO office responded
19 with a proof of service for the case reassignment and not for the summons and
20 complaint. The case realignment was the second request in this case. Arbit included the
21 attachments for the original request and the service documents in his email on 02/22/24
22 at 2:57 PM. Regardless, SMCSO had no intention of cooperating as they are legally
23 and ethically obligated to do. They also made no attempt to serve the notice of case
24 reassignment. This email is another count of wire fraud.
25
26
27
28

1 On 02/26/24 at 6:32 PM, Arbit once again responded with the SER-001 asking
2 for service of summons and complaint, and included the service packet. Arbit retained
3 the case number for *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.), in the
4 subject line, and once again asked for update for the attached request for service of
5 summons and complaint.
6

7
8 On 02/27/24 at 9:05 AM SMCSO responded to Arbit's last request asking when
9 this service was submitted to our office. This email was meant to cover up the scheme
10 to avoid serving Zuckerberg and defraud Arbit out of damages and injunctive relief he
11 was entitled to and constitutes wire fraud.
12

13 On 02/27/24 at 1:51 PM, responded to SMCSO question. This email chain
14 contained the previous emails quoted, and SMCSO could have answered their own
15 questions if they were not corrupt and purposely avoided their legal obligation to serve
16 the summons and complaint that was submitted. Arbit responded by saying, "I
17 submitted this request on January 9, 2024. This email chain is about a complaint and
18 summons. The defendant is Mark Zuckerberg What would you like me to tell the judge
19 in my motion for alternative service?"
20
21

22 On 02/27/24 at 2:05 PM, SMCSO stated "It appears the fee waiver is missing
23 from the service request. Please provide us with the waiver so we may attempt
24 service." The fee waiver was never requested in the first place, but it was later
25 requested in the same case for the second request, which was a notice of case
26
27
28

1 reassignment. Arbit submitted the fee waiver on 01/17/24 at 2:53 PM. SMCSO was
2 covering up the fact that they have not attempted service and they did not have any
3 intention of serving Zuckerberg in a timely matter. This email misrepresents the facts
4 and constitutes wire fraud.
5

6 On 02/27/24 at 3:12 PM, Arbit sent an email to SMCSO asking for confirmation
7 that SMCSO has not attempted to serve the summons and complaint which was
8 requested on 01/09/24. Arbit also attached a copy of the fee waiver, the SER-001
9 request form and the service packet.
10

11 Following the first hearing with Judge Stern on 03/06/24; and after not hearing
12 back from SMCSO regarding the summons in complaint for case *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*,
13 No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.); almost two months after first submitting the
14 request to serve a summons and complaint; and SMCSO's failure to even make it past
15 the guard tower to serve two notices of hearing for for a restraining order in case *Arbit*
16 *v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23PSRO02415, (L.A. Sup. Ct. Mar. 8, 2024) and a notice of case
17 reassignment in *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.); Arbit filed a
18 new SER-001.
19
20
21

22 The new SER-001 was for *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup.
23 Ct.). The location of service was still Meta/Facebook's headquarters in Menlo Park
24 located at 1 Hacker Way, Menlo Park CA 94025. Under section (5)(e) where the
25 document asks if the court has allowed you to serve the papers in another way besides
26
27
28

1 personal service, and then lists the options as “I don’t know, No, and Yes,” Arbit
2 redlined the section that says if yes please include aa copy of the order allowing
3 another type of service. The law does not require an order for substituted service and
4 Judge Stern would not issue an order for such a service. At the redline, Arbit wrote
5 “See Code of Civil P./part 2/Title 5/Chap. 4/Article 3/Section 415.20.” In the additional
6 information portion of that section, Arbit wrote, “Please leave the documents with the
7 person who is apparently in charge and let them know it is a summons and complaint,
8 and mail a set of copies to Mark Zuckerberg at 1 Hacker Way, Men[~~l~~]o Park, CA
9 94025.”

10
11
12
13 The new SER-001 for substituted service, along with another copy of the fee
14 waiver, and the service packet were attached to an email sent to SMCSO on 03/06/24
15 at 6:07 PM. The subject of the email was “Case: 23TRCP00474 | Summons and
16 Complaint | Arbit v Zuckerberg.” This email was the start of a new chain with no other
17 emails quoted in it. This email was sent to SMCSO.
18

19
20 On 03/08/24 at 9:05 AM responded to Arbit’s 03/06/24 email by saying, “We
21 currently have an open service for these documents.”
22

23 On 03/13/24 at 6:42 AM, Arbit emailed SMCSO asking for an update. The email
24 chain contained Arbit’s 03/6/24 request and SMCSO 03/08/24 response. Arbit
25 conveyed the urgency of the request by stating, “The crimes are ongoing and the
26 damages continue to accrue. Please consider this matter urgent.”
27
28

1 On 03/30/24 at 5:15 PM, Arbit sent a litigation hold to SMCSO. The hold named
2 Sheriff Corpus and San Mateo County Sheriff's Office Civil Division. The email,
3 along with the attachment was sent to SHERIFFS_civil_enforcement@smcgov.org and
4 ccorpus@smcgov.org.

6 On 04/05/24 at 2:11 PM, Arbit sent a request to SMCSO that was identical to
7 the request sent on 03/06/24 but was a dated 04/05/24. The email included the fee
8 waiver and the service packet.

10 On 04/11/24 at 8:16 AM, Arbit emailed SMCSO and Sheriff Corpus. The email
11 asked Sheriff Corpus if she has made any progress on case #23TRCP00474.

13 On 04/12/24 at 7:28 AM, Arbit followed up to this 04/11/24 by asking the same
14 question but adding a "SECOND REQUEST" notice at the top of email.

16 On 04/12/24 at 12:57 PM, SMCSO responded to Arbit's 04/12/24 email by
17 stating, "An attempt was made, however the service was unsuccessful and a card was
18 left. The documents are still out for service."

20 On 04/12/24 at 2:04 PM, Arbit emailed Sheriff Corpus. Arbit stated, "Sheriff
21 Corpus: This is the third service of summons request (second alternative request) that
22 you are unwilling or unable to execute in this case." Arbit then asked, "Why didn't you
23 leave the summons and complaint and mail a set to the same address as requested?"

25 On 04/12/24 at 4:28 PM Lt. Dan Reynolds emailed Arbit. Mr. Arbit:

27 Good afternoon. I write to express that the Civil Unit Team is attempting service of the
28 documents in 23TRCP00474 in accordance with the CA Code of Civil Procedures. You may

1 rest assured that we conduct our attempts with due diligence and lawful actions at all times.
2 While you have the right to email Sheriff Corpus directly, it will not influence the
3 professional, appropriate manner in which the Civil Unit Team conducts its responsibilities in
4 23TRCP00474. The Civil Unit Team serves court documents on the Sheriff's behalf. She
5 does not personally serve them, and entrusts the Civil Unit Team to handle those
6 responsibilities.

7 Please stop contacting her directly unless there is a circumstance which merits your doing so.
8 For updates on the status of service, you should contact the Civil Unit directly via this email
9 account or telephoning us at 650/363-4497 (press option 8). Alternatively, you can await the
10 eventual, required mailing of our completed proof of service, which documents our service or
11 attempts to serve. Contacting Sheriff Corpus directly for updates on service isn't as efficient
12 or proper as contacting the Civil Unit Team.

13 We look forward to serving you as the plaintiff/petitioner in this matter, per the law and with
14 professionalism and impartiality. Thank you in advance for allowing us to do so, Mr. Arbit.

15 I hope this addresses your concerns, and that you have a great day, Sir. If you've any further
16 questions, please ask. Our team is ready to serve.

17 Respectfully,
18 Lt Dan Reynolds

19 On 04/12/24 at 7:00 PM, Arbit responded to SMCSO but informing them that
20 Arbit's concerns have not been addressed. Arbit asked Lt. Dan Reynolds what specific
21 section of the code he was referring to. Arbit asked this because his last two requests
22 were for substituted service and Arbit cited the code, as Judge Stern had requested. But
23 instead of leaving a the summons and complaint, SMCSO had only left business cards
24 with security at Meta's headquarters. Like all other emails from SMCSO, this email
25 serves to cover up the fact that SMCSO had no intention of serving Arbit's summons
26 and complaint in a timely matter. At this point, more than three months have passed
27 since Arbit made the original request.
28

1 On 04/13/24 at 4:39 PM, Arbit emailed Sheriff Corpus asking her to cease and
2 desist all harassing activities towards Arbit. Arbit included a PDF version of the letter
3 as an attachment.
4

5 On 04/17/24 at 8:26 AM, Lt. Dan Reynolds emailed Arbit. Mr. Reynolds was
6 responding to Arbit's 04/12/24, 7:00 PM email. Mr. Reynolds email stated that they
7 have provided a timely response to Arbit's inquiry on the status of the document
8 service in Arbit's case, and a proof of service of service documenting their results will
9 be mailed. Lt. Reynolds cited Government Code 26608. More specifically Reynolds
10 did not cite the substituted service code that was requested in the last two requests that
11 are pending. This email misrepresents the facts and is fraud. SMCSO had no intention
12 of attempting service in good faith.
13
14
15

16 On 05/07/24, 7:04 AM, Arbit sent an email to SMCSO asking for an update on
17 case 23TRCP00474. At this point, 119 days have passed since Arbit's first request for
18 service of summons and complaint, and 62 days have passed since Arbit first requested
19 substituted service.
20

21 On 05/08/24 at 5:45 AM, Arbit emailed a letter to SMCSO notifying Dan
22 Reynolds to cease and desist all harassing activities towards Arbit.
23

24 On 05/08/24 at 8:24 AM, SMCSO emailed Arbit to inform him that an attempt
25 for service was made on 04/12/24, and the documents are still out for service.
26
27
28

1 On 07/01/24 at 6:54 PM, Arbit emailed SMCSO and Sheriff Corpus. Arbit
2 attached a proof of service that was filed on his behalf in case *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No.
3 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.). The proof of service was for a Texas-based Plaintiff
4 named Phillip Reynolds who sued Meta Platforms in Texas. Arbit told Sheriff Corpus
5 that her continued incompetence is hereby noted. Arbit requested an update for case
6 23TRCP00474. Arbit reminded Sheriff Corpus that her office is under a litigation hold
7 and will be sued shortly.
8

9
10 On 07/02/24 at 6:09 PM, Arbit emailed SMCSO and Sheriff Corpus with a
11 second request for an update for case 23TRCP00474. Arbit reminded SMCSO and
12 Sheriff Corpus that the original request was made on January 09, 2024. This email was
13 175 days after the first request and 118 days since Arbit requested substituted mail
14 service.
15

16
17 On 07/03/24 at 11:38 AM, expressed his frustration with Sheriff Corpus. That
18 same day at 4:44 PM Arbit added Governor Newsom's Chief of Staff and California's
19 Attorney General to the email chain.
20

21 Each of SMCSO emails to Arbit are a count of wire fraud. SMCSO sent three
22 proof of no service to the court, each of which count as additional counts of wire fraud.
23 Arbit received mail on 05/24/24 from SMCSO that contained somebody else's
24 summons, but Arbit's case number on the first page. This was mail fraud, in attempt to
25
26
27
28

1 cover up the scheme to defraud Arbit out of the damages and injunctive relief he was
2 entitled to.

3
4 On February 06, 2024 Arbit received a package from Christina Corpus, sheriff
5 of San Mateo County. The package was sent through USPS. The return address was
6 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063. The package was addressed to Stanislaw
7 Arbit at 440 Barranca Ave 7377, Covina, CA 91723. The package contained a total of
8 23 pages. The first page was a Declaration of Due Diligence. The SMCSO declared
9 that it attempted to personally serve Mark Elliot Zuckerberg twice. On 01/23/2024 at
10 11:00 AM by personal service at 1 Hacker Way, Menlo Park, CA 94025. The deputies
11 listed are Patrick McKenna and Albert Tuipulotu. A remark was added, “Not at
12 location, Sheriff’s Office contact card left with security.” The second declared attempt
13 occurred on 01/25/2024 at 11:07 AM by personal service at the same address. Albert
14 Tuipulotu and Todd Schindler are listed as the deputies. A remark was added saying,
15 “Not at work. Sheriff’s Office contact card left with security.” This package was for
16 the restraining order, 23PSRO02415, Arbit v Zuckerberg. The second page lists the
17 documents that were received by SMCSO to be served on defendant Mark Zuckerberg.
18 The documents included CH-116, CH-100, CH-120, and CH-800. The Proof of
19 Unsuccessful Service was for a restraining order hearing scheduled for 01/30/24 at
20 8:30 AM. The hearing was scheduled for 7339 So Painter Ave, Whittier, CA 90602.
21 The Proof of Unsuccessful Service was signed by Albert Tuipulotu on January 29,
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 2024. The rest of the package contained copies of the documents that were to be
2 served. Because the Sheriff's office was coordinating with Mark Zuckerberg about
3 how to delay and avoid service, this package constitutes mail fraud.
4

5 On February 21, 2024, Arbit received an envelope from Christina Corpus,
6 Sheriff of San Mateo County. The package was sent through USPS. The return address
7 was 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063. The package was addressed to
8 Stanislav Arbit at 440 Barranca Ave 7377, Covina, CA 91723. The envelope contained
9 a total of three pages. The first pages was a Declaration of Due Diligence for case
10 23PSRO02415. The case name is Stanislav Arbit v. Mark Elliot Zuckerberg. There are
11 two declared attempts to personally serve Mark Elliot Zuckerberg. The first attempt
12 listed is for 01/30/24 at 10:40 AM for personal service by Patrick McKenna and Eric
13 Forrester at 1 Hacker Way, Menlo Park CA 94025. A remark was added to state that
14 Respondent not at work. Sheriff's Office contact card left with security lead.
15
16
17
18

19 The second declared attempt is listed as occurring on 02/07/2024 at 10:27 AM
20 for personal service performed by Patrick McKenna and Eric Forrester at 1 Hacker
21 Way, Menlo Park CA 94025. A remark was added by the deputies, "Not at work,
22 Sheriff's Office contact card left with security lead. The second page is a Proof of
23 Unsuccessful Service for a hearing scheduled for 02/16/2024 at 8:30 AM. The
24 documents received for service are listed as: CH-116, CH-100, CH-120, and CH-800.
25 The document was signed by Patrick McKenna on February 12, 2024. Because the
26
27
28

1 Sheriff's office was coordinating with Mark Zuckerberg about how to delay and avoid
2 service, this package constitutes mail fraud.

3
4 On March 01, 2024, Arbit received an envelope from Christina Corpus, Sheriff
5 of San Mateo County. The package was sent through USPS. The return address was
6 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063. The package was addressed to Stanislaw
7 Arbit at 440 Barranca Ave 7377, Covina, CA 91723. The envelope contained a total of
8 three pages. The envelope contained a Declaration of Diligence and Proof of
9 Unsuccessful Service that are identical to the ones received on February 21, 2024. This
10 letter serves as another count of mail fraud because SMCSO was coordinating with
11 defendant, Mark Zuckerberg to delay and avoid service.
12
13

14 On March 06, 2024, Arbit received an envelope from Christina Corpus, Sheriff
15 of San Mateo County. The package was sent through USPS. The return address was
16 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063. The package was addressed to Stanislaw
17 Arbit at 440 Barranca Ave 7377, Covina, CA 91723. The envelope contained a total of
18 three pages.
19
20

21 The first page was a Declaration of Diligence for Arbit v. Zuckerberg, case #
22 23TRCP00474. The Delaration lists two attempts to personally serve Defendant, Mark
23 Zuckerberg. The first listed attempt is 01/23/2024 at 11:00 AM. Patrick McKenna and
24 Albert Tuipulotu are listed as the deputies. A remark is added stating, "Not at location,
25 Sheriff's Office contact card left with security. The second listed attempt is 01/24/2024
26
27
28

1 at 11:07 AM. Albert Tuipulotu and Todd Schindler are listed as the deputies. A remark
2 states, "Not at work. Sheriff's Office contact card left with Security." Both of the
3 attempts occurred at 1 Hacker Way, Menlo Park CA 94025.
4

5 The next page is a Proof of Unsuccessful Service for Arbit v. Zuckerberg, case #
6 23TRCP00474. It states that the document to be served is a Notice of Case
7 Reassignment. The document states that the Notice was received by SMCSO on
8 01/19/24 and was to be served on Mark Elliot Zuckerberg at 1 Hacker Way, Menlo
9 Park, CA 94025. Albert Tuipulotu signed the document on January 29, 2024.
10

11
12 47 days passed between when SMSCO received the documents and Arbit
13 received a Proof of No Service. This was the second request in this case, and nothing
14 has been received for the first request which was for service of summons and
15 complaint in case 23TRCP00474. Deputy Tuipulotu signed the proof of Unsuccessful
16 Service on January 29, 2024 but it was not mailed for another month. The delay is
17 because SMCSO coordinated with defendant Mark Elliot Zuckerberg to delay and
18 avoid service. This letter is mail fraud.
19
20

21 On March 28, 2024, Arbit received an envelope from Christina Corpus, Sheriff
22 of San Mateo County. The package was sent through USPS. The return address was
23 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063. The package was addressed to Stanislav
24 Arbit at 440 Barranca Ave 7377, Covina, CA 91723. The envelope contained a total of
25 four pages.
26
27
28

1 The Declaration of Diligence is for case 23TRCP00474, Arbit v Zuckerberg.
2 The declaration lists two attempts for personal service. Both attempts were at 1 Hacker
3 Way, Menlo Park, CA 94025. The first listed attempt is 02/29/2024 at 2:23 PM for
4 personal serve by Deputies Patrick McKenna and Eric Forrester. A remark was added
5 stating, “Respondent not at work. Sheriff’s Office contact card left with security lead.
6 The second listed attempt was 03/14/24 at 1:10 PM for personal service by Patrick
7 McKenna and Albert Tuipulotu. A remark was added, “Refused at guard station,
8 Sheriff’s Office contact card left with security desk.”
9
10

11 The Proof of Unsuccessful Service lists the summons, complaint and ancillary
12 documents as received by SMCO on 02/28/2024. Arbit sent these documents on
13 01/09/24. The Proof of Unsuccessful Service was signed on March 19, 2024. 79 days
14 had passed since Arbit first submitted the request to service a complaint and summons
15 and received notice of unsuccessful service. This letter is mail fraud because SMCSO
16 coordinated with Mark Zuckerberg to delay and avoid service.
17
18
19
20

21 On May 24, 2024, Arbit received an envelope from Christina Corpus,
22 Sheriff of San Mateo County. The package was sent through USPS. The return address
23 was 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063. The package was addressed to
24 Stanislav Arbit at 440 Barranca Ave 7377, Covina, CA 91723. The envelope contained
25 a total of four pages.
26
27
28

1 The first page was a Proof of Service of Summons for case 23TRCP00474, Arbit
2 v. Zuckerberg. Item number 2 lists a Summons and Complaint as the documents that
3 were served. The ancillary documents were not listed like they were in the letter
4 received on March 28, 2024.
5

6 The Proof Of Summons claims that it personally served Mark Elliot Zuckerberg
7 at 1 Hacker Way, Menlo Park CA 94025 at 12:15 PM on 05/08/24. Deputy Patrick
8 McKenna signed the document on May 8, 2024. A copy of the documents served
9 included a small claims citation for a court in Texas. The Plaintiffs name is Phillip
10 Reynolds. Not Arbit. This letter serves as mail fraud because SMCSO coordinated with
11 the defendant to delay and avoid serving Mark Elliot Zuckerberg.
12
13

14 On 08/01/24, Judge Stern sustained Defendant's demurrer and entered
15 Judgement on 08/05/24.
16

17 On 08/06/24, Arbit filed a notice of appeal. On 08/07/24 Arbit filed a notice
18 designating record of appeal, and a clerk rejected it but without putting a reason,
19 thereby not notifying Arbit of the rejection. This is wire fraud by omission meant to
20 run out the 10-day time period in which a notice designating record is required to be
21 filed within.
22
23

24 Arbit reached out to the court room by sending an email to tordeptb@lacourt.org
25 to ask if they had received the e-file that was submitted. Arbit sent this email on
26 August 9, 2024 at 7:43 AM. Arbit did not receive a response. Arbit followed up on
27
28

1 August 12, 2024 at 9:38 but this was not answered. Arbit included the Judge,
2 dstern@lacourt.org, on the follow up.

3
4 On August 12, 2024, at 12:09 PM, Arbit received an email from
5 tordeptb@lacourt.org with a copy of Judge Stern's order stating that Arbit's emails are
6 improper and are considered Ex Parte communications. Arbit was ordered to not email
7 anything to Judge Stern, and no person involved in this case may email Judge Stern or
8 the Court's email resource account. This order was e-filed for one count of wire fraud.
9 This order was emailed for another count of fraud and the order was mailed for a third
10 count of fraud. The order is fraud because no documents or court actions were sent that
11 would constitute ex parte communication. Arbit was reaching out because he believed
12 that the corrupt Judge would continue to do corrupt actions to cover up past corruption
13 by not accepting the documents necessary for an appeal. This order is also fraud
14 because it implies that Judge Stern does not accept communications from parties
15 (outside of filed documents and hearings), but Judge Stern was colluding with the
16 defendant to stall and kill this case.

17
18 This order, and the judges behavior constitute a First Amendment violation by
19 infringing on Arbit's right to petition his government.

20
21 A proof of service was submitted on 08/15/24 but was rejected for not being in
22 proper court format. Arbit used a court-approved form. This rejection constitutes wire
23 fraud.

1 A corrected notice designating record, along with a proof of service was filed on
2 08/15/24 at 10:24 AM. On August 22, 2024, the notice designating record and the
3 proof of service was returned because Mark Zuckerberg does not have a fee waiver.
4
5 The notice, APP-003, states that Arbit is submitting the notice and Arbit has a fee
6 waiver. The court did not reach out to Arbit by phone or email to discuss. This
7
8 constitutes another cause of action for violation of the First Amendment because Judge
9 Stern, and the ASS are colluding to avoid processing legal documents and prohibiting
10 Arbit from petitioning his government.
11

12 *Mark Zuckerberg, Sheriff Corpus, and Judge Stern made heavy use of nefarious*
13 *tactics such as: perjury, false statements, obstruction of justice, lies by omission,*
14 *cherry-picking Arbit's statements, willful incompetence, delay tactics, stonewalling,*
15 *and gaslighting—in other words, classic Zuckerberg.^X*
16
17
18
19

20 ^XIN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. CONSUMER PRIVACY USER PROFILE LITIGATION, No 3:18-
21 md-02843-VC, Doc. 1104, 02/09/23. Available at https://fingfx.thomsonreuters.com/gfx/legaldocs/zgvobklmnpd/FacebookGibson_sanctions.pdf, Last viewed on August 04, 2024.
22
23

24 SHERIFFS_civil_enforcement@smcgov.org
25
26
27
28

1 **6. Department of Social Services (mail fraud and wire fraud)**

2 As ASS’s plan progressed to the point where Arbit needed State assistance to
3 survive, as planned.. The ASS was ready to take advantage of that situation too. They
4 recruited DPSS employees to first deny Arbit benefits.
5

6 In October of 2023 Arbit applied for CAIFresh. Arbit submitted his expenses
7 and income. However, after the interview his expenses were modified, by the case
8 worker to disqualify him from the program. This constitutes mail fraud because a letter
9 of disqualification was sent to Arbit in October.
10

11 In July of 2024, Arbit once again applied to DPSS. The DPSS case worker
12 deleted Arbit’s statement of income. During a phone call the DPSS case worker
13 demanded additional proof of income. The DPSS worker asked Arbit’s honesty in an
14 attempt to agitate Arbit. The DPSS worker also removed the unit number on Arbit’s
15 mailing address in attempt to defraud Arbit out of social services. The DPSS worker
16 committed mail fraud and wire fraud.
17
18
19

20 **7. WeWork Mail (Wire Fraud, theft of mail, and access control fraud)**

21 WeWork committed wire fraud when Vanessa Cruz emailed Arbit on 07/30/24 at
22 8:18 AM. Ms. Cruz sent an email from vanessa.cruz@wework.com to
23 stan@securepower.io.
24

25 Arbit had told the WeWork community managers that he was expecting three
26 letters but was only given two, when he stopped by the day before. Arbit inquired if
27
28

1 WeWork had any mail addressed to Stanislav Arbit. Ms. Cruz stated they had only
2 received two letters.

3
4 WeWork, and its employees are ASS members, and conspired and executed a
5 plan to steal an envelope containing Arbit's PIN to his EBT card. Because they didn't
6 need to open the envelope with the card to learn what the numbers are, they just
7 needed the pin to steal Arbit's supplemental nutrition funds provided by the State of
8 California.

9
10 Ms. Cruz, an ASS member, was part of this conspiracy and committed wire
11 fraud when she covered it up in the email to Arbit.

12
13 TALK ABOUT OTHER EMAILS USED TO COVER UP CRIMES

14
15 **8. Computer Crimes: ~~Electronic Email Provider Fraud (Gmail and AWS)~~**

16 The ASS first started hacking my computer and intercepting internet traffic
17 when I moved into the fraternity house belonging to the Alpha Sigma chapter of the
18 Alpha Epsilon Pi (AEPi) Fraternity at Arizona State University in 2006. The house was
19 located at 717 E. Alpha Drive, Tempe, Arizona 85281 and was demolished in 2012.

20
21 In 2007 Arbit moved to an apartment with two fraternity brothers, Joshua Simon
22 and Michael Brooks. Mr. Simon's and Mr. Brooks's intention for living with Arbit was
23 Machiavellian—they were to spy on Arbit for AEPi.

24
25 Also in 2007 Arbit built and operated an e-commerce website called
26 yourweddinginstyle.com. The website was a complimentary business of Arbit's
27
28

1 family's business, a photo lab and portrait studio located in Phoenix, Arizona—where
2 the plaintiff had worked since 2001. The ASS used their illegal access to Arbit's
3 computer to monitor Arbit's progress and sabotage his e-commerce store and steal the
4 work that he had produced. The hacking, stalking, and harassment never stopped.
5

6 In 2009, while Arbit was still living with Mr. Brooks, Arbit graduated from
7 college and began searching for engineering jobs, the ASS used their hacked access to
8 sabotage Arbit's job prospects. Arbit was finally able to get a job in October of 2010,
9 but that was only because of a familial connection to Arbit's first employer. Still, the
10 hacking, stalking and harassment by the ASS did not stop.
11
12

13 The ASS conspired with Arbit's employer LDP Associates and Schneider
14 Electric SE, the manufacturer of the goods that Arbit sold to sabotage Arbit's life. The
15 opportunity came in 2015 when Arbit started looking for new challenges.
16

17 In 2015, Arbit was living at 705 S Pacific Coast Hwy Apt C, Redondo Beach CA
18 90277. Arbit moved there in the summer of 2011 and the landlord's name was Tom
19 Bauer. The ASS had bribed Arbit's landlord to gain access to Arbit's apartment to hack
20 his computers, iPhone, and iPad. They also installed an air conditioner unit with a
21 camera and microphone hidden in the vent to spy on Arbit. When Arbit purchased and
22 installed a security camera, the ASS had cut the power, entered the apartment, and
23 hacked the security system to send alerts constantly to harass Arbit. This was done
24 in
25 conjunction with other harassment orchestrated to instill fear and anxiety.
26
27
28

1 Late 2015, as the orchestrated crimes against Arbit were intensifying, Tom
2 Buaer, Arbit's landlord, informed Arbit by email that he had not received the rent
3 check. Arbit used Bank of America's bill pay service which means the money was
4 already deducted from Arbit's account and Bank of America sent a check. Mr. Bauer
5 was lying when he said he didn't receive the rent payment and was attempting to
6 defraud Arbit out of an extra month's rent and the late fee. Mr Bauer and Arbit
7 communicated through email and Mr. Bauder sent six emails regarding this topic for
8 six counts of mail fraud.

9
10
11
12 In 2015 the ASS moved a person who claimed to be born in Ukraine, like Arbit,
13 and worked for SpaceX. This person claimed that he was having problems signing up
14 for internet access and asked Arbit if he could use his Wi-Fi temporarily. Arbit created
15 a guest password and Arbit told him the name of the Wi-Fi network. Arbit's WiFi
16 network was not being broadcasted and with the name of the network the neighbor
17 hacked into Arbit's network with a brute force attack.

18
19
20 One clear indicator that Arbit's personal computer was hacked in 2015 was
21 when it would reboot while Arbit was in bed. Another clear indicator of hacking
22 occurred in late 2015 when Arbit checked his Find My Phone feature in iOS it showed
23 a spoofed location that was distant from his current location and not a location where
24 he had travelled. The ASS had hacked Arbit's personal phone that had a new number.
25
26
27
28

1 Dennis Strieter, the owner of LDP Associates, the manufacturers representative
2 for the French multinational corporation commonly referred to as Schneider Electric
3 strong-armed Arbit into transferring the phone number he had since he was 16, (602)
4 329-3602 when he was hired. Mr. Strieter told Arbit that he would like to pay for his
5 phone bill and that Arbit should meet him at the Verizon store. At the verizon store Mr.
6 Strieter requested that the phone number be transferred to his corporate account and
7 then he immediately left. Since Arbit had no other job prospects because of the ASS's
8 hacking he was forced to comply. A phone number was not worth loosing income
9 when he and his dog were facing homelessness. Mr. Strieters later indicated his
10 intention for managing the phone number was because he was already conspiring to
11 end Arbit's career when and if he chose to leave. Having Arbit's phone number would
12 be conducive to future hacking. That act also counts as extortion.

17 Also in 2015, the ASS also hacked Arbit's car by breaking into Arbit's Infiniti
18 G35 sedan and installing a tracking device that was wired into the car's CAN bus
19 system. Arbit was aware that the car was broken into because of three reasons: (1)
20 alarm was reset when started the car; (2) clutch pedal spring was detached on one end;
21 and (3) Arbit's Social Security card was stolen from the center console. Arbit was
22 made aware of the scope of the hack at a later date by ASS members.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 In 2015, it became apparent, based on multiple indicators, that agents employed
2 by Schneider Electric SE, SpaceX, and AEPi, were coordinating their efforts to commit
3 crimes against Arbit, and they were using Facebook to communicate.
4

5 Also in 2015, Arbit started developing a business based on an application called
6 PinkySwear (pinkyswearapp.com). The application's purpose was to reduce friction in
7 making simple agreements. Arbit also registered a domain name datacenterninja.com
8 that was to be used for a consultancy business that would leverage his years of
9 experience in the data center market. However, when the stalking and harassment
10 started, it proved to be too much of a distraction for Arbit and the ASS had successfully
11 sabotaged businesses number two and three.
12
13

14 In 2015 Arbit purchased a 3D printer and a CNC router to start prototyping an
15 idea he had for an exercise machine. Arbit was not discussing his plans with anybody
16 but the ASS, with their hacked access, where able to contact the manufacturer of the
17 CNC router and hold the shipment of the product while providing false shipping
18 information to Arbit.
19
20

21 One clear indication that Arbit's new Android was hacked was that the battery
22 would drain very quickly be warm even if it was not used at all. One plausible attack
23 occurred in January of 2016 when Brad Schultesis, Arbit's former manager at LDP
24 Associates emailed a ".dat" filed that Mr. Schultesis labeled as a voice mail from
25 Arbit's (602) 329-3602 phone number, that Mr. Strieter had stolen.
26
27
28

1 In late 2015, Arbit purchased a new MacBook Pro. The ASS arranged for two
2 actors to sit across from Arbit at a Starbucks and spill liquid on Arbit’s new computer.
3
4 The two actors succeeded, the liquid spilled onto Arbit’s computer. The actor that did
5 spilled the cup said, “at least it wasn’t a hot liquid, right?”

6 Arbit also believes that going back to at least the time when the French
7 intelligence agents joined the ASS in their dirty war against Arbit (and most likely
8 other Americans), the ASS also employed a common Signaling System 7 attack.

9
10 To get away from the technology industry and Arbit’s former employer, Arbit
11 moved to Colorado to work on his exercise machine full time. By early 2016 ASS had
12 successfully hacked his new Android phone, new Apple computer, new iPad and the
13 shop computer that was operating the CNC router. The ASS enlisted Arbit’s
14
15 roommates, a childhood friend, David Marcus, and his wife, Staci Marcus, to continue
16 to stalk and harass Arbit and to sabotage Arbit’s business efforts.

17
18 On the way to Colorado, the ASS used Arbit’s hacked computers to track Arbit
19 on the road. As Arbit was driving they would, on multiple occasions, switch lanes to be
20 in front of Arbit then slow down to annoy Arbit. After sometime they will signal and
21 pull off in hopes of drawing Arbit into a physical confrontation.
22
23

24 Cameras were also installed in Arbit’s bathroom, workspace, and bedroom. The
25 ASS had stolen all passwords for all his devices and applications, including the
26 recently purchased iPad. Arbit decided it was once again necessary, for his own safety,
27
28

1 to move and the ASS had successfully sabotaged Arbit's fourth business by leveraging
2 unauthorized access to Arbit's electric devices and software and using the information
3 obtained to stalk and harass Arbit—in addition to more traditional physical stalking by
4 third parties, such as private detectives at the gym, on the road, and at stores.
5

6 While in Colorado, during the winter, the ASS leveraged their hack of Arbit's
7 car to disable the electrical system while Arbit was at a Home Depot. At the time, it
8 appeared to Arbit to be bad battery. Arbit was buying supplies for his business.
9

10 Arbit moved to Arizona after leaving Colorado and went to stay with his
11 Grandfather, Michael Reytblat, to casually work with Mr. Reytblat's company on his
12 data center related intellectual property and services. Arbit also looked for a new job
13 related to the data center field. During this Arbit was followed by people and was
14 harassed. The tracking device and hacked electronics were key in ASS's ability to
15 stalk, harass, and contain Arbit's employment prospects..
16
17

18 Examples of stalking include while Arbit was eating and noticed a person
19 stalking him and when the person noticed Arbit looking at him suspiciously the person
20 drove off. While Arbit was studying for the Fundamentals of Engineering test a person
21 was keeping tabs on him, and when Arbit was studying MySQL, a person was at the
22 Starbucks to track what Arbit was working on. In another instance, they knew when
23 and where Arbit had scheduled the Fundamentals of Engineering test. The ASS sent a
24 person to have an emotional meltdown in the lobby of the test taking facility because
25
26
27
28

1 they forgot their identification—while Arbit was waiting to be called back to the test
2 taking area.

3
4 To cover up the fact that the ASS was using Arbit's hacked computer to limit his
5 job prospects they also setup fake job interviews to cover up the fact that they were
6 committing wire fraud for the purpose defrauding Arbit out out of a engineering salary.
7 Arbit last year at LDP Associates, Arbit made 165,000. The damage for lost income
8 amounts to about 2,000,000 USD. However, lost income for damage to business
9 prospects is unlimited.
10

11
12 ~~Schneider Electric itself conducted a fake interviews with Arbit where they~~
13 ~~subjected Arbit to multiple interviews with no intention of offering him permanent~~
14 ~~employment and actively blocking him from conducting his own search through the~~
15 ~~use of their unauthorized access to Arbit's information technology. Schneider Electric~~
16 ~~enlisted other companies to participate in the destruction of Arbit's career by means of~~
17 ~~defamation, fake interviews, and leveraging the unauthorized intelligence gathered by~~
18 ~~hacking Arbit's computers.~~
19
20

21
22
23 In October of 2016, while Arbit was waiting for the background check to go
24 through for the contract position at Facebook, he took a trip to San Francisco. The ASS
25 knew which hotel Arbit was staying and the type of room he had. They had this
26 information because of the unauthorized access to Arbit's phone and computer that
27
28

1 they had gained. The ASS leveraged this knowledge and the situation to do three types
2 of operations. Variations of these to type of the operations would continue all the way
3 up to the writing of this section in October of 2024.
4

5 One of the operations involves using the same person to stalk Arbit at different
6 locations. The ASS initiated one such operation in October of 2016 while Arbit was
7 staying at the Hotel Bijou for 10 days. While Arbit was smoking a cigarette in front of
8 the hotel a person would stop by and chat Arbit up. This person would ask Arbit for
9 cigarette then talk to Arbit. He told Arbit that he was in the Army, and in a paranoid
10 manner told Arbit that he was behaving and that Arbit shouldn't be concerned. He also
11 told Arbit that he was homeless. Arbit responded that he is also close to being
12 homeless, but this person responded with disbelief like he had information on Arbit.
13
14
15

16 This person would also show up to stalk and talk to Arbit when Arbit's contract
17 was ending at Facebook while he lived at the 1027 Lincoln Way house. This person
18 also showed up when Arbit stayed in San Francisco at a hotel in San Francisco months
19 after the Facebook contract ended. At that time he walked by and announced to Arbit
20 that he brought backup because he found Arbit intimidating—and he was indeed
21 walking with another person. This same person would also show up in Santa Monica in
22 2021 when Arbit called the police on the ASS for stalking Arbit. He distracted the
23 police by acting suicidal and was pleading for the police to shoot him while Arbit tried
24 to file a complaint. The stalker was arrested for acting belligerent but not for stalking.
25
26
27
28

1 This same person also showed up at Arbit's office, in Los Angeles County, after Arbit
2 received notice of a court ruling that didn't go his way in 2024

3
4 Another type of operation that would be repeated over the years is using
5 honeypots, including underage honeypots. The honeypots would imply that they are
6 older. In this example, that occurred in October of 2016, the underage honeypots were
7 coming from the direction of the Tenderloin late at night—a neighborhood with a
8 famously high crime rate. Arbit was smoking a cigarette outside of his hotel when two
9 girls came around the corner and one of them stumbled and fell down. Behind here a
10 large man followed as if he just pushed her and then glanced at Arbit and turned
11 around to go back to the Tenderloin.
12

13
14 These fake damsels in distress looked like they were younger than 16 years old.
15 They seemed to be out of danger and Arbit ignored them. They showed up again when
16 Arbit's Facebook contract ended. The ASS along with co-conspirators at Uber had
17 arranged for the two girls to join Arbit's Uber Pool when Arbit went to go pick up his
18 rental car to drive back to Arizona. During the Uber Pool these two underage
19 honeypots had a fake conversation about how they just graduated college but are not
20 sure what to do with their lives and were feeling vulnerable. Arbit ignored them. There
21 is no doubt in Arbit's mind that these two girls were the same girls that were walking
22 through the Tenderloin late night. Extensive use of honeypots including underage girls
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 is a theme that would continue all the way up to the writing of this section in October
2 of 2024.

3
4 A third type of operations which would be repeated throughout the years is the
5 fake medical emergency. In the the first instance that was used in October of 2016, the
6 ASS had a man lie on the sidewalk near the entrance of Arbit's hotel. As Arbit
7 approached the man began to urinate onto the sidewalk while laying on his side. A
8 good samaritan came up to the man, confirmed that he was a diabetic and called an
9 ambulance on his behalf.
10
11
12

13 During Arbit's contract at Facebook, French intelligence agents would evaluate
14 Arbit to decide if they should continue to destroy Arbit. Arbit's options for affordable
15 housing that would accept his dog on a short term basis were limited. The first place he
16 called accepted him. French intelligence agents lived next door and pretended to
17 befriend Arbit. The agents claimed their names were Julien Tixier (a PE teacher),
18 Adeline Piaf, and Elli Mac. All three claimed to be teachers at the local French school
19 in San Francisco.
20
21

22 Arbit's apartment was the "Front" Apartment at 1027 Lincoln Way, San
23 Francisco, CA 94122. Mr. Tixier and Ms. Mac occupied the back apartment while Ms.
24 Piaf claimed to occupy the attic space in the main part of the house. Arbit's internet
25 activity was being monitored by the French agents and was also reflected back to Arbit
26
27
28

1 by at least one Facebook employee—a manager on the data center facilities team
2 which reported to the same director that Arbit’s manager reported to.

3
4 Three type of operations were employed by the French agents. Variations of the
5 these three operations would continue for the next 7+ years. These three operations are
6 a good sample of the operations deployed by the ASS because they show the range of
7 their goals, from minor inconvenience, to major inconvenience, from an implication of
8 potential violence to the setup of actual violence.
9

10 Starting with the most innocent type of operation—the shared resource takeover.
11
12 The shared resource takeover is designed to annoy for the purpose of instigating a
13 confrontation, one that hopefully lands the target, Arbit in this case, in legal trouble. At
14 the 1027 Lincoln Way house, this asset was the washing machine. The French would
15 purposely time their washing cycles to coincide when Arbit would use his the
16 machines. If Arbit changed his pattern they would too. When this failed to properly
17 elicit a response from Arbit they switched to using the dryer for one item at a time.
18
19 This item would be in the dryer most of the times when Arbit needed the machines.
20
21 The item would be on air dry to maximize the length of dry time. To make sure that
22 Arbit knew who to aim his response at, one of the female agents let Arbit know that the
23 item of clothing belonged to Mr. Tixier. This type of operations is still being heavily
24 used to this day, as Arbit types this section in October of 2024.
25
26
27
28

1 On the other end of the spectrum of inconvenience was an operation performed
2 by Julien Tixier at the end of Arbit's stay in the 1027 Lincoln Way. In 2015 when the
3 orchestrated criminal activity targeting Arbit started, Arbit started to smoke cigarettes
4 again. Arbit was still smoking in 2017 when he lived at the 1027 Lincoln Way house.
5 The French also smoked and Arbit would interact with the French in a friendly manner
6 when the three French agents and Arbit would walk down to the sidewalk to smoke
7 together. The only time that the interaction was less than friendly was when Mr. Tixier
8 would display signs of anger towards Arbit. The time Mr. Tixier verbally expressed
9 his anger towards Arbit, directly and in English, was when Mr. Tixier told Arbit that
10 people think that France is a small and weak nation. Arbit did not imply or state either
11 of those two things. Mr. Tixiers statements came from on actions unrelated to Arbit's
12 actions.
13

14
15
16
17 One day when Arbit went to the sidewalk to smoke, he left his phone, keys, and
18 wallet inside the unlocked apartment—as he typically did for the previous four months,
19 multiple times per day. Mr. Tixier, from the back apartment, sneaked up to Arbit's
20 apartment and turned lock on the handle so that it would lock behind him. Arbit was
21 locked out of his apartment and his possessions, including his elderly dog, were inside.
22 Mr. Tixier timed this while the landlord was European vacation.
23
24

25 Another operation that implied the potential of violence or was a setup for future
26 violence, would be placing a male behind and near where Arbit smoked by the street.
27
28

1 The purpose would depend on Arbit's reaction, and either purpose was a win for the
2 ASS. Either Arbit was intimidated by a large athletic looking male smoking behind
3 him all the time or Arbit would ignore him which would setup an opportunity for
4 violence as Arbit was not paying attention to the other individual he saw regularly.
5

6 The key indicator that this person was not a random stranger occurred when
7 Arbit sent Mr. Strieter a \$400 airplane propeller blade and asked Mr. Strieter for a
8 meeting, in hopes that there could be a diplomatic solution to the ASS's crimes that
9 have now been going on for ten years, with the last two years much more intense.
10 Shortly after sending the item, Arbit was walking on the sidewalk to the corner store,
11 and the large stranger, with a smile of relief on his face, stuck his hand out for Arbit to
12 shake. Arbit had never interacted with this man before that incident. This was also an
13 indication that Arbit's computer activity was being monitored even though he was
14 using a VPN.
15

16 Before leaving the 1027 Lincoln Way house, Mr. Arbit had a conversation with
17 Mr. Tixier that indicated he had knowledge of the events that transpired in late 2015
18 when the ASS escalated their operations against Arbit. The conversation related to
19 Arbit's behavior in late 2015.
20

21 In late 2015, Arbit noticed that his iPhone sounded odd on calls and he
22 suspected that his phone was hacked. To check to see if it was still hacked, Arbit would
23 call Bank of America's toll free automated phone service and listen to how it sounded.
24
25
26
27
28

1 This also allowed Arbit to see if his bank accounts were compromised. Arbit did this
2 many times. To refer to this, Mr. Tixier came up to Arbit while Arbit was outside of his
3 apartment, and while Mr. Tixier held his working phone in his hand, which was also in
4 range of the WiFi, asked Arbit if he knew what Bank of America's phone number is.
5 There was no reason for Mr. Tixier to assume that Arbit would know Bank of
6 America's phone number—a number that he could have easily looked up with his
7 phone in his hand or his computer at his apartment. Arbit recited the number from
8 memory. To further indirectly provoke Arbit, one of Mr. Tixier's female French
9 accomplices walked up to Arbit and Mr. Tixier, at which Mr. Tixier told the French
10 agent that he just asked Arbit what the phone number for Bank of America is and Arbit
11 was able to recited it from memory. The only thing that was left unsaid was that Arbit
12 was also dialing the phone number from memory in late 2015.
13
14
15
16

17 In June of 2017 Arbit left San Francisco and went back to Arizona to stay with
18 his grandfather. The ASS continued to monitor Arbit's computer use and use the
19 information gathered to block employment opportunities and times to setup fake
20 interviews to cover up their crimes and unethical behavior.
21

22 On his way back to Phoenix Arbit stopped for a few days in Santa Barbara. The
23 ASS tracked Arbit there using their unauthorized access to his phone and computer. An
24 example of an operation first used in Santa Barbara involves a reoccurring theme
25 played out by the ASS—mocking Arbit for being old.
26
27
28

1 One evening Arbit walked to a restaurant on a pier to sit on the patio with his
2 dog and have dinner. When Arbit exited the restaurant a group of young females were
3 in the parking lot, and they noticed Arbit and Arbit's dog walking towards them. One
4 of them made an "awww" interjection at the site of Arbit's old dog. As Arbit walked by
5 them on his way out and under the parking lot light where they were loitering, one of
6 the females, the one that made the "awww" interjection, made a grimace and loudly
7 exclaimed, "Gross, he's so old." At 34 years old, that was the first time Arbit was
8 called old. The young females got in their car and drove away.
9

10
11
12 After a couple of months in Phoenix, Arbit began to travel. Every few days,
13 Arbit and his dog would move to a different Motel 6. During this time the ASS
14 continued to stalked, harass, and leverage their unauthorized computer access to block
15 Arbit's employment search.
16

17 After about three months Arbit started to look for an Airbnb. The ASS knew that
18 Arbit was searching for a airbnb based on their unauthorized accesss to Arbit's
19 computer. The ASS setup a scenario to bait and possibly trap Arbit. Arbit leased a
20 small back unit for \$5200 for three months. The person living there before Arbit was a
21 French agent who acted like the landlord was a problem for her. She was setting up a
22 conflict between Arbit and the landlord, but the landlord was in on the scheme. The
23 landlord's name is Eran Cohen. His address is 22639 Oakcrest Court Cupertino, CA
24 95014. The lease start date was September 23, 2017.
25
26
27
28

1 During the three months that Arbit stayed in the Cupertino Airbnb. The ASS
2 continued to monitor Arbit's employment search in order to sabotage it, but allow fake
3 interviews, real rejections, and a new type of scheme. The new scheme was to allow
4 Arbit to work for a startup that was destined for failure but the ASS wanted to get
5 Arbit's engineering advice on how such a system could be used in the data center
6 space. In other words, Arbit can't work unless he's being enslaved.
7

8
9 Arbit accepted the contract position at one such company and moved in
10 November of 2017 to an Airbnb in San Francisco to be close to the company that he
11 agreed to work at as a contractor. However, prior to moving the landlord would
12 constantly parade potential tenants through Arbit's apartment. Arbit would take his
13 large dog and leave during these times. While it perfectly reasonable to expect that the
14 landlord would have potential tenants view the property, Mr. Cohen, in conjunction
15 with the ASS (which has included Mr. Zuckerberg since early 2017 while Arbit was
16 employed at Facebook's Menlo Campus), would send people who were not actually
17 interested in the property for the express purpose of annoying Arbit and trying to
18 instigate a conflict. If successful, where success would be defined along the lines of an
19 arrest, Mr. Cohen would receive a bonus payment.
20
21
22
23

24 Also while staying in the Cupertino Airbnb, Arbit believes that there were
25 cameras installed in the apartment. Moreover, when Arbit would go and eat or get
26 coffee, the ASS would send agents to talk about Arbit while Arbit was sitting by
27
28

1 himself enjoying a meal or a drink. On Arbit's daily walks the ASS would at times
2 follow him with a drone. The ASS also left a partially mauled deer carcass at the
3 entrance to Stevens Creek Trail at Stocklmeir Farm, where Arbit walked daily.
4

5 The second Airbnb was also a trap. The location of the Airbnb is 119A Sutro
6 Heights, San Francisco, CA 94121. The rental was handled by company calling itself
7 to SF Holiday Rentals. Arbit moved in on 11/29/17. Arbit extended the stay until
8 February 17, 2018. An extension was not offered after Arbit quit working for a
9 company called Axiom Exergy.
10

11 During Arbit's stay his computer activity was monitored and there were cameras
12 in the rented studio. The ASS continued to track Arbit. They coordinated with the
13 rental property prior to Arbit moving to execute schemes and plant hidden cameras.
14

15 One scheme that was initiated from the beginning was the rental property's
16 "new" accounting system. The property manager had trouble running the credit card,
17 not because it was being declined, but because it was apparently new and confusing to
18 the property manager. Prior to Arbit moving out, the property manager double charged
19 Arbit and when Arbit called it out, the property manager just blamed in on the new
20 accounting system. This was the first use of weaponized incompetence—a classic
21 Zuckerberg move.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 More fake interviews occurred while Arbit was staying in this Airbnb and while
2 the ASS controlled Arbit's job search through the use of unauthorized access to his
3 computer. One of those companies was Twitter and another was Cruise.
4

5 119A Sutro Heights, apartment also contained a shared washer and dryer which
6 would be constantly occupied by the only one other tenant. Another previously
7 employed operation was the use of physical stalking. A person who approached Arbit,
8 while Arbit lived at the 1027 Lincoln Way house continued to stalk Arbit around the
9 119A Sutro Heights apartment. The person first came up to Arbit dressed as a typical
10 person, but the person was in a somewhat hysterical emotional state, and was clearly
11 acting. The person claimed to be homeless, but did not appear to be homeless. The
12 person claimed a man was sticking his camera phone in their face and taking pictures.
13 This person asked if they could go to Arbit's house to sit down for a while. This same
14 person was stalking Arbit again. People using their phones to make it look like thier
15 filming Arbit continues to be a theme as of the writing of this section in October of
16 2024. Using previous stalkers to stalk Arbit in other locations, with clear indicators that
17 they are stalking Arbit specifically, would be repeated again over the years.
18
19
20
21
22

23 Two types of operations were used at the gym that Arbit used while staying at
24 the 119A Sutro Heights apartment. Both of these types of operations would continue
25 for years. One of these operations would be the "bad example" operation. In this
26 particular version the gym manager, a young and fit male, was paid to try to push
27
28

1 himself beyond the limit of what was safe. The goal was to have Arbit, who was 35
2 years old at the time, and much more prone to injury than a younger male would be
3 inspired to train harder than his older body could handle thereby injuring himself.
4

5 The other type of operation first used at this gym was the use of females to
6 hopefully get Arbit to fight another male. A younger couple came into the gym, they
7 were not regulars and Arbit only saw them this one time. It was also clear that they
8 were acting. In this version, the female started following Arbit around the gym and
9 making eye contact. The other male took notice, and acted like he was getting jealous.
10 He walked over to Arbit and asked if he could work in. Arbit obliged and the male
11 increased the weight and did his set. The female continued to follow Arbit. This
12 operation at the minimum creates an awkward social situation at time when Arbit is
13 focused on a particular task or it could create a tense situation where Arbit could
14 suspect that the male could become agitated to the point of violence. Luckily this
15 couple was clearly acting and its as just a distraction for Arbit. But placing attractive
16 females and agitating Arbit in situation would continue to be a recurring theme.
17
18
19
20

21 Another typically employed operation is the use of cars tuned to be noxious and
22 could not possibly have passed emissions. While living at the Sutro Heights apartments
23 Arbit would walk his dog along the beach path. At the start of the path, in the parking
24 lot would be a minivan that looked broken down but was always running. A person sat
25
26
27
28

1 in the passenger seat while desperately trying to get out. The air around the minivan was
2 highly polluted. The minivan was always there.

3
4 The desperation and despair of the man was also a strategic element of the
5 operation. Emotional transference is phenomena that Mr. Zuckerberg has deep
6 expertise in based on Facebook's experiment on more than half a million people over
7 the age of 13 that proved the efficacy of emotional contagions. [Experimental evidence](#)
8 [of massive-scale emotional contagion through social networks.](#) Adam Kramer et al,
9 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, June 17, 2014. This tactic would be
10 used extensively as the ASS continued to stalk, harass, organize other crimes against
11 Arbit.
12
13

14 Another operation on the beach walk would be to light toxic fires. Burning tires on
15 the beach would be used to disrupt Arbit's enjoyment of the walk along the beach with
16 his dog. Another type of disruption used on the beach walk, which would be repeated
17 on numerous occasions, is the use of off leash dogs. Arbit's dog was dog aggressive to
18 strange dogs that would run up to it while off leash. Because it elicited a response from
19 Arbit, it would be repeated numerous times, because Mr. Zuckerberg enjoys eliciting
20 engagement based on anger—it has made him the world's second most richest person,
21 and it brings him great joy to see other people angry.
22
23
24

25 Another venue for operations was the local grocery store. A memorable example
26 of an operation there was when a female walking to the store stopped, dropped her
27
28

1 pants, and had explosive diarrhea in the parking lot. She was not in a hurry to get to the
2 store and she stopped near Arbit. This was clearly orchestrated.

3
4 All venues that Arbit visited were prime targets for operations. The last venue in
5 the area that Arbit visited once, was a bar. The ASS were ready for a plan even though
6 this was not a typically visited venue because they knew Arbit was looking up such
7 establishments online. When Arbit arrived at the bar he ordered a drink and sat down
8 to enjoy it while reading his phone. Based on Arbit's head position and body fat
9 percentage, this created a double chin. To mock Arbit, the ASS sent a older Chinese
10 man to the bar who sat next to Arbit and cut a profile sketch of him that included the
11 double chin.
12
13

14 To cover up the computer crimes used to hamper Arbit's career and ability to
15 make an income, and allow the ASS to gain a low cost feasibility study, the ASS
16 coordinated with a startup called Axiom Exergy to allow Arbit to work be a contractor
17 there for a limited time.
18
19

20 The interviewing process was extensive and took three months. Afterwards,
21 Arbit was onboarded as the only contractor. The company was destined to fail for
22 various fundamental reasons. However, the ass had three goals for Arbit employment
23 there: (1) wind down Arbit's career; (2) insult Arbit to lower his self-esteem which was
24 high based his previously exemplary work for Schneider Electric; and (3) receive a low
25 cost feasibility study. There was never any intention from Axiom Exergy to offer Arbit
26
27
28

1 full time employment or the equity. Arbit quite in less than a month in January of 2018.
2 To Arbit this was a clear that the this was an inauthentic job and the ASS were behind
3 yet another trap.
4

5 After Arbit quit the Airbnb would not renew the rental agreement. They did try
6 to double charge Arbit and blamed it on the “new” and “confusing” accounting system.
7

8 For the next seven months, the ASS tracked Arbit, through unauthorized
9 electronic means as he stayed in Motel 6s and then in his car under the Golden Gate
10 Bridge when Arbit ran out of money. The fake interviews still occurred. Some of the
11 fake interviews came from Apple, Cruise (getcruise.com), Twitter, Equinix, Amazon
12 (AWS), Microsoft, Greensmith Energy Management Systems, Samsara, Molekule,
13 Particle, Cyxtera, Schneider Electric, and a person recruiting contractors of the same
14 job I had at Facebook reached out to me.
15
16

17 On one occasion, when speaking to a recruiter, Arbit inquired what he should be
18 studying in preparation for the interviews. The recruiter, knowing that Arbit was being
19 put through fake interviews so that Arbit would stop looking for jobs, told Arbit, in a
20 concerned tone, told Arbit not to study anything.
21

22 AWS went as far as flying me to Seattle. Arbit put his dog in a kennel and flew
23 to Seattle only to be dismissed on sight at the beginning of the interview by Jeevitha
24 Aruchamy. Ms. Aruchamy was incentivized by the ASS not to hire me. Before the six
25
26
27
28

1 interviews started, Ms. Aruchamy took Arbit to an early lunch where she was rude and
2 dismissive right off the bat.

3
4 On another occasion, during a fake interview. The interviewer was reading Arbit's
5 resume and asked, surprisingly, "you've passed the Fundamentals of Engineering
6 exam?" Arbit confirmed that he had after which the hiring manager said, "That's
7 something that they can't take away from you." The day before, while Arbit was
8 walking his dog a person, with fake excitement and near Arbit, yelled out, "Yipee, I got
9 a job." The bad acting was not an accident. Bad acting for the purpose of using
10 inauthentic behavior so that Arbit knows it is inauthentic behavior has been a constant
11 theme throughout the many years that the ASS have stalked and harassed Arbit.
12
13

14 In the fall of 2018, Arbit and his dog were living in Arbit's Mustang. At night
15 they slept at H. Dana Bowers Rest Area & Vista Point on the North side of the Golden
16 Gate Bridge. Arbit showered at the 24 Hour Fitness at NorthPoint Centre. During the
17 day Arbit would apply for jobs, but even though he was a degreed engineer who did a
18 good job for Schneider Electric and a good job at Facebook, he was not able to get any
19 job. Arbit was not able to get a job because the ASS continued to monitor and sabotage
20 Arbit's job search using the unauthorized access to his computer and his phone.
21
22

23
24 In late 2018, Arbit moved to Phoenix, Arizona, to stay with his brother. The ASS,
25 using their unauthorized access to Arbit's computer systems, tracked Arbit to this
26 location to continue to stalk, harass, and manage his job search.
27
28

1 One example of stalking harassing involved the nearby gym. At the gym, two
2 males, who appeared to be down on their luck, and not fitness enthusiasts, went to the
3 gym and watched Arbit. One time, when Arbit walked in front of them, one of the
4 males was so focused on being intimidating and watching Arbit that he fell on the
5 treadmill.
6

7
8 The ASS continued to conduct fake interviews to cover up the fact that they
9 were blocking legitimate job prospects from contacting Arbit while allowing rejections
10 to flow through. Github was one such company that put me through the process
11 without any intention of hiring me. The hiring manager's name was Sam Kottler.
12

13 After running out of jobs to apply for Arbit started searching nationally for a
14 sales engineering job. The ASS, of course, were monitoring Arbit's activity and when
15 Arbit applied to a company called Jumpshot, they started planning a new scheme.
16

17 Jumpshot was headquartered in Mark Zuckerberg's backyard in San Francisco.
18 The VP of Global People Operations was Jessica Miranda. Jessica had previously
19 worked at Facebook as a compliance partner between January 2015 and July 2016.
20 Facebook was also a Jumpshot customer. The interview process was easy and Arbit
21 was made an offer in late 2018—more than 587 days had passed since he had left
22 Facebook. Jumpshot was a trap and not a legitimate job offer based on Arbit's
23 education, work experience, or previous professional success. It was a trap set by
24 Zuckerberg and his criminal enterprise, ASS.
25
26
27
28

1 This is where the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) enters the conspiracy. The
2 principal members of the ASS have close relationships with the CCP. Mr. Zuckerberg
3 asked Xi Jinping to name his danger [https://qz.com/1115960/an-idiom-uttered-by-xi-](https://qz.com/1115960/an-idiom-uttered-by-xi-jinping-perfectly-describes-mark-zuckerbergs-frustrating-china-courtship)
4 [jinping-perfectly-describes-mark-zuckerbergs-frustrating-china-courtship](https://qz.com/1115960/an-idiom-uttered-by-xi-jinping-perfectly-describes-mark-zuckerbergs-frustrating-china-courtship). Mr. Musk is
5 dependent on China [https://www.nytimes.com/2024/03/27/world/asia/elon-musk-tesla-](https://www.nytimes.com/2024/03/27/world/asia/elon-musk-tesla-china.html)
6 [china.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2024/03/27/world/asia/elon-musk-tesla-china.html). France, by way of Schneider Electric, has a significant investment in
7 China. [https://www.se.com/ww/en/assets/564/document/14177/25-release-china-](https://www.se.com/ww/en/assets/564/document/14177/25-release-china-investor-call.pdf)
8 [investor-call.pdf](https://www.se.com/ww/en/assets/564/document/14177/25-release-china-investor-call.pdf)

9
10
11
12 The ASS, with full knowledge and control of Arbit's life, through the use of their
13 unauthorized access to Arbit's phone and computer, set yet another trap for Arbit.
14 There was only one apartment that Arbit could afford that would accept a dog in New
15 York City. The apartment was located at 208 Grand St, New York, NY 10013. It was an
16 Airbnb, and Arbit stayed there from January 2, 2019 to February 5, 2019.

17
18
19 Arbit along with his dog drove from Phoenix to New York City, when Arbit
20 arrived at the location he messaged the Airbnb host. The host didn't show up for
21 approximately four hours until it was dark and cold. The host blamed in on a mixup—
22 but it was willful incompetence, a classic Zuckerberg move. The apartment was
23 located in a predominantly Chinese area and was operated by Chinese intelligence
24 agents.
25
26
27
28

1 Arbit's first day at the office was January 7, 2019. Arbit's Jumpshot colleagues
2 would employ multiple psychological operations on behalf and in corporation with the
3 ASS. One of these recurring operations was reflecting intelligence gathered with the
4 hidden spy cameras in Arbit's apartment to harass Arbit at work. For example, Mr.
5 Carney, a Jumpshot account director made it known to Arbit that he was aware that
6 Arbit's dog watches Arbit in the shower. Arbit's dog had a fear of water and did indeed
7 watch Arbit in the shower. On another occasion, Mr. Carney made it known to Arbit
8 that he was aware that Arbit was feeding his dog chicken; this was also a daily
9 occurrence. On another occasion the ASS used a wework worker to reflect a fact that
10 somewhat unique to Arbit—the fact that he used his iPad with a app called "Presence"
11 to monitor his dog and the apartment's door.
12

13
14
15
16 The reflection of the Presence application is worth noting because it is a
17 technique that is highly effective and is used extensively by the ASS in their campaign
18 of terror. The ASS overload the victim, in this case, Arbit, with circumstantial evidence
19 —often with plausible deniability. They will rotate themes and people but they will
20 constantly create situations that leverage the improbable, and when multiple
21 improbable events are strung together, statistically speaking, they become less
22 probable.
23
24

25 For example, a person almost walking into you because they are not looking
26 where they are going because they are engrossed in their phones happens. But the ASS
27
28

1 will take this to the extreme and do it constantly. In this case, the iPad app was a
2 possible coincidence but not improbable. If that was the only “coincidence” most
3 people would think nothing more of it. However, if you have been stalked and harassed
4 for over a decade with significant increase escalation of said stalking and harassment
5 over the previous four years, and these type coincidences kept occurring you would be
6 more untuned to them. Another telltale sign that an event is not real is that most people
7 are terrible actors.
8

9
10 ~~Jumpshot employees ran the ASS’s psychological operations on Arbit at work.~~

11
12 In addition to the reflection of the hidden camera intelligence back to Arbit the
13 Jumpshot employees also started to break Arbit down more directly. For example,
14 Arbit’s first few days, Natalie Seidman, the VP of North America Sales, shared an
15 office with Arbit and was growing agitated that she had to leave to take personal calls,
16 she also appeared to fire a person to take their desk and be with the rest of sales team.
17 This was coordinated to create drama much like a real Reality Show, except this reality
18 show was being broadcast on Facebook.
19
20

21 Because of the persistent nature of the ASS’s computer crimes, against Arbit,
22 Arbit’s credit score was below 500 and he did not have money for a security deposit.
23 The ASS were well aware of the challenges of finding affordable housing in New York
24 City and this was especially true for Arbit and his large dog. The ASS had hoped, and
25 one Jumpshot employee, Caroline Camargo, tried to push Arbit into finding
26
27
28

1 roommates. This was not a friendly suggestion. This was an attempt to make a more
2 entertaining program for Facebook. To further force Arbit to consider this proposition,
3 the heat in the Chinese government's Airbnb where Arbit was staying was extreme
4 even with the windows open in the New York winter.
5

6 In the early days of the Arbit's employment one employee, Eli Goodman, the VP
7 of Strategic Sales called Arbit a scumbag. There was no rhyme or reason, he just did it.
8 On another occasion, Mr. Goodman showed Arbit a picture of a young and fit female
9 that he claimed was his girlfriend. Arbit recognized this female as a person who
10 attended the same class at the local UFC gym as Arbit. Because of the ASS's
11 unauthorized access to his computer they were able to plan their stalking ahead of
12 time. So shortly after Arbit reserves a spot in a class, they can plan to put agents at the
13 same class. Arbit would later meet Mr. Goodman's significant other and it was not the
14 person in the picture.
15
16
17
18

19 Brendan Brady was Arbit's hiring manager. Mr. Brady's job was to be toxic to
20 Arbit's career directly and indirectly. By setting up another job where the ASS could
21 control a job reference the ASS had practically ensured the end of Arbit's career.
22 Additionally, Mr. Brady would act like he is never happy with Arbit's performance in
23 order to destroy Arbit's confidence and put him out of practice in a profession where
24 Arbit had previously excelled at (sales engineering). To ensure compliance, the ASS
25 used defamation. The defamation was reflected back to Arbit by the Jumpshot
26
27
28

1 employees. The Jumpshot employees made it known to Arbit that they believed that
2 Arbit was: (1) A pedophile; (2) A thief; and (3) A domestic abuser. The false
3 proclamation made by the ASS, that Arbit was a pedophile, was first made known to
4 Arbit when he was staying in Denver.
5

6 On one occasion Mr. Brady set up a scenario with Mr. Carney and Arbit where
7 Arbit was led to believe that he was about to get fired, but Mr. Brady apparently
8 changed his mind because Arbit made a good joke. On another occasion, Alvin Jeng,
9 while visiting the New York office fired Arbit by having IT turn off his computer
10 access. This was reinstated shortly after.
11
12

13 Towards the end of the first year, 2019, after Arbit had on numerous occasion
14 shown value—at times by going out of his way to help others, numerous sales
15 employees implied and explicitly stated that Arbit would be promoted above his
16 manager, Mr. Brady. Mr Brady played along, but it was just another ASS operation.
17
18

19 Late in 2019, during one 1-1 meeting with Mr. Brady, Arbit stated that the
20 company lacks sufficient documentation. Mr. Brady had prepared a short document
21 that described a few products that Jumpshot sells and did not take kindly to Arbit's
22 comment. The ASS used this to setup a conflict between Arbit and Mr. Brady, a
23 rageaholic. The contents of the Mr. Brady's document was shared with a media
24 representative who lambasted the company for its privacy violations. Mr. Brady
25
26
27
28

1 informed Arbit that he would like to violently assault whoever leaked the internal
2 document.

3
4 Jumpshot's parent company, and the source of the data, was Avast. Avast being a
5 security company did not like the negative press coverage and shut Jumpshot down.
6 The ASS had put Arbit out of work.

7
8 Using the knowledge gained in the Facebook study on the effectiveness of
9 emotional contagions that was conducted on unknowing participants, the ASS
10 recreated the same effect in real life on Arbit. The type of operation would be repeated
11 often over the years but it started while Arbit was in New York. For example, in front
12 of Arbit's office and in front of Arbit apartment building they would put two people
13 who were clearly out of place and very sad looking. The one in front of the office
14 would sit in clothes that one would typically wear at home, and not outside in SoHo,
15 and he would smoke weed with his dog. Another sad individual first approached Arbit
16 in front of Arbit's apartment building and asked about leasing an apartment and then he
17 would sit on the stairs and look very sad. These theme would typically be repeated
18 with homeless people because a major objective of the ASS is to leave Arbit destitute.
19 Whenever possible additional objects are added that connect Arbit to the person. In one
20 example a homeless person outside of Arbit's apartment in Downtown Manhattan had
21 a bag of almonds next to him every time Arbit passed him, which was a daily snack for
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Arbit. In another example, when Arbit purchased a skateboard, a sad homeless
2 skateboarder started to follow Arbit around.

3
4 The ASS also would follow Arbit as he walked and rode the subway. If they
5 stalker would notice something unique about Arbit's behavior they would reflect it
6 back to Arbit. The reflections would often be indirect. For example, Caroline
7 Carmago, a sales director at Jumpshot at the time liked to talk about Arbit in front of
8 Arbit but pretend like she is talking about another employee. One specific instance of
9 this was when Arbit was riding the subway to visit his dying grandmother in the
10 hospital he was wary of touching the metal pole and preferred to hover his hand around
11 it in case he needed as a way to be slightly more sanitary. The ASS seized on this had a
12 good laugh about how "the other guy" does that on the subway—this was shortly after
13 Arbit started doing it on his way to the hospital.

14
15
16
17 Jumpshot had an unlimited vacation policy but when Arbit attended his
18 Grandmother's funeral. The funeral was done around midday, but Arbit went home
19 instead of back to the office. To let Arbit know that he was being stalked and they were
20 aware he could have gone to the office, Jumpshot docked his pay for half a day.

21
22
23 When Arbit tried to explore a bar that was next to his house the ASS were ready
24 to bribe the bartender to pour water and not vodka, because to the ASS, this was a
25 game of total domination.
26
27
28

1 The ASS coordinated their activities digitally but any small insult was an
2 objective. For example, Jumpshot employees would audibly make plans and invite the
3 new hire but not Arbit to social events.
4

5 The ASS had access to to Arbit's computer and phone but they also bribed the
6 property manager at his Downtown Manhattan apartment to gain access to Arbit's
7 apartment in order to hack his router, Google Nest, and install hidden cameras. To
8 circumvent the Google Nest the ASS bribed the building cut the internet access, then
9 the propetry manager let the ASS into the apartment. To make sure that Arbit's dog
10 would be friendly, a person would ride with Arbit and his dog on the elevator while
11 holding food—this way the dog became accustomed to the person and the dog could
12 be bribed with chicken.
13
14
15

16 With router and security system hacked, and the bribed access from the property
17 manager the ASS were able to enter Arbit's apartment to change out the batteries in the
18 hidden cameras. The ASS also swapped out Arbit's mouthwash with something that
19 causes skin tissue to peel. They also documented Arbit's fridge content. Mr Brady
20 commented on Arbit's fridge content, which consisted of only meat, by claiming that
21 Arbit is very far from a vegetarian—a comment that could've only been made with the
22 knowledge of Arbit's fridge.
23
24

25 While Arbit was living in the Downtown Manhattan apartment the ASS also
26 started to hurt Arbit's dog while Arbit was away from his apartment. Arbit's dog started
27
28

1 to cower in the back of the apartment, out of sight of the front door, when Arbit came
2 home instead of meeting him at the door and waiting to be taken for a walk. He
3 developed symptoms resembling a tick bite where he became lethargic and at times
4 almost passed out on his feet. His health would improve but this was far from the last
5 time that the ASS would abuse Arbit's dog.
6

7
8 Aribt went to the apartment management office to see what he could learn and to
9 let them know that he has unique security concerns. As Arbit was explaining the
10 situation to the residential associate the apartment manager, Nicole Macajoux leaned
11 over from behind her computer and asked if Arbit had any evidence—which is not the
12 type of response an innocent person who has a fiduciary responsibility to a client gives.
13

14 After Arbit gave notice to move out in February, the ASS arranged to tours of
15 Arbit's apartment. Arbit checked the apartments's website and there were many
16 identical studios that were vacant. A high end call girl showed up to view Arbit's
17 apartment twice. On the second visit Arbit heard her rummaging through Arbit's closet.
18 Arbit had recognized because she of the professional bikini picture she used as her
19 profile pic in a dating application. Her profile said she was only in town for a few days
20 and provided her contact information in the profile. The ASS were once again trying to
21 setup a confrontation or a honeypot that would lead to a confrontation and other
22 unpleasantries.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 The ASS would then indirectly reflects about Arbit back to Arbit at the Jumpshot
2 office. The ASS would also indirectly reflect facts about Arbit's trips outside of the
3 home, like when he went to Apple Store.
4

5 The ASS also had agents living at the same apartment building, which was
6 located 71 Broadway Manhattan NY 10006 (Owned by Equity Residential). One of
7 these agents ran a fake emergency operation one day. In one of these fake emergencies
8 the person slapped the elevator as it was coming up the 9th floor, where Arbit and his
9 dog where waiting for it so they could take their evening walk. When the doors
10 opened, a person was pretending to have a seizure. When Arbit called 911, the person
11 put themselves in a recovery position with a quick smile and then continued to pretend
12 to convulse. After the person came back to consciousness he acted confused and was
13 checking to see if he still had his wallet.
14
15
16

17 Another type of operation that was first used in New York City would be to
18 reflect back to Arbit recently searched subjects. For instance, during one point, Arbit
19 became interested in the possibility of owning a Siberian Husky and started to do
20 research about them. This was a unique enough event that the ASS brought a Siberian
21 Husky puppy in front of him while Arbit was walking outside with his dog, shortly
22 after the research. On another occasion in or around December of 2019, after I
23 searched for Dennis Strieter, my old boss from LDP Associates, he showed up in front
24 of my apartment in New York City.
25
26
27
28

1 For a few months, in New York City, Arbit joined a gym in Downtown
2 Manhattan that had a punching bag. To interfere with Arbit the ASS sent a person to
3 show up after Arbit would start working out and ask how much longer they would be
4 using the bag. This is typical at a gym but the ASS, take everyday social events that
5 range from inconvenient to dangerous and do them *on purpose* against Arbit. Arbit
6 would often workout for an extended period of time on the bag, and this fact was
7 related back to Arbit by Mr. Brady who said he was tired of just watching it. Mr. Brady
8 did not work out at that gym.
9
10
11

12 Another type of operation that the ASS did near Arbit's apartment building
13 involved a large guy, who looked out of place near Wall Street, that was standing on
14 the sidewalk where Arbit was walking his dog, and stared at Arbit while speaking
15 loudly on the phone about how he just got paid a large sum of money to hit this guy on
16 the back of the head.
17
18

19 In another type of operation, the ASS started paying petty criminals to do crimes
20 at the local Duane Reed that Arbit frequented almost daily. When Arbit first moved
21 there, there were no crimes, but shortly before Arbit moved out the crimes started to
22 occur and appeared to be increasing. This was meant to intimidate Arbit and to cover
23 up a future crime against Arbit. The crimes ranged shoplifting to vandalism. On
24 multiple occasions, the ASS simply paid people to cut in front of Arbit at the Duane
25 Reed or yell at the cashier and create a scene.
26
27
28

1
2 While Arbit was interviewing with LuanchDarkly, a company that was
3 conducting fake interview with Arbit on behalf of the ASS, Jumpshot VP of People
4 Operations, Jessica Miranda conducted a mock exit interview Arbit that she broadcast
5 with her AirPods. Arbit made a good joke at the end of it and when he got back to the
6 office the Jumpshot employees were laughing. Mr. Brady made it a point to state that
7 they hired a clown.
8

9
10 The hiring manager at LaunchDarkly was someone who Arbit had hired while
11 living in New York City using UpWork. The LaunchDarkly hiring manager was named
12 Lev Lazinskiy and Arbit had hired him for a resume review. Arbit would later apply to
13 LuanchDarkly with that resume. The ASS knew this because of their unauthorized
14 access to Arbit's computer. The ASS also knew what Arbit salary expectation was
15 based on Arbit's internet research. The ASS setup a scenario not just to waste Arbit's
16 time, but they hope to draw Arbit into a confrontation with a New York based
17 employee who appeared reluctant to hire Arbit and made a low ball offer that he Arbit
18 would counter. When Arbit countered with a reasonable offer, the negotiation ended
19 and the original offer was pulled. The ASS hoped that this would create a dramatic
20 confrontation, one that hey hoped would lead to police involvement.
21
22
23
24

25 In early 2020, while living at the New York apartment, Arbit started a California
26 LLC, registered as a Schneider Electric partner to sell products that he had previously
27
28

1 sold for Schneider Electric, and purchased a domain name to start building an e-
2 commerce store. The first domain that Arbit purchased was “apcstan.com.” The ASS,
3 with their authorized access of Arbit’s computer were aware of this, but allowed it
4 because it would be free marketing for the ASS principal, the French multinational,
5 Schneider Electric. Had Arbit found a big project he wouldn’t be able to float the
6 transaction cost and Schneider Electric would simply take it away from him.
7
8

9 In March Arbit reserved an Airbnb in San Diego. The ASS with their
10 unauthorized access to Arbit’s computers mirrored his action and reserved the beach
11 condo next to Arbit. Two young French agents at the beach acted like a couple and the
12 male was in the Army stationed nearby. They ran a few operations while Arbit was
13 here.
14
15

16 One of the operations was just to be annoying and smoke cigarettes on the patio
17 so that smoke filled Arbit’s condo. Another operation involved the female flirting with
18 Arbit to get his attention while the male was away. A subsequent operation involved
19 the male pretending like he was having some erectile dysfunction problems, that he
20 proclaimed loudly enough for Arbit to hear with his windows open. And yet another
21 operation while the male was at work involved the female discussing how she plans to
22 steal the males life savings and she is just playing him. The male later returned home
23 and they started making plans to move in together and get married.
24
25
26
27
28

1 At one point, the male loudly, so that Arbit could hear it in his rental, instructed
2 the female on how to use the new handgun he had given her. He told her how to
3 chamber a round. This served a dual purpose. First this created more tension in the
4 situation, and second, Arbit would evidentially drive back to Arizona and get his Glock
5 9mm. To ensure that the ASS could successfully execute a home invasion they hoped
6 that this skit would convince Arbit to keep his Glock's chamber empty.
7
8

9 Of course all of these was fake. After the female tried to flirt with Arbit and
10 Arbit literally shut his door, the male congratulated Arbit in passing later that afternoon
11 when he got home, while wearing a big smile on his face.
12

13 Outside of the San Diego beach rental, two types of operations were first used.
14 One was vandalism of Arbit's car and another involved people marching with intent to
15 intimidate. The vandalism to Arbit's car involved placing a kit on Arbit's car to make it
16 look like it "just crashed there." An example of intimidation involved a person who
17 was marching, as in taking extraordinary long and quick steps while holding a guitar
18 with the neck down on his shoulder as if it was a rifle. Using objects that can turn to
19 weapons is a typical operation of the ASS.
20
21

22 When Arbit moved to another Airbnb in San Diego, the ASS followed him there
23 too. They repeated the previous two operations, but this time they intensified their
24 effect. Arbit's tire was punctured with a nail leaving it flat one day, and while Arbit
25 was walking his dog in the neighborhood, a man exited the front door of his house and
26
27
28

1 marched with a rifle over his shoulder around the front yard and to the back of the
2 house. The ASS also made sure that the Airbnb hosts were rude to Arbit and shut off
3 his internet while Arbit was working on a National Science Foundation grant.
4

5 While at this location the ASS watched Arbit search for apartments and they set
6 a trap for him in Santa Monica. Arbit noticed this reasonably priced apartment that
7 looked good and allowed for dog was on the market longer than it should have been,
8 but there were no other affordable options for Arbit. Arbit was correct to be concerned,
9 as this turned out to be another ASS trap.
10

11 The apartment was located at 1053 11th St, Santa Monica, CA 90403. The
12 landlord's name is Eve Anne McGuire. Ms. McGuire would end up sending her son to a
13 French college while Arbit was still living at her apartment which is connected to Ms.
14 McGuire's house, located at 1103 California Ave, Santa Monica, CA 90403.
15

16 Ms. McGuire would facilitate the hacking of Arbit's computers and the
17 placement of hidden cameras in Arbit's apartment. Additionally, Ms. McGuire hosted
18 French intelligence agents that required access to Arbit's apartment to facilitate the
19 psychological operations aimed at Arbit and extract work and hidden camera footage.
20

21 The ASS continued to run psychological operations on Arbit. Some were
22 designed just to be things out of the ordinary and others were more menacing. Some
23 examples include: people posing out of truck pickup beds as Arbit would walk by;
24 placing midgests and excessively tall people along Arbit's walk; a man stopping me to
25
26
27
28

1 tell me I'm old and that my dog is old and then blocking my path as I tried to leave;
2 people following me in the neighborhood who don't live there; random guy running by
3 with a boom box playing a song about how my time is up while staring at me; and a
4 "blind" man that would walk by my apartment a every time I open the door, exactly as
5 I opened the door—my schedule was not consistent and I purposely changed it, yet
6 there he was every time; and one time when I too my dog for a walk at 3:00 am, I man
7 came around the corner with a lanyard—this same person would later show up in
8 Arizona outside of the hotel I was staying at (he still had the lanyard in hand).

12 Events that occurred in this apartment would also be reflected back to me. One
13 example is that I had acid reflex when I ate pickles that caused me to have to clear my
14 throat aggressively. The ASS had adopted this as their call sign and multiple times a
15 day I have people clearing their throats in an excessive, grunt like manor. One time,
16 when I had moved to Downtown Los Angeles, I went to Target where I picked up a
17 book called "[An Ugly Truth: Inside Facebook's Battle for Domination](#)" and started
18 reading it in the shopping plaza's courtyard and a man came up and sat next to me.
19 This man opened a bucket of pickles and started consuming them quickly and
20 mimicking my acid reflex just.

24 The ASS also used their unauthorized computer access to steal about 3,000
25 email contacts that Arbit had acquired of decision makers in the Information
26 Technology industry. Arbit was using a company called Mailgun and was sending the
27

1 emails programatically. The ASS would intercept the attempted API call and send back
2 a fake accepted message. None of the emails made it to the end users. No emails of
3 interest were received by Arbit.
4

5 Arbit's grandmother that recently passed away while Arbit was in New York was
6 named Lucy. The ASS learned this fact and leveraged it for their psychological
7 operations. One way this does this was with using the name in fake phone conversation
8 that actors would have as Arbit would pass them while walking his dog. Anther way to
9 leverage to push on this button inovolved the landlord, Eve Mcguire, getting an adult
10 dog name Lucy. Since Arbit's apartment's windows faced the landlords backyard, Ms.
11 Mcguire would should "Lucy" so that Arbit could hear it be reminded of his recently
12 deceased grandmother.
13
14
15

16 The ASS also used their access to Arbit's apartment to hurt Arbit's dog. This
17 coincided when Arbit was gaining focus and momentum in his business. To jam Arbit
18 up they made his dog ill. One late evening as Arbit was coding a marketing
19 application, his dog started vomiting and couldn't keep his balance. Arbit took the dog
20 to an emergency veterinarian. The ASS had prepped the employees, including the
21 veterinarian to imply that they can't be sure what the problem is but he should be left
22 at the hospital and possibly put down. Arbit took his dog home and for the next few
23 weeks the dog slowly started walking again but the disorientation never went away
24 completely. The ASS would pull a similar attack on Arbit's brother's dog, a young
25
26
27
28

1 golden retriever, when Arbit stayed in Arizona in October of 2022 through September
2 2023.

3
4 Prior to Arbit's dog getting sick, a man walked by Arbit while Arbit was walking
5 his dog and say to Arbit, "Nice dog, it would be shame if anything would happen to
6 him." Arbit had never seen this person and never this person again.

7
8 In another operation, the ASS dropped a book on the sidewalk near Arbit's house
9 where he walking his dog. Near the book where two people in sleeping bags snoring
10 loudly and in a fake manner. This was a residential area and the police would have not
11 allowed people to sleep there before—it was also in the middle of the day. The book
12 was about murder.

13
14 The ASS were also more explicit in their threats. One day, as Arbit returned
15 from a walk with his dog and entered his kitchen where the window was open, a man
16 walked by and yelled, "Stan, you're a dead man." This had occurred after Arbit had
17 other vendors, and was no longer just selling for the French multination corporation
18 commonly referred to as Schneider Electric.

19
20 One afternoon Arbit looked up the nonemergency line for the Santa Monica
21 Police. The ASS, with their hacked access to Arbit's computer and phone intercepted
22 the call and had a fake police officer show up. The fake police officer was of Chinese
23 decent and he didn't have a name tag, gun, or radio. On another occasion Arbit called
24 911 and met the police at a location other than his house to try and file a report. The
25
26
27
28

1 ASS had switched out a supplement called l-theanine with cocaine. So when Arbit
2 went to talk to the police he first took a supplement which was helping him focus, but
3 this time, instead of focus, he was on an upper. When the police showed up, the guy
4 that had been stalking him in San Francisco since 2016 showed up and begged to be
5 shot by the police. The ASS where tryiing to create a situation where Arbit not only
6 couldn't file a police report but would possibly get arrested or shot because of the
7 drugs he was dosed with and the wild story that is difficult to tell.
8
9

10 On another occasion, while living in Santa Monica (between May of 2020 and
11 August 2021), Arbit used his phone to submit an FBI tip but it looked like web
12 application failure and no confirmation that the message went through was received.
13 This was because the ASS had hacked Arbit's phone.
14
15

16 While living in Santa Monica Arbit received several emails from a company
17 purporting to represent Facebook. The emails stated that they would like to hire local
18 companies to help build Facebook's data center campus in Mesa, Arizona. The emails
19 had a call to action to schedule a meeting. When Arbit went to the person's website,
20 the company claimed that it worked with corporations and local law enforcement to
21 prosecute extortion. Arbit received these emails at his business email address,
22 securepower.io. At the time, the domain was hosted with Google Workspace. The
23 emails have since been deleted by the ASS.
24
25
26
27
28

1 In August of 2021, Arbit vacated the apartment. Since the ASS had physical
2 access to the door, and the apartment had no A/C so the open windows were also a
3 point of possible entry, Arbit had no choice but to vacate. The landlord, Eve McGuire,
4 did not refund Arbit his security deposit because he failed to provide a 30 day notice.
5 In addition to the free college for her son and the free trips to France, Eve McGuire
6 had defrauded from Arbit the security deposit.
7

8
9 This is the fourth business venture that the ASS had once again successfully
10 disrupted. For the next 3+ years the ASS will continue to dispute this business through
11 racketeering acts that all stem from their illegal access to Arbit's computers.
12

13 In the summer of 2021, Arbit departed to Phoenix so that Arbit could pick up his
14 Glock 9mm. Arbit began staying in various hotels and short term rentals until
15 November. At each location the ASS continued to stalk and harass Arbit using the
16 unauthorized access to his computers.
17

18 At one hotel the ASS unlocked and opened the room door while he was in the
19 shower and left it open. At another hotel, they made sure that Arbit was given a room
20 that was trashed and only had scolding hot water in the shower. At another hotel, where
21 Arbit stayed for an extended period of time, the ASS pushed cameras through the
22 common HVAC vents to monitor Arbit's activity, and while Arbit was showering they
23 entered Arbit's hotel room and stole Arbit's business debit card. The ASS would also
24 have people stalk Arbit, like the guy who Arbit first met at 3:00 am in Santa Monica
25
26
27
28

1 and followed Arbit to Phoenix, but the ASS also brought in other people. These people
2 could best be described as “having the appearance of Methamphetamine aficionados.”
3
4 Others would talk about Arbit near Arbit so that Arbit knew that he was being stalked
5 and harassed by professional goons.

6 At one point, Arbit, assuming that his phone was hacked, took his new
7 Chromebook and quickly drove to the McDonalds nearby to use their Wi-Fi and
8 attempt to submit another FBI tip. Arbit had left the phone in his room as a precaution,
9 but an SUV drove up next to Arbit, in a hurried fashion, and the driver sat in their car
10 as Arbit attempted to submit an FBI tip from his car. Arbit never received any
11 confirmation that the tip was received.
12
13

14 Arbit stayed at a few hotels in Arizona at that time, and started taking note of the
15 cars in the parking lot to see if there were any cars that followed him to different
16 hotels. Arbit identified one such car and left a note on it saying, “Hello SE, -SA.” SE is
17 Schneider Electric’s shortened name and domain name. This same car then followed
18 Arbit to Arbit’s storage unit in Phoenix, Arizona, where Arbit had his CNC mill and 3D
19 printer stored. Arbit dropped off some items that he took in a hurry while running away
20 from the Santa Monica apartment. Arbit had left some of his belongings in Santa
21 Monica because he did not move out of that apartment. He left one night in a hurry to
22 avoid being murdered. The SUV did not park, rather it stayed in a position on the street
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 right in front where Arbit was crossing the street as he shuttled items from his car to
2 the unit.

3
4 After 10 days in Phoenix, Arbit drove back to California and mostly stayed in a
5 budget Cabin for about two months. To avoid the weekend rate Arbit would stay at
6 Motel 6 San Bernardino South. The ASS continued to stalk and harass me. During one
7 stay at the Motel 6 in San Bernardino, ASS member, Simon Rhyner, had stalked Arbit
8 there. Simon Rhyner was the district manager for Schneider Electric in Southern
9 California. Arbit and Mr. Rhyner had worked together on most of the larger projects
10 that involved Schneider Electric's APC brand. Arbit declined the bait, and went back to
11 his room to eat the food he had picked up. But to further agitate Arbit the motel staff
12 had locked Arbit out of his room. Mr. Rhyner was still across the street waiting for a
13 confrontation.
14
15
16

17 The ASS continued to stalk and harass Arbit using their unauthorized access to
18 his computers. One example of an operation in Big Bear occurred when Arbit was
19 using his computer inside his cabin and saw a post about Facebook's new data center
20 campus in Arizona and how it planned to mitigate the excessive amount of water that it
21 would require in a desert environment. This was related to Arbit because prior to
22 leaving Facebook, Arbit attended a voluntary workshop discussing Facebook's effects
23 on the environment. Arbit pitched the idea that Facebook datacenter should be located
24 near population centers instead of distant locations as Facebook typically deployed
25
26
27
28

1 them. And these data centers should be suppliers of potable water and not consumers.
2 Facebook was attempting to copy the idea. When Arbit saw their plan he clicked
3 “Like” on LinkedIn and explained, out loud that he didn’t care. The ASS responded
4 later that day by parading a couple dressed in leather bondage in front of Arbit’s cabin.
5

6 Another type of operation that involved the invasion of Arbit’s privacy being
7 reflected back to him, occurred after Arbit was searching for a quote by Cyrus the
8 Great. Some time later, while Arbit was still staying in the budget cabin in Big Bear,
9 Arbit went for a walk around the lake with his dog. Two stray dogs ran up to Arbit and
10 they wouldn’t leave Arbit and his old dog alone, until sometime alter a woman drove
11 up and was shouting, repeatedly “Cyrus.” The woman was clearing doing a skit and
12 not actually looking for her dogs. Dogs off leash are a source of stress for Arbit and his
13 dog who typically reacts aggressively to strange dogs running up to it without a leash.
14
15

16 Another operation that involved an off leash dog involved a child. The child was
17 also a bad actor and pretended to let go off his dog’s leash so that his dog ran up to
18 Arbit and his dog while they were walking on a street near the cabin. In the air, there
19 was a drone that was waiting to film Arbit’s stressed overreaction to this operation.
20 Arbit’s dog collapsed from exhaustion as the strange dog ran up to him, and Arbit
21 asked the child to take his dog and move along. The kid’s dad was hiding in the bushes
22 nearby.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Another operation in Big Bear once again involved Dennis Strieter, the owner of
2 LDP Associates whom Arbit had worked for from October of 2010 to January 1, 2016.
3
4 In that time Arbit had over \$10,000,000.00 in attribute wholesale sales and helped
5 make Mr. Strieter a multimillionaire. Mr. Strieter owned a cabin in Northern Arizona
6 and his primary home was in Phoenix, but showed up to the “Cabins4less” in big bear
7 where Arbit was staying.
8

9 As the sun set, Arbit opened the front door to air out the cabin and stood there.
10 Mr. Strieter along with youngest child walked across the parking lot so that Arbit could
11 see him. Mr. Stierter had once again stalked Arbit across state lines. Later that night
12 Arbit could hear footsteps around his cabin. Then he heard two small animals fighting
13 to the death in the crawl space under the cabin. Then, around 2:30 AM, Arbit heard
14 what sounded like Mrs. Strieter yell, “Get out of my home.”
15
16

17 The next day, Arbit went to the local gym. Arbit had been attending the gym
18 while staying in Big Bear and there were other “bad actors” there. Bad actor as in ASS
19 members and literally people that purposely act out weird skits to gaslight their victim.
20 Their bad acting is not so much a bug but a feature. The morning after Strieter stalked
21 Arbit, two of these bad actors acted part of the opening scene from The Dark Night
22 movie. Except they used talked about vermin instead of the operation involving the
23 bank robbery.
24
25
26
27
28

1 Arbit was still actively trying to build and market his SecurePower business and
2 carried his computer in his gym bag as a precaution. It became obvious that people
3 were eyeballing his backup and Arbit to find an opportunity to snatch it. There were
4 also multiple men that tried to purposely act suspicious and intimidating both at the
5 gym, driving by, or at the local convenience store, where one guy with a tear drop
6 tattoo on his face harassed Arbit. More than a year later, this person would follow Arbit
7 to Phoenix and physically stalk him at the gym.
8

9
10 Arbit started searching for apartments and narrowed his choice down to an
11 apartment in downtown Los Angeles. A car was needed in downtown Los Angeles and
12 Arbit could sell his Mustang 5.0 at a good price to fund his business. The ASS used
13 their unauthorized access to Arbit's computers to once again set a trap. Before Arbit
14 even moved into AVA Little Tokyo apartments located at 200 South Los Angeles Street
15 (owned by AvalonBay Communities Inc.) the ASS had conspired with the onsite
16 property management to evict Arbit.
17
18
19

20 The move in date was October 30, 2021. The ASS's first operation was that
21 weekend. After Arbit moved he went to the pool, when Arbit returned from the pool,
22 the ASS had once again locked him out of this apartment. This time, they set the
23 electronic key fob to expire on Sunday October 31, 2021. Arbit had to call the
24 emergency maintenance line to come down to the apartments and give him a new
25 electronic key fob.
26
27
28

1 Arbit had purchased a new alarm system with a security camera from
2 SimpliSafe and a new MacBook and router. Before setting up the MacBook, Arbit
3 setup the alarm system. The ASS, using their unauthorized access of Arbit's computers
4 knew that he had purchased these items. The alarm system was delivered but Arbit
5 couldn't find it. It wasn't deliver to his apartment or the delivery lockers. The alarm
6 systems was held by the apartment management office. Thought out Arbit's year long
7 stay at the AVA apartments he took many deliveries, none of them were ever held by
8 the management office.
9

10
11 The ASS had hacked Arbit security system. This was evident by them playing
12 the keypad sound remotely while Arbit was in the Apartment; this was also clear
13 evidence that the ASS hacked Arbit's new router.
14

15
16 The ASS also had cloned Arbit's electronic key fob that was used to access the
17 building and Arbit's apartment. One of the first operations to take advantage of this
18 access was to poison Arbit's dog. Arbit's dog was elderly and ate kibble sparingly, but
19 the ASS gave Arbit's dog extra human food and fed him a laxative. This resulted in an
20 unusually large accident in the elevator. An ASS associate was waiting in the lobby at
21 the elevator to notice the mess and report it. This same person would continue to
22 intercept Arbit on most times that he traversed through the doors in the lobby—a
23 statically implausible amount of times unless it was coordinated. This same person also
24
25
26
27
28

1 tried to speed and run over Arbit while Arbit was walking his dog. This same person
2 also stalked Arbit at the gym. This person was in contact with Zuckerberg.

3
4 The ASS would poison Arbit's dog again so that it had diarrhea for over four
5 hours straight. This is a considerable stress on a 15 year old dog. The ASS also once
6 again injected Arbit's dog with something that resembled a tick related virus so that he
7 would suffer from vertigo and nasuea, luckily it was not as prolonged as the multiple
8 weeks of suffering that the ASS inflicted on Arbit's dog while in Santa Monica.

9
10 The ASS also continued to deploy dogs off leash while Arbit was walking his
11 dog in downtown Los Angeles. Multiple people and dogs were involved in these type
12 of operation but one of the character was a paid regular. Another type of loose dog
13 operation involved a dog that was running around the streets of Los Angeles. Arbit
14 reported it the city. The ASS knew that his would disturb Arbit and that he reported it,
15 so sometime later, they sent a person with that same dog to loiter around Arbit near one
16 of the AVA's security cameras while Arbit was walking his dog in an attempt to draw
17 Arbit into confrontation with the owner of the dog. The owner of the dog was of
18 Chinese decent. Another type of operation involved a vary aggressive dog. This dog
19 was confined to a car but the car would be placed in the parking garage along Arbit's
20 path of travel. As Arbit passed the car the dog would try to lunge through the window
21 and bark aggressively at Arbit.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Another type of psychological operation involved other apartments in Arbit's
2 wing of the floor where the ASS would constantly change up who went into each
3 apartment. For example, one studio apartment seemed to have dozens of people living
4 there. Most of them were Chinese but one was a black man. The black man had a thing
5 where he would jump into the elevator as the doors opened hoping to crash into Arbit
6 as he was about to disembark.
7
8

9 Another type of operation that the ASS employed on more than occasion
10 occurred at a Chipotle in downtown Los Angeles (the ASS recently tried a similar
11 operation at a McDonalds in Irvine, CA), where instead of being able to quietly and
12 peacefully enjoy your meal, the ASS employ a person to act like they are experiencing a
13 manic and dissociative episode where the person suffering the fake medical event is in
14 an agitated state. The person is highly mobile and continuously traverses the restaurant
15 and interacts directly with the victim in this case, Arbit, to ask for money. The event's
16 duration extends beyond the length of the meal.
17
18
19

20 The next door neighbor was a large male to female French transvestite. Some of
21 the transvestite's operations were innocuous like when Arbit started eating a lot of
22 broccoli which caused him to have more flatulence than usual which apparently could
23 be heard in the hallway, the Transvestite would stand in front of his apartment door and
24 make farting noises. Her other operations were much more serious.
25
26
27
28

1 It appears that the ASS were going to make good on their murder threat. The
2 first odd thing the French transvestite did to make her self known as an operative was
3 push a small box across the floor because it was apparently too heavy to carry. The box
4 was about the size of a shoe box and she had to put her 250 pound frame behind it.
5 Shortly after that, a skinny French operative who did not live on the floor got off the
6 elevator and walked towards Arbit as Arbit was walking to the elevator. This operative
7 had a small box in his right hand. He looked nervous and was looking to see if Arbit
8 was paying attention. Arbit prepared himself for a physical confrontation and the
9 operative sighed and appeared to change his mind. The operative continued down the
10 hall and headed straight to the back where Arbit heard him use the door leading to the
11 stairwell.
12
13
14
15

16
17 The Transvestite's next move was to ride the elevator with Arbit a few times as
18 she welcomed and/or escorted visitors with luggage in and out of her apartment. In
19 other words, setting the stage for how the French kill team planned to move Arbit's
20 body out of the apartment complex. On some occasions the French kill team even used
21 a dolly, again in plain view of Arbit.
22
23

24 The next stage was for the French transvestite to stop inviting fashion and
25 theater type of people to the apartment and started having goons of various heights but
26 all of larger builds, stay in the apartment all day long because the French transvestite
27
28

1 realized that even though she enjoys a considerable size advantage, Arbit might still
2 prove to be more than she can handle by herself based on the French's reconnaissance
3 work at the gym where Arbit worked out. Arbit could clearly hear them working up the
4 nerve to jump out of the apartment, round the corner, and grab Arbit as he unlocked his
5 apartment door. This went on for months. At one point Arbit stuck a small mirror on
6 the wall so that he could see around the blind corner, but the AVA management
7 requested, based on a complaint, that the safety mirror be removed and Arbit obliged.
8
9

10 Another operation that the French transvestite was trying to setup was to come
11 at Arbit from the other side as he was unlocking or leaving his apartment and push him
12 into her apartment. The setup for this was to come around the elevator side which was
13 also a blind corner and speed walk by Arbit so that Arbit would become accustomed to
14 the large transvestite coming at him with considerable momentum and angst. One day
15 when she did this move and came straight at Arbit instead of going around Arbit, Arbit
16 instinctively went into a hockey check position and this made her go change direction
17 and go around. This approach was apparently called off after that incident because she
18 stopped doing the setup or attempting to come directly at Arbit from that side. But the
19 goons continued to stay with her and the team plan was apparently still in play.
20
21
22
23

24 Another French operative who lived at the apartment complex was a middle
25 aged man with a wife and child. This man ran a few types of operations. To first
26 identify himself as an operative he would push a stroller with a doll in it in front of the
27
28

1 window of the gym where Arbit was running or cycling. After a few weeks of the doll
2 the person brought out his small child (sans doll) while wearing a big cheeky smile on
3 his face. This man would come in and out of the AVA apartments on the gym side as a
4 resident. This man would also come in and out of the restricted access apartments just
5 down Toyo Miyatake Way, which were not part of AVA little Tokyo, as Arbit walked
6 his dog by there. Once he identified himself as an operative he started working at the
7 local grocery store, Marukai Market—to demonstrate that Arbit’s food supply is also at
8 risk.
9

10
11
12 Another operative who would visit the AVA apartments would ride his electric
13 scooter at full throttle around and at times charge at Arbit with it while Arbit was
14 walking his dog. This person was clearly conscious of Arbit and always appeared
15 nervous. He had a key fob that unlocked the restricted access doors.
16

17 While at the gym the ASS would send various people through there to run
18 operations. Examples include people that have loud, fake, and annoying conversations
19 while working out; people that burst out laughing while lifting; people that prance on
20 the treadmill; people that stared and furrowed their brows at Arbit upon initial meeting;
21 and people that took up as many machines as possible. While at the gym Arbit’s phone
22 was stolen from his backpack while he was on a bench doing presses and a knife was
23 placed on top of the bag.
24
25
26
27
28

1 The ASS would have both French and Chinese operatives, as well as
2 Zuckerberg's goons convey messages through clothing. One example was when they
3 announced their intention to increase the frequency and speed at which people
4 approached Arbit, another person wore a Jacket that said, "Fuck Around and Find
5 Out." One person marched by Arbit wearing a sweater that said "Everywhere."
6

7
8 The ASS took the "Everywhere" promise seriously, everywhere Arbit went the
9 ASS tried to jam Arbit up. For example, Arbit enjoyed the spa by the pool, so the ASS
10 broke the button that turns it on. Another example, includes when Arbit would exercise
11 outside by running stairs that led to common outdoor area in the apartments, the ASS
12 would send guys to smoke at the bottom of the stairs or they would parade a gang of
13 people to take the stairs instead of the elevators.
14

15
16 The ASS took advantage of the fact that the treadmills and bikes that face Los
17 Angeles Street. The ASS put on various performances in front of this window such as:
18 parading sad looking people as a form social contagion in front of the window; a man
19 who did a 360 and then pulled his hand from the back of his pants and held it in the
20 shape of a handgun at Arbit; people coming up and filming inside of the gym;
21 overweight women in underwear; old woman completely nude; having Michael
22 Ullman (A/K/A Moses) walk by who was in AEPi with Arbit; people walking by while
23 wearing masks; imitating me after I missed flipping my new cruiser skateboard up and
24
25
26
27
28

1 catching it; and having people walk by with gasoline canisters and their pants exposing
2 skin below the pant line while and stopping while staring at Arbit.

3
4 As a continuation of the gasoline theme, the ASS would setoff the apartments
5 fire alarm repeatedly. They would use the hidden spy cameras in Arbit's apartment to
6 time the alarm to Arbit taking his first bite of dinner.

7
8 The ASS were able to replace the hidden spy cameras batteries because they had
9 hacked his security system and cloned Arbit's electronic key pad to his apartment. The
10 ASS also used their unauthorized access to hack Arbit's computer including stealing
11 his browser's cookies. The ASS also used thier unauthorized access to Arbit's
12 apartment to break into his small safe to steal a credit card that Arbit had applied for to
13 use as a business card. The ASS didn't take the card but borrowed it or cloned it, but
14 they had left a piece of gauze tape on it before it was maxed out with stolen items.
15

16
17 When Arbit had noticed the fraudulent charges he called up U.S. Bank and they
18 informed him that one of the charges was for an expensive dress at the local
19 department store in downtown Los Angeles. After this call, which was monitored by
20 the ASS, the transvestite neighbor wore a fancy black dress a few times to try and bait
21 Arbit into a confrontation. The ASS also stole Arbit's drivers license. This was
22 especially disturbing because it had to done while Arbit was at home.
23
24

25
26 Having various people walk by Arbit while he was going about his business was
27 also an operation. Various types of walking operations were executed by the ASS while
28

1 Arbit was living in downtown Los Angeles. Some were more innocent like people that
2 would just wear flamboyant clothes and wait for a reaction during a forced encounter,
3 this was done with the hope that Arbit would get aggressive at young and skinny
4 homosexuals. Other walk by operations were more sinister. One example of a more
5 serious walk by would be to have an athletic male walk by, first stalking and getting
6 Arbit used to his presence. This person would act nervous on some of his walks. The
7 purpose of this would be to either make Arbit nervous without having do anything or
8 get Arbit comfortable with the person walking by and setup a sucker punch or grab
9 Arbit's phone or keys and run off. Another operation involved paying a homeless guy
10 to wear a camping head mounted lamp and spit at Arbit's feet while walking towards
11 Arbit. In yet another type of operation with odd people walking by involved a man
12 walking by a chain around his neck that went down to his ankles. The gauge of the
13 chain would be something that would be used for an anchor on a boat— a large boat.
14
15
16
17
18

19 Other street operations involved people walking on the wrong side of the
20 sidewalk trying to walk into Arbit by moving side to side, and on one occasion a
21 involving a police officer in front of the LAPD headquarters who purposely initiated
22 his walk right at Arbit so that Arbit had to stop and let him pass. The person that pulled
23 a finger gun on me in front of the gym also had an extended performance on the street
24 one day when Arbit went to get groceries where the person pretended like he was in
25 some sort of dramatic dying scene and crawled around on the sidewalk. Setting up
26
27
28

1 situation on the street where a random stranger gets unreasonably angry at a situation
2 unrelated to Arbit but might unleash his anger at Arbit was also an operation favored
3 by the ASS. Other random street operators were less creative, and the ASS just paid a
4 person to go by Arbit and say, "I'm going to fuck you up."
5

6 The ASS continued to setup fake medical emergencies around Arbit as he went
7 around his business in downtown Los Angeles. One example of this was a man who
8 faked a fall on his bike and lay in the middle of the street as cars were approaching
9 forcing Arbit to try and waive the cars over into the next lane. In another example, a
10 you lady faked a collision with a car.
11
12

13 Arbit would inline skate, and once her purchased a skateboard, also use that to
14 travel to the Whole Foods Market which was a mile and a half away. The ASS setup
15 various operations to take advantage of this too. One of the operations that occurred
16 while Arbit was inline skating involved a guy on a BMX bike who, as Arbit was
17 approaching on the sidewalk started to ride at Arbit, when Arbit changed direction, he
18 changed direction, and when Arbit changed direction again he again changed direction
19 by using his brake and skidding. Arbit avoided him but it took significant effort and
20 skill to do so. Another operation occurred while Arbit was skateboarding to the Whole
21 Foods Market, this option involved car that timed its exit out of the garage and a
22 narrow part of the sidewalk as Arbit was about to approach. Arbit avoided this because
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 he previously identified that point as a possible operation and stopped to check to see if
2 any cars were coming.

3
4 The ASS also used the building automation and security systems to harass and
5 stalk Arbit. Sometimes they would invalidate Arbit's key to the lobby door when it
6 clearly worked for the person that went in right in front of Arbit. They would also send
7 the elevator to the wrong floor and often wrong direction. On one occasion they sent to
8 the top floor where the property manager's (Angela Garcia) family member was
9 waiting for Arbit. As Arbit arrived he just said, "going down" and walked away.

10
11
12 One day a Chinese agent came to the AVA Little Tokyo apartments to instigate
13 an unlawful physical altercation with Arbit. The first encounter with this agent whose
14 name, according to AvalonBay Communities' legal counsel, is Bobby Wu, occurred on
15 Toyo Miyatake Way in January of 2022. As Arbit was walking on the sidewalk and
16 approaching a section where the sidewalk drops to street level and there is no curb to
17 separate the roadway from the sidewalk, Mr. Wu was sitting in his stopped car on the
18 opposite side of the road. Mr. Wu looked back and saw Arbit approach and instead of
19 waiting two seconds for Arbit to pass by the uncurbed section and be out of Mr. Wu's
20 way, Mr. Wu initiated a turn into Arbit.

21
22
23
24 Shortly after, Arbit again encountered Mr. Wu. The ASS had been placing gangs
25 of people that bunch up near the locked residential lobby door of Arbit's building at
26 200 South Los Angeles Street and Mr. Wu did the same. Mr. Wu had his hands in his
27
28

1 pockets and was standing in the hallway where the locked residential doors are. Arbit
2 had to turn his back to him in order to unlock the door and enter. Arbit expressed his
3 security concerns about people loitering behind him, but Mr. Wu argued back and
4 proceeded to attempt to follow Arbit into the residential lobby. Arbit blocked his path
5 and the situation grew tense.
6

7
8 Arbit shut the door and went to his apartment. Arbit dropped off his coffee and
9 went to the AVA Little Tokyo gym as he did every morning. The gym was located in
10 the building that is on the other side of Toyo Miyatake Way. Instead of going out
11 through the same entrance where he encountered Mr. Wu, Arbit went out the side
12 entrance, which is an exit only door. Mr. Wu, along with the resident that Mr. Wu came
13 to visit, Roxanne Resendiz, were outside waiting for Arbit.
14
15

16 The two Chinese agents followed Arbit across the street and into the garage
17 located at 236 South Los Angeles Street where the entrance to the gyms is. While
18 following Arbit they tried to verbally instigate a fight and Ms. Resendiz, while
19 laughing like a hyena, was holding her phone as if she was filming the encounter.
20

21 Arbit ignored them and worked out and then went back across the street to his
22 apartment building. Mr. Wu and Ms. Resendiz were walking down Toyo Miyatake
23 Way and intercepted Arbit on his walk back to this apartment. Ms. Resendiz was once
24 again filming the encounter as Mr. Wu used inflammatory insults and rude requests for
25 a physical altercation in an attempt to draw Arbit into a fight. At one point Mr. Wu,
26
27
28

1 while standing front of Arbit in a fighting stance put his metallic water bottle in the air
2 above Arbit's head as if he was about to strike Arbit with it. Arbit walked away and
3 continued to his apartment while the two agents followed him. Arbit was frustrated by
4 the encounter and grabbed his punching gloves and went back to the gym to work on
5 the punching bag. He again tried to evade the two agents, and did not encounter them
6 on his way back to the gym for his second workout.
7
8

9 From the gym, Arbit saw Mr. Wu and Ms. Resendiz enter the lobby, where the
10 leasing office was located to speak with the apartment management. Arbit went down
11 stairs to give his side of the story. Contrary to the completely perjurious testimony
12 provided by the Chinese agents in the unlawful detainer case filed against Arbit,
13 *Avalonbay Communities, Inc. v. Arbit*, No. 22STUD00831 (L.A. Sup. Ct. Aug. 8,
14 2022), Arbit did not threaten or throw anything during this encounter or any other
15 encounter. An AVA employee asked Arbit to leave while they took Ms. Rezendez's
16 complaint, since she was there first, and Arbit left as requested.
17
18
19

20 Even through Arbit was assaulted by the Chinese agents, agents representing
21 AvalonBay Communities Inc, including the property manager, Angela Garcia,
22 conspired with the ASS to evict Arbit. The ASS lied about the entire encounter that the
23 ASS manufactured. According to court testimony the Chinese/ASS agents went as far
24 as filing a false police report, and lying under oath in the civil case, that Arbit
25
26
27
28

1 brandished a flipped open knife. Arbit denied the allegations and continues to deny any
2 allegation of criminal, civil, or contractual infractions.

3
4 The ASS used the unlawful detainer case to distract Arbit from continuing to
5 work on his business, Arbit LLC DBA SecurePower®, and his other business idea,
6 Threads, a messaging application. The Threads application idea had already been
7 stolen by the ASS and Mr. Zuckerberg would later launch the application as a
8 complimentary product to Instagram. The case lasted six months and took a
9 considerable amount of work and studying. It wasn't just this particular apartment that
10 was at stake for Arbit, but Arbit feared the negative reputation that would follow him,
11 including not being able to rent another apartment.
12
13

14 Ms. Resendiz and another Chinese agent would force another encounter with
15 Arbit the same day as the previously mentioned events. They seemed very proud of
16 their work and asked Arbit how he felt about it. Arbit told them that they should avoid
17 each other in the future. The three male Chinese agents and Ms. Resendiz that were
18 involved in this day's scheme would continue to loiter and force encounters with Arbit
19 in hopes that having to deal with the eviction for over six months would finally enrage
20 Arbit into a physical altercation. When Arbit filed a lawsuit against AvalonBay
21 Communities Inc., one of the Chinese agents that lived in the apartment complex got
22 on the elevator with Arbit and asked Arbit, "Is there going to be a problem?" They
23 failed to bait Arbit into a criminal altercation, but through fraud and perjury they
24
25
26
27
28

1 succeeded in the eviction and unlawful detainer, and would ultimately continue to
2 successfully interfere with interstate commerce for business number four, and now,
3 business number five—Threads.
4

5 After months of running operations the ASS attempted to bait Arbit into talking
6 with a fake police officer again like they did in Santa Monica. This time they used a
7 fake police car. The police car did not have the LAPD markings on it, it just said Police
8 on it and was painted black and white. The ASS attempted to qualify the police officer
9 by enlisting a personal banker at the nearby Wells Fargo to speak with fake police
10 officer.
11
12

13 Angela Garcia, the property manager also had her husband and father try to bait
14 Arbit into fight. The husband would pop out of doors or loiter while Arbit was walking
15 his dog. Ms. Garcia's father was more direct, as Arbit was walking his dog her father
16 approached Arbit and asked what he was looking at.
17

18 On another occasion, as Arbit was walking his dog on Toyo Miyatake Way, a
19 man brandished a gun while sitting in his car. Arbit walked by and stared to make sure
20 he was not about to get shot. The man got out of his car and started yelling at Arbit and
21 asking why he was starting. There were bystanders so the man stayed by his car and
22 Arbit stayed about 30 feet in front with his phone out and 911 entered into it and ready
23 to push call if the man approached Arbit or brought the gun out of the car.
24
25
26
27
28

1 Cars, trucks, and in one occasion a police cruiser tried to run over Arbit. The
2 police cruiser was stopped at a red light and as Arbit started to cross the street the
3 police cruiser ran the red light and started crawling towards Arbit while pretending to
4 be distracted. Typically the cars and trucks would take their runs at Arbit at either Toyo
5 Miyatake Way as Arbit was going between the gym and his apartment or they were
6 turning left as Arbit was walking across Los Angeles Street. Arbit crossed Los Angeles
7 Street on most days because he would walk around the police building for exercise as
8 the police building had cameras setup on all corners.
9

10
11
12 In October of 2022, more than 15 years since the ASS started stalking Arbit,
13 Arbit moved from Los Angeles to Mesa, AZ. During the last year that he endured
14 countless attempts on his life and physical safety, daily psychological operations, false
15 criminal and civil accusations, and persistent computer crimes.
16

17 During his time in the City of Los Angeles, Arbit sent out over 75,000 marketing
18 emails, but the ASS used their to unauthorized access to his computer to sabotage
19 outgoing and incoming electronic communications. Redundancy is a key concept in
20 engineering, and the ASS implemented redundancy in as many layers in their criminal
21 schemes against Arbit as possible. This means that if you discover and block one of
22 their exploits they still have others.
23
24

25 Their methods include hidden cameras to watch passwords be typed in, stealing
26 browser cookies, hacking Arbit's wireless security system, hacking the router, hacking
27
28

1 the apartment's networking closets, installing custom hardware and firmware into
2 Arbit's devices, hacking the iPhone's bootloader in Arbit's phones, falsely marking
3 emails as SPAM as both a major email service provider (e.g., Google), and at the
4 individual account level.
5

6 Arbit has used Apple and Google phones, computers, and tablets. Both Google
7 and Apple are co-conspirators and defendants in this case. Both companies have
8 actively participated in the scheme and covered the scheme up.
9

10 One ASS member has explicitly stated that the popular tool, John the Ripper,
11 was used to hack Arbit's devices. John the Ripper is included as a standard tool in Kali
12 Linux. In addition to using standard computer exploitation tools found in Kali Linux,
13 the ASS, with their unlimited resources, dominance in the technology industry, and
14 designers of the technology used by Arbit, have other exploits that are known only to
15 them. It has also been widely reported that China, a defendant in this case, has hacked
16 Internet Service Providers to spy on individuals. [https://www.washingtonpost.com/
17 national-security/2024/10/06/salt-typhoon-china-espionage-telecom/
18
19
20](https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2024/10/06/salt-typhoon-china-espionage-telecom/)

21 The damages to Arbit are extensive during his year at AVA Little Tokyo. Of all
22 the marketing emails sent during that year period, none of them resulted in a reply.
23 Arbit used Amazon's Web Services' (AWS) Simple Emails Service (SES) to send the
24 mail and signed up for AWS's Simple Notification Service's (SNS) receipts of the
25 outgoing emails. Arbit used Google Workplace to receive mail, but Google
26
27
28

1 strategically blocked incoming emails, or alternatively, the ASS blocked incoming
2 emails at Arbit's network and computer.

3
4 Without income, and with the distractions of being effectively at war against
5 nation states and their local criminal representatives, which includes the likes of Musk
6 and Zuckerberg, Arbit's other business ideas were also snubbed out of existence (e.g.,
7 Threads). Arbit produced hundreds of verified emails of decision makers in the
8 Information Technology industry per day, an effort that required many hours per day.
9 The ASS stole thousands of email contacts that took Arbit months of full time labor.
10

11
12 Then ASS's extensive influence in the technology industry gives them access to
13 recruits additional companies and key personal to restrict Arbit's business and job
14 opportunities. One such example occurred while Arbit was living at the AVA
15 Apartments in Little Tokyo. In addition to the Uninterruptible Power Supply
16 manufacturer's, all of whom are engaged in the conspiracy to defraud Arbit, Arbit also
17 was a registered partner of Juniper Networks. However, Juniper networks terminated
18 that agreement (without cause). Juniper has a relationship with Schneider Electric and
19 Facebook, with it's significant demand for networking equipment influenced Juniper to
20 drop Arbit as a sales partner.
21
22

23
24 In mid-October of 2022, Arbit had to comply with Court's writ requiring him to
25 vacate from his Los Angeles Apartment or be removed by Los Angeles County
26 Sheriff's Department. Arbit had to rent a car but in order to get their he had to use Lyft.
27
28

1 Both Lyft and Uber are named defendants because they have manipulated Arbit's rides
2 and rider rating at the behest of the ASS. On that day, potential drivers or Lyft would
3 cancel their arrivals prior to arriving at the pick up location. After an extended wait in
4 downtown Los Angeles, one Lyft driver picked Arbit up but a block later the Lyft
5 application stopped working, and he had to reset both of his phones to try to get it
6 working. Arbit exited the ride. Arbit eventually made it to the rental car site but the
7 ASS, in conjunction with Lyft and operatives driving Lyfts had jammed up Arbit for a
8 an extended period of time. The ASS always try to jam Arbit up in any way they can.
9 This specific jam had a purpose of causing Arbit to arrive in Phoenix later than his
10 brother's typical bedtime on days when he is scheduled to work the next two days as a
11 firefighter. The delay meant that Arbit and his brother had to discuss alternative
12 lodging for the night while Arbit was en route. Arbit could not afford to stay at a Motel
13 6.

14
15
16
17
18
19 The ASS, with their unauthorized access to Arbit's computers continued to stalk
20 Arbit, once again, over state lines.

21
22 When Arbit arrived in Phoenix he dropped off his dog and belongings at his
23 brother's old house in Phoenix, Arizona. The next day he dropped the car of Sky
24 Harbor Airport. With very little money but lots of time, and difficulty of using Uber
25 and Lyft, Arbit skateboarded back to the house. Along the 15 miles back to his
26 brother's house, the ASS setup multiple operations.
27
28

1 The First operation that occurred on this 15 mile journey, involved a fake
2 medical emergency with a person just lying in on the sidewalk. Fortunately a firetruck
3 arrived as Arbit was skating up to this person.
4

5 The next operation occurred around noon, there were limited spots to stop for
6 lunch and get out of the most intense sun. An option that the ASS, with there obsessive
7 and compulsive stalking of Arbit, knew that Arbit would take. Especially since it
8 involved one of his favorite types of food, Mexican. At the establishment, the ASS
9 used an agitation method that they had previously deployed when Arbit went to a bar
10 while in New York City to attend a social function. They asked the establishment to
11 increase the volume of the sound system to a level that would make it difficult to
12 communicate, and they asked the restaurant to act like they can't hear what Arbit is
13 saying and to "forget" to give him his drink. Additionally, the ASS paid a local
14 provocateur to stand behind Arbit and complain about the restaurant and the people
15 working there.
16
17
18
19

20 The ASS often employ homeless people to run operations because of the
21 plausible deniability they offer, it aligns with the ASS goal of making Arbit destitute,
22 and the inherit negative emotions that people feel when confronted with people that
23 have to suffer through life on the streets. On this day, they had a homeless person, that
24 Arbit had to pass, act like he was undressing quickly to evacuate his bowels, like that
25 lady did in San Francisco at the grocery store parking lot. Instead he pulled his pants
26
27
28

1 back up and tucked his shirt in—much like a how a person that repeatedly walks in
2 front of Arbit and tucks his shirt into his pants at Arbit’s office in 2024.

3
4 Another type of operation involved a skit with two males of approximately 40
5 years of age. Both men did not appear to be homeless and they were not dressed for
6 hiking, but they were standing in the desert, near the road, in a drainage ditch. No
7 bikes, or automobiles were near them. One man was holding a glass pipe and a torch
8 while the other was giving him instructions. When Arbit passed by, the one holding the
9 pipe pretended like he was hiding it, but the one giving instructions told him not to
10 worry, that he used to be concerned but it’s never been a problem. This is a “bad
11 example” operation where the ASS attempted to normalize meth use.
12
13

14 As Arbit arrived near his destination he stopped to get dinner and then treat
15 himself to a birthday frozen yogurt. But the ASS were tracking Arbit through the use
16 thier unauthorized access to his phone and they had a plan for next stop too. This type
17 of plan has been deployed way too many times, and is deeply troubling. As Arbit sat
18 down outside and the sun was setting so that he could only look comfortably in one
19 direction or face a wall, they set up a man, women and a little girl at the table in his
20 line of sight. They then instructed the girl to start dancing in front of Arbit. The ASS’s
21 goal of disrupting Arbit’s life all the time, everywhere and forever, and by any means
22 necessary was succeeding.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 The original delay caused by the ASS in Los Angeles on Arbit's moving day was
2 intended to force Arbit to spend his birthday alone and sleeping on the floor, but that
3 was only the start, the ASS had more operations to do while Arbit was waiting for his
4 brother to finish his two day shift.
5

6 The day after Arbit returned the rental car, the ASS coordinated with a real estate
7 agent to schedule a viewing of Arbit's brother's old house. This meant that Arbit had to
8 take his 16-year-old dog, who had permanent damage from the tick like disease that
9 the ASS inflicted on him, and get out of the house. The temperature was in the 80s and
10 Arbit's dog can only walk about 500 ft. Arbit had to hose his dog down to try and keep
11 him cool, and they spent about two hours sitting outside under a tree. The real estate
12 agent made sure to report seeing a person near the house sitting under a tree with a dog
13 to Arbit's brother.
14
15
16

17 That evening Arbit was in the garage and the ASS hired some goons to
18 intimidate and bait Arbit into a confrontation. After the sun set Arbit was in the garage
19 and a black SUV pulled up at the bottom of the driveway and stopped. At that position,
20 they were in the house's security camera field of vision. The back right window of the
21 SUV was partially down but all of the windows were tinted and Arbit could not see
22 anyone or what they were doing. Arbit declined the bait to approach and confront the
23 SUV and it eventually drove off. Given the context, Arbit believes they had firearms in
24 the SUV, because the ASS knew that Arbit had his Glock with him.
25
26
27
28

1 For the next six months Arbit used the few dollars that he had to buy dog food,
2 flour, and butter or tomato sauce to survive while he rebuilt his computer infrastructure
3 on a free trail of Google Cloud and applied for jobs. The ASS continued to stalk,
4 harass, and sabotage using local agents and their unauthorized access to Arbit's
5 computers.
6

7
8 The first French agents made themselves known instantly when Arbit arrived at
9 his brother's new house. While Arbit was exercising with his inline skates, hockey
10 stick, and hockey ball, a car pulled up and stopped at the house next door. It was dusk
11 so the car had its headlights on but the person did not place the car into park, or turn
12 the lights off, instead, it sat there and inched up in order to disrupt Arbit. When the
13 driver finally parked and got out of the car he entered the house that across and one
14 over from Arbit's brother's house. That house was full of French agents. Several of
15 them had red hair but otherwise they were as genetically different as possible—a
16 continuation of stringing along normal occurrences to improbable lengths.
17
18
19

20 Both houses next to Arbit's brother's new house were also either agents or paid
21 assets. On one side the house had a moving lights that displayed scorpions on the
22 house. A subject that Arbit had researched online as an atypical curiosity and the ASS
23 were reflecting it back. This house had a high end boxing gym in the garage which was
24 setup as bait to lure Arbit onto their property where the owner of the house would have
25 castle doctrine protection.
26
27
28

1 On the other side there was a family of professional psychological operations
2 agents. One example of an operation that they ran was that the man of the house, who
3 was very nice and polite, and was very fit and slim, but by the end of Arbit's stay he
4 had become out of shape and with a spare tire, seemingly overnight. This was not the
5 only time that the ASS employed twins in their operations.
6

7
8 Another neighbor that was a few houses down, would always time their arrivals
9 to coincide with Arbit passing by. Another neighbor would lock the door as Arbit
10 passed by and another occasion let her dog run up to Arbit's dog as a continuation of
11 the off leash dogs operation.
12

13 Off leash dog operators would also be used at the park down the street, where
14 three dogs where off leash and Arbit had to defend himself as they came barked and
15 tried to snap at him. The owner of the dogs in turn yelled at Arbit to leave his dogs
16 alone. The stranger told Arbit that he is going to get a rock and hit Arbit with it. After
17 the stranger with the off leash dogs wrangled up his dogs and drove off he came back
18 and walked straight at Arbit to intercept while Arbit was jogging in the park and
19 continued to harass Arbit. This was after Arbit filed his trademark infringement lawsuit
20 against Schneider Electric. The ASS would deploy off leash dogs on multiple occasion
21 in the neighborhood where Arbit walked for exercise.
22

23
24 Another operation at the park down the street involved a dead cat that was in the
25 tree at eye level where Arbit would pass by and see it. In yet another operation at the
26
27
28

1 same park, involved a guy that came down to practice his chip shot and Arbit had to
2 dodge golf balls while trying to exercise. This person lived on the other side of the
3 park, in the first house off the major roadway, and other people living in that house
4 tried to back out of the driveway as Arbit would turn into the neighborhood—
5 purposely trying to cause an accident. The ASS knew Arbit exact location and speed
6 because of their unauthorized access to his phone.
7
8

9 Another neighbor, a white, retired man was paid to wear a durag, as the ASS
10 enjoyed using odd fashion. At the same house the ASS placed police cars at them while
11 they searched the garage. Arbit is not sure if these police cars and officers were
12 actually real or if they were ASS agents. Two of the vehicles pulled up to the house
13 with their lights off when it was already dark out, suggesting to Arbit that they were
14 trying to hide from actual police and that the vehicles and uniformed men were
15 actually ASS agents.
16
17

18 The ASS were successful in bribing multiple other people in the neighborhood
19 to run operations. Some of the operations included locking the front door as Arbit
20 passed by so that he can hear it, or attempting to hit Arbit while he's walking through
21 the neighborhood or make it appear like they are trying to time their driveway exit to
22 coincide with Arbit passing by. Other operations in the neighborhood involved a kid
23 purposely falling on his bike and another involved coordinating with a delivery driver
24
25
26
27
28

1 to make sure he stops as a kid races by on his bike on the sidewalk and an imminent
2 collision is clearly visible to Arbit.

3
4 When Arbit would practice inline hockey in front of the house the ASS would
5 send cars around the corner as a high rate of speed in attempt to disrupt and injure
6 Arbit. This would continue until they stole the hockey ball. The hockey ball had a
7 unique tigh dye design. After it was stolen, a man with a smug face walked by with a
8 jacket that had the exact same design.

9
10 The ASS typically identify themselves through speed walking. They paid
11 another neighbor, a retiree, to speed walk with her dogs throughout the neighborhood.
12 One day, when Arbit was jogging with his brother's puppy, she purposely placed her
13 self in a position that forced Arbit and his dog run through gravel. She saw that this
14 frustrated Arbit so she made to sure to start baiting an interaction in front of her house
15 where a camera was setup.

16
17 While Arbit would walk with his brother's dog, a golden retriever, through the
18 neighborhood, people would often ask if that an Irish Setter. An odd question because
19 Golden Retrievers are a popular breed with Irish Setters are rare breed. Perhaps that
20 questions might come up, but to have it come up repeatedly is a continuation of the
21 ASS's operation to use possible events that string together to statistically improbable
22 lengths.
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Another example of an operation while Arbit was walking his brother's dog
2 involved two French men jogging like they were in a slow motion shot. At their
3 exaggerated pace, their arrival at a corner coincided with Arbit's arrival. Arbit stopped
4 and let the two French operatives pass. This was also an example of a "bad example"
5 operation where they tried to teach normalize the pace of a job to about 2 mph.
6

7
8 The ASS continued to hire homeless people to swarm Arbit. Some would be
9 tasked with walking through the park in a interceptor path to Arbit while Arbit was
10 exercising or to approach Arbit while he was walking through the neighborhood or to
11 act manic around Arbit as he waited to cross the street at an intersection.
12

13 Another operation that involved a "bad example" operation with a bait operation
14 was to have two males spar each other on a basketball court at the park, instead of the
15 grass area that surrounds the court. The idea being to bait Arbit into an activity that he
16 is interested in and make it dangerous.
17

18 Another "bad example" operation that occurred in Arbit's brother's
19 neighborhood while Arbit was walking involved two males skating at a high rate of
20 speed after it was dark. The ASS had witnessed Arbit hitting small rocks that ended up
21 stopping his 30 in. cruiser board and hoped to replicate that.
22
23

24 Another example of an operation that started in the Mesa, Arizona in front of the
25 house that Arbit was staying at involved a parade of trucks that drove by and when
26 they were passing in front of Arbit, the driver would extend his arm and making a
27
28

1 grabbing motion with hand. This operation would continue and Arbit understood it to
2 mean that the ASS were threatening to abduct him as he was driving.

3
4 Another example an operation involving a neighbor in the residential area where
5 Arbit walked occurred when Arbit put together an new marketing email that he though
6 would be effective. At the time, Arbit did not realize that the email where not being
7 delivered and to make him think the ASS, and its French operatives who represent
8 Schneider Electric were upset at this they organized a small, indirect operation to make
9 it seem like they were upset at the emails. To do this they simply paid a man, who they
10 had previously paid to loiter in a driveway with a gun on him, while Arbit was walking
11 to yell “shut up” as Arbit was passing by.

12
13
14 In yet another operation, and based on information and beliefs this person was a
15 French national and not a bribed neighbor, the French used their knowledge of Arbit,
16 based on Arbit’s internet activity to set a very dangerous trap. The French knew that
17 Arbit is a car enthusiast and like other car enthusiasts he was interested in racing
18 Mazda Miatas. The French house owner along Arbit’s path through the neighborhood
19 first made sure that Arbit witnessed how nice and friendly this neighbor was. The
20 neighbor had a Mazda Miata sitting on jack stands in his driveway. One day as Arbit
21 was passing the person looked at Arbit and did his best I need help face. At
22 approximately 2500 pounds it wouldn’t take much to knock the Miata off its jack
23 stands as it sat on the inclined driveway.
24
25
26
27
28

1 Another type of operation that occurred in the neighborhood involved an SUV
2 and two ASS operatives that drove by Arbit. As the SUV approached, Arbit noticed
3 that on the opposite side of the SUV, a man was standing on the running boards. Arbit
4 could not see if the man had a gun, but the idea that the man was positioned in this
5 manner to execute a drive by shooting was an idea that Arbit instantly considered.
6 Arbit believes that this was a veiled threat.
7
8

9 In yet another operation occurred in front of the house that Arbit was staying in
10 while Arbit was in bed. Arbit's bedroom was on the street side of the house and a
11 bedroom window was locked in a slightly open position. Arbit could see and hear a
12 flash go off that was aimed at the bedroom one evening.
13

14 Another operation house did a few types of operations. One of them was to
15 block the sidewalk while Arbit was walking by. Another operation they tried was to try
16 and back a truck out of the driveway into Arbit. They also had an underage female of
17 around high school age follow Arbit through the neighborhood.
18
19

20 In a house that could be seen from the front of the house that Arbit was staying
21 was another house that was part of the ASS's operation. This house had a female child
22 play volleyball with men who did not look like they were related to her in the front
23 yard. The volleyball would often go in the street as Arbit would pass. At one point the
24 child complained that she did not have friends to play with. At another time when Arbit
25 was passing by the child actor was telling another child that she had not had sex yet.
26
27
28

1 The child was not speaking to the other child but was instead performing to the street
2 where Arbit was walking by. The ASS were trying to bait Arbit to approach so that
3 they could film the encounter.
4

5 Alternatively, when they realized this was not going to happen a male was
6 waiting for the mail truck on day, and as the mailman approached the house the
7 neighbor ran through a script about how he hoped it was not a civil lawsuit. This
8 particular house had motorcycles and an expensive looking RV parked on the side.
9 This operation house's secondary objective was to bait Arbit into suing them—an
10 endeavor that would have gotten Arbit nowhere and just would have wasted Arbit's
11 time. Sexualizing children is also a RICO violation.
12
13

14 Example of operations during the first six months in Mesa, Arizona, at the
15 grocery store where Arbit walked to include, damaging tomato sauce cans, and then
16 waiting outside the house with the French redheads to bait Arbit into using the bag of
17 cans to damage a French asset while the French agents smugly looked on. Another
18 operation involved positioning adjacent flour packages so that the bottom corners
19 would overlap and when one package is grabbed the other one falls—no inconvenience
20 is too slight, because the point is to maximize agitation and hope for a reaction. The
21 ASS also, on numerous occasions, placed female honeypots at the grocery store. ASS
22 agents trying to time intercept Arbit around corners with their shopping carts was also
23 a typical occurrence at grocery stores.
24
25
26
27
28

1 A more serious operation at the grocery store involved trying to steal Arbit's
2 purchases and literally starve him. The attempt to steal involved a man, wearing
3 medical scrubs, a popular outfit for ASS agents, who was ahead of Arbit in the
4 checkout aisle. He delayed gathering his items until Arbit's items were placed on the
5 other side of the scanner and waited until Arbit was distracted to gather his, and
6 hopefully Arbit's, items while Arbit was distracted with running his card. Arbit noticed
7 the delay and the man aborted. However, plan B, if noticed, was to loiter in the parking
8 lot hoping to bait Arbit into confronting the man and having the situation escalate from
9 there.
10
11
12

13 Multiple types of operations were completed on the way to the local grocery
14 store. One of them, after Arbit filed his Trademark Infringement against the French
15 multinational company, Schneider Electric, heavily relied on the unauthorized access
16 to his phone. By knowing exactly where Arbit is and his rate of travel, the ASS tried,
17 on multiple occasion, to have cars turn into Arbit as he was walking past a driveway on
18 the major road leading to the grocery store. Other operations included, leaving packs of
19 cigarettes as bait, employing hookers to walk by, and several times a white man and a
20 Chinese spy would ride their bikes along the narrow sidewalk to force Arbit off the
21 path.
22
23
24

25 On at least one attempt to use Arbit's phone to track him for the purpose of
26 executing a precision vehicular assault as Arbit was walking to the grocery store
27
28

1 involved the use of a distraction. One specific example of a distraction once again
2 involved the use of odd fashion. As Arbit was walking down the sidewalk a young
3 female approached him in a shiny evening gown. Around the crook of her arm, she
4 had about a dozen flat bike tires. If Arbit had looked back at her after she passed he
5 would have missed the car turning left into him as he crossed a driveway. The car
6 initiated the turn from the same direction as Arbit was traveling so it would have come
7 up behind him. The turn was from a main roadway so it could explain the high
8 velocity. The driveway led to a strip mall.

9
10
11
12 Another operation near the strip mall that was along Arbit's walk to the grocery
13 store, involved a man that had been stalking Arbit in Los Angeles. This man, on
14 multiple occasions, would walk to the barber shop in the strip mall as Arbit was
15 passing it. The goal was to intimidate, unnerve, and bait Arbit into a physical
16 confrontation.

17
18
19 Another French agent lived with his French neighbors in the house behind
20 Arbit's house. This agent would do walk by operations. First they normalized his
21 presence and he would walk with his French parents. Then he started to normalize
22 walking by himself. Eventually, he approached Arbit from behind, while crossing the
23 street in attempt to get close to Arbit, while looking very nervous. Arbit saw him, and
24 he aborted his approach. This man would continue to do walk by approach with the
25 intent to strike or steal from Arbit while Arbit was not paying attention. This was made
26
27
28

1 clear to Arbit during one approach when the French operative squealed while bracing
2 for what he (falsely) thought was an imminent strike from Arbit.

3
4 During Arbit's stay in Mesa, Arizona, from October 2022 to September 2023,
5 Arbit applied for sales engineer jobs—a career where he had previous found success
6 and made good sales for the some of the ASS members. A number of fake interviews
7 were setup to waste Arbit's time and exhaust him with the job search that never works
8 out. During this time the ASS had access to Arbit's computers and they worked in
9 concert with ASS participant Google to block incoming emails to Arbit's personal and
10 work email addresses—including rejections, which was the key indicator of sabotage.
11
12

13 Some of the fake interviews were extensive and required studying and preparing
14 presentations. Others were made easier and the interviewers tried to make it seem like
15 they were really interested in Arbit's broad and deep knowledge base and his sales
16 acumen. But, they were all fake. By this time, several years had passed since Arbit's
17 last sales engineering job, and as a backup plan, all of Arbit previous managers were
18 ASS members—so Arbit would not be able to get references.
19
20

21 Between November 2022 and September 2023, Arbit applied to 355 career
22 related job posts. The ASS were able to use their unauthorized access to Arbit's
23 computers to monitor and sabotage his job search. This did this by blocking incoming
24 mail and using defamation to get companies to reject Arbit, since they knew which
25 companies he was applying to.
26
27
28

1 Ms. Cozma was a Senior Talent Acquisition Manager. During the video interview
2 between Arbit and Ms. Cozma, Ms. Cozma indicated extreme interest in Arbit. She
3 asked if Arbit had a passport and when Arbit replied that it was expired, Ms. Cozma
4 told him that he needs to renew it. This is going to be a quick hire and they want to get
5 Arbit onsite for training. However, the purpose of Ms. Cozma interview, besides
6 wasting Arbit's time and covering up for the ASS's interception of Arbit's business
7 emails, was to get Arbit to renew his passport, because the ASS were planning, as they
8 have already threatened to do, to abduct Arbit. Had Arbit obtained a passport and then
9 was abducted it would have complicated any local investigation.
10
11
12

13 Arbit also applied to job that were not related to engineering or sales. For
14 example, Arbit applied to multiple positions at the nearest grocery store, but failed to
15 land an interview. Arbit also applied to a furniture store that was within skating
16 distance and this job asked him to come in for an interview. Of course the interview
17 was fake. When Arbit arrived at the store he the managers first ignored him which
18 made the receptionists anxious. Then the manager asked Arbit to take a walk around
19 the store and they'll talk afterwards.
20
21
22

23 When the hiring manager finally found Arbit and they sat down for an interview
24 the manager asked Arbit to talk about his work history. When Arbit got to the
25 Facebook job, that was listed on his resume, the manager, who was younger than Arbit,
26 asked Arbit, "what's that?" Arbit asked for clarification, and the hiring manager was
27
28

1 indeed asking what Facebook was, because such a question would be amusing to ASS
2 member Mark Zuckerberg.

3
4 The manager finished the interview by telling Arbit that he liked him and gave
5 Arbit some coaching on what the successful sales representatives do. Arbit assured the
6 manager that he was interested in being successful in this position and that Arbit's
7 previous sales success would transfer well to this position. The manger told Arbit that
8 he'll give him a call. The manager never called.

9
10 The ASS's unauthorized access to Arbit's computers allowed them to know
11 which storage unit contained Arbit's business assets and personal belongings. The
12 unauthorized access to Arbit's computers also allowed the ASS to know that Arbit's
13 brother was in possession of the key. The ASS had made a copy of the key to steal
14 Arbit's business accessories needed to operate the CNC router in order to deprive Arbit
15 the ability to make any money. This is an example of industrial espionage and
16 sabotage. The ASS also stole some personal items. The ASS had hoped that Arbit
17 would assume it was his brother who had stolen the items. The theft was discovered
18 when Arbit and his brother went to clear the storage unit out so that Arbit could use the
19 machine.

20
21
22
23
24
25 - exaggerate waiving, goodbye

26
27 - French people jogging unusually slow near me

- 1 -homeless people walking on path at both parks
- 2 -bad example of boxing on the basketball court instead of the grass
- 3
- 4 -bad example of skating fast when dark
- 5 - Cars and trucks driving by and as soon as they get to me they stick their arm out
- 6 through the window and make a grabbing motion. In front of house.
- 7
- 8 - People yelling at me to shutup but pretending to be yelling at dog when I email
- 9 - Another house had a trap where they acted like nice friendly guys then put a Miata
- 10 on jack but on a slanted driveway and looked at Arbit like they needed help—trap to
- 11 push it off the stands
- 12
- 13 -underage girls following
- 14 - Sexualizing children, playing the front yard, talking loudly about sex, alternatively
- 15 lawsuit
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 -jack laxatives while at work (multiple times)
- 21 -computer screen smash
- 22
- 23 -car trying to hit me as I'm walking to the post office to mail court papers
- 24 -me e coli
- 25 -guy that looked like the tranny from la but wasn't but was all smiles after google
- 26 "speed bumped" me by saying I've already used that phone number to confirm my
- 27
- 28

1 account then calling me at 4am (knowing its on silent) when asked for email
2 communication.

3
4 -truck with trailer trying to run over me

5 -guy that stalked me in LA shows up to the barber shop as I'm walking to sprouts

6 -job at sprouts, home depot, furniture store interview (whats Facebook)

7
8 -gym follow with the guy from Big Bear

9 -men dressed as firefighters trying to force a fight by going in front of the punching
10 bag

11
12 -firefighters kept whistling like brother whistles

13 -person taking flash photography of house at night

14
15 -young women in a weird shiny dress with a arm full bike tires

16
17 -overcharging me at the copy center

18
19 -trying to force an accident on days I go to court to file

20
21 -blocking outgoing emails by google

22
23 -google saying ive already used that phone number to confirm my account

24
25 -fake interview with product board? And the automation company both were super
26 excited, Oxford instruments

27
28 -stolen items from business assets and personal items from storage

-grubhub sending me to the completely wrong direction

- 1 -grubhub difficulty apartments with locked doors, no signs, in inadequate instruction
2 from diner.
3
4 -guy that hit me in the parking lot, then the diner is French and asked me to follow him
5 to his apartment to get the “tip”
6
7 -grubhub assets falsely accusing me that I ate the muffin top.
8
9 -French agent from LA walking by when I was eating froyo after a court event
10
11 -guy that acted like a person with mental disabilities in Redondo beach in 2015 was
12 working at Walmart
13
14 -guy at the gym marching then trying to hit with a curl bar
15
16 - people at the gym trying to reserve equipment.
17
18 - Bad example of hand stands. Socilizing with firefighters
19
20 - Fire ambulance coming up behind me forced me and a truck to pull over and the
21 truck to tried to pull into me
22
23 - Firefighters blocking self serve and doing a walk by
24
25 - Security guard at court putting hand on gun
26
27 - Grabbing deliveries before I got to them
28
29
30 - Littering on the U.S. 60 damaging front faces and underbody plastic
31
32
33 - French agent walk by, diagonally for no purpose

- 1 - Google blocking all outgoing emails-no OoO responses except for one email cover
- 2 up.
- 3
- 4 - Sage super scared at the sight of a handgun
- 5 - Guy with a bat asking me to bring him the food inside the garage because he's can't
- 6 walk well. Proceeds to chase me to my car with the stick
- 7
- 8 - Female that asks me to bring it to hand it her beyond the closed gate as I was
- 9 walking away, proceeds to berate me
- 10
- 11 - Ants where I work out
- 12 - Ants in my bedroom
- 13 - ~~The other side has a guy who was skinny then got fat (twin)~~, says he's enjoyed
- 14 watching me
- 15
- 16 - Feeding the dog socks that where in my closed room; feeding the dog sunglasses that
- 17 were in the middle of the counter and out of reach
- 18
- 19 - Trying to hit me with a car as I walk around my car to fill up for gas
- 20 -
- 21 - Trying to rip me off when I post products for sale
- 22
- 23 - Dude riding on side boards
- 24 - Chinese heavy bag soft slapper
- 25
- 26 - Monkey see monkey do at the gym, then gets delivery as bait
- 27 - Calling the court clerk and annoying her right before I arrive
- 28

- 1 - Regular cars with clearly not police with police bumpers to attempt a slow maneuver
- 2 - Fake emergency at OK where I was stopping in the afternoon for a pick me with a
- 3 chocking child.
- 4
- 5 - People asking for a jump so that they could do it incorrectly
- 6 -
- 7
- 8 - Cars needing a push on the freeway ramp (bait into a dangerous situation and then
- 9 run me the fuck over)
- 10
- 11 - I was eating only bread and tomato sauce, so they damage the tomato sauce cans
- 12 then bait in front of the redhead house with cheeky smiles. and place the flour bags
- 13 so that one sits on another and both fall when you grab one
- 14
- 15 - Laxatives at Costco food court
- 16
- 17 - Deliveries where guys were looking for an opportunity to grab a part of me and
- 18 drag me inside
- 19 -
- 20 - Chopping down saguaros so they lie in the middle of the street and are a hazard, the
- 21 hazard is then used by other agents to try to side swipe me.
- 22
- 23 - Paying bartender to be aggressive because he's jealous near the female bartender
- 24 while waiting for a grub hub order
- 25
- 26 - Delivering to one address then paying an underage "model" to parade herself around
- 27 from a nearby house (with hidden cameras)
- 28

- 1 - Chinese agent training slips at the gym so that someone can throw a hook that I slip
2 and get clocked
3
4 -
5 - Different French people every time I go to Starbucks to work
6
7 - People purposely having trouble with paying for items at the gas station to jam me
8 up, in conjunction with the store employee
9
10 - Restaurants that say that food is about to come up but an more than 30 minutes later
11 it does not. At the same time another grub driver is waiting, said driver shows up in
12 cali too to stalk me
13
14 - Paid agents going out the entrance of a fast food joint to try and hit me
15
16 - Turning off reception on my phone so I can't use the navigation after I pick up an
17 order; turning off reception so that I cant pick an order up
18
19 - Burn gas, order after engine shutoff
20
21 - Guy at Costco arriving at line at the same time then getting angry but acting like he's
22 on the phone
23
24 - Food delivery from closed restaurants so that I have to make a notice and get paid
25 bupkis.
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Arbit stayed with Grandfather and then travelled for many months. A few days Arbit would move to a different a Motel 6. The ASS continued to stalk Arbit because they still had his phone and computer hacked. At times they would setup fake interviews to cover up the fact that the ASS were sabotaging Arbit's job search by means of their illicit electronic access. Google was one of the companies used to do a fake interview. Right off the bat, the interviewer was demeaning. Arbit countered with his extensive knowledge and answered his questions and shared some tools that Arbit often used in his many data centers builds. No follow up interview was scheduled.

1 *Vertiv Rittal, AWS, and Github did fake interviews to cover up the ASS's criminality.*

2 *Other than the few fake interviews Arbit did not receive interview requests in 2016,*

3 *2017, and most of 2018. By 2018 Arbit had expanded his job search national roles.*

6 *Back to phoenix, travel, back to phoenix, NYC, San Diego Airbnb beach (fake army*

8 *cunt), airbnb house, SM, DTLA, Phoenix*

9 The ASS had hacked my computer and email services. They have used their
10 access to commit wire fraud. In addition to dropping incoming and outgoing
11 employment related emails, the ASS have sabotaged Arbit's marketing efforts related
12 to his business, Arbit LLC DBA SecurePower®. Arbit LLC used securepower.io and
13 secureenergy.io to send marketing emails starting in April of 2021. The ASS were
14 actively monitoring Arbit's communication and stole email contacts that Arbit had
15 acquired. Hundreds of thousands of emails have been sent. Zero customers have
16 responded with interest to any of the marketing emails.

20 Arbit has used Google's Workspace, Mailgun, and Amazon's AWS to send
21 emails. Amazon, Google, and Microsoft dominate the enterprise email market. These
22 three companies also have cloud offerings which compete against Arbit's business of
23 selling infrastructure for on premises data centers. These three companies leveraged
24 their market dominance to mark Arbit's emails as SPAM in order to gain an unfair
25 advantage in the market.

1 Arbit's emails where all personalized and targeted while providing a unique
2 service of an engineer owned small business that specializes in data center power,
3 cooling, and layouts. Labelling Arbit's emails as SPAM was strategic business move to
4 quash competition and not a legitimate action to protect their customers.
5

6 Amazon, Google, and Microsoft also engaged other technology companies in
7 their scheme to commit wire fraud.
8

9 Arbit sent out hundreds of thousands of emails over the years and that amounts
10 to hundreds of thousands of counts of wire fraud committed by the ASS.
11

12 Multiple sabotage techniques were used over the years. Sometime the emails
13 where marked as SPAM, other times they never reached their destination because the
14 ASS dropped them or refused to relay them. Incoming mail was also deleted or
15 dropped. Sometimes this happened at on Arbit's computer directly other times his
16 cookies where stolen so that the crimes could be committed remotely. And in other
17 instances the service provider would commit there crimes using their own servers.
18
19

20 The first sign of hacking occurred in 2021. When Arbit first started
21 programming Mailgun to send his emails. Before finding the script the API appeared to
22 start working. This was because French intelligence agents were intercepting the API
23 calls and sending back fake confirmations. When Arbit tried to call the police because
24 of the stalking and harassment that escalated around the time and contact the FBI
25
26
27
28

1 because his emails were being hijacked he failed because his phone was also
2 hacked. iOS updates did not fix the hacking and neither did OS updates on his Macs.

3
4 Over the years the ASS have hacked multiple phones and computers. They have
5 done so with various means; such as, hacking the boot loader on the iPhone, sending
6 data files by other ASS members, and installing cameras in Arbit's home to watch him
7 type in passwords, cookie stealing, and hacking his router.
8

9 In 2021, Arbit suspected he was hacked and when he opened up the Activity
10 Monitor he saw that there was a process running called "KeyLogger." The ASS wanted
11 Arbit to know he was being hacked. But the key logger was not the only hack the ASS
12 had accomplished—they had full control of his keyboard, video, and mouse.
13
14

15
16 Alphabet and Amazon were recruited to the ASS to inhibit Arbit's personal and
17 business emails from reaching their destination and to keep emails sent to Arbit, both
18 personal and business, from Arbit's personal and business email accounts. Both
19 Alphabet and Amazon actively covered their acts with additional counts of wire fraud.
20

21 *TALK ABOUT WHEN SIGN UP OCCURRED AND HOW MANY EMAILS WERE*
22 *SENT AND THE NATURE OF THE EMAILS d AWS charts*
23

24 *Cookies*

25 *iboot*

26 *ss7*
27
28

1
2
3
4 **9. Interview Fraud**

5 Criminals launder money to remove the connection between the ill gotten gains
6 and the crimes. Similarly, when a corrupt company, such as ASS member Schneider
7 Electric, wants to neutralize an employee who is leaving, they will treat the employee
8 like dirty money, and use other companies to “wash their hands” of a former employee
9 and the unjustifiable end of this person’s career that the corrupt company will
10 orchestrate.
11
12

13 In my case, the Facebook contract position was the first cycle. The intention
14 when hiring me was to not give me a permanent position. When that didn’t work, a
15 second cycle was used with the job I got in New York. That company shut down under
16 suspicious circumstances. While I was there, the A.S.S. team was stalking and
17 harassing me for entertainment and profit.
18
19

20 Fake interviews were used to cover up the fact that Arbit’s private electronic
21 resources (e.g., email, phone, router) are under the control of the A.S.S. One such
22 example occurred while I was in New York City, and it went as far as the offer stage.
23

24 Taek Yun worked for Instagram prior to his job at LaunchDarkly. Mr. Yun
25 reached out to Arbit on email. Mr. Yun used the email address taek@launchdarkly.com
26 when he email Arbit at stanarbit@gmail.com on July 19, 2019 at 5:18 PM. Mr. Yun
27
28

1 expressed his enthusiasm for Arbit's application and was interested in learning more
2 about Arbit's skills and background. Mr. Yun said that the first step would be to speak
3 with Lev Lazinskiy, Solutions Engineer Team Lead at Launch Darkly. The call was to
4 last about 45 minutes and Mr. Yun requested Arbit's schedule over the next two weeks.
5

6 On July 19, 2019 at 3:26, Arbit replied from stanarbit@gmail.com to Mr. Yun at
7 taek@launchdarkly.com. Arbit requested an evening call (ET).
8

9 On July 19, 2019 at 3:43 PM, Mr. Yun confirmed receipt of the Arbit's
10 availability and informed Arbit that a calendar invite should be expected. Mr. Yun
11 included some links about LaunchDarkly for Arbit to review.
12

13 On July 19, 2019 at 3:43 PM, Mr. Yun, sent a calendar invite for July 22, 2022.
14 The call was scheduled for 3PM PDT and Mr. Lazinskiy was to call Arbit on Arbit's
15 mobile phone. Mr. Yun requested that Arbit confirm the invitation. Mr. Arbit confirmed
16 that he is able to attend by accepting the google invite.
17

18 On July 22, 2019, Mr. Lazinskiy and Arbit spoke and the call went well.
19

20 On July 29, 2019, Mr. Yun emailed Arbit expressing his excitement that Arbit
21 has advanced to the next stage of the interview process. The next step was an exercise.
22 Mr. Yun requested that Arbit fill out a google form ([https://goo.gl/forms/
23 UkzpBkSzWuyegnsj2](https://goo.gl/forms/UkzpBkSzWuyegnsj2)). Mr. Yun informed Arbit that the project should take 2-3 hours.
24 Mr. Yun informed Arbit that LaunchDarkly encourages candidates to turn the project in
25 within one week of receiving the project. Mr. Arbit completed and submitted the
26
27
28

1 project on August 4, 2019 to google sheets and his gitlab repo at <https://github.com/>
2 [gethello/LD](https://github.com/).
3

4 On August 6, 2019 at 4:47 PM, Mr. Yun sent an email to Arbit asking if Arbit
5 had any questions or comments regarding the homework assignment. Mr. Yun added
6 that the team is really looking forward to seeing the solution.
7

8 On August 7, 2019 at 10:09 AM, Mr. Arbit forwarded a copy of the submitted
9 answers to Mr. Yun.

10 On August 19, 2019, Madoree Pipkins sent an email from
11 mpipkins@launchdarkly.com to stanarbit@gmail.com informing Arbit of the next step
12 in the interview process. Ms. Pipkins asked Arbit to provide at least three blocks of
13 time so that she can schedule an interview between Arbit and Steven Glass, Solutions
14 Engineer. The call should take between 30-45 minutes.
15
16

17 On Aug 21, 2019, 4:00 PM Arbit replied. Arbit said he was available tomorrow
18 but would be traveling the following week, after which his availability returns to any
19 time after 6:00 PM EDT.
20

21 On Aug 21, 2019, 4:44 PM, Ms. Pipkins replied to Arbit stating “Sounds good.
22 Confirmation coming your way shortly.”
23

24 On August 21, 2019 at 4:43 PM, Madoree Pipkins sent an email from
25 mpipkins@launchdarkly.com to stanarbit@gmail.com informing Arbit that
26
27
28

1 LaunchDarkly is excited to have Arbit speak with Steven Glass, Solutions Engineer.

2 Ms. Pipkins also informed Arbit that he should be expecting a calendar invite.

3
4 A calendar invite was receive at the same time. The next call was scheduled for
5 August 23, 2019 at 12pm PDT.

6 August 23, 2019 at 12pm PDT Mr. Glass and Arbit spoke when Mr. Glass called
7 Arbit's phone at the scheduled time (August 23, 2019 at 12pm PDT).

8
9 On August 26, 2019, 1:28 PM, Ms. Pipkins emailed Arbit to congratulate him
10 for moving on to the next step. The next step would be an onsite interview or a
11 videoconference lasting 3–4 hours. Ms. Pipkins requested Arbit's schedule for the next
12 two weeks.

13
14 On August 28, 2019 at 4:35 PM, Arbit replied that he is available at any time
15 after Labor Day.

16
17 On September 3, 2019 at 2:46 PM, Ms. Pipkins emailed Arbit to inform him that
18 a videoconference call with the team has been scheduled. At the same time Arbit
19 received a calendar invite for a zoom meeting. The meeting was scheduled for
20 September 10, 2019 from 12:30 PM to 3:00 PM (PDT). Arbit was scheduled to speak
21 with Thi Nguyen, Steve Glass, Tom Fashola, Nikki Galosh, and Lev Lazinskiy. Arbit
22 responded to the invite with a "Yes."

23
24
25 On September 10, Arbit attended the zoom meeting that was scheduled using the
26 following link: <https://zoom.us/j/5728099558>.

1 On September 10, 2019 at 4:06 PM Mr. Yun emailed Arbit to congratulate him
2 on moving to the last and final step. The final step was a two part demonstration which
3 would occur over two days. The first day Arbit presented a SaaS product followed by
4 objection handling and coaching with Steve Glass, Solutions Engineer. The following
5 day Arbit was required to incorporate the feedback Mr. Glass provided and redo the
6 demonstration. Mr. Yun requested Arbit's availability.
7
8

9 On September 11, 2019 at 10:47 AM, Arbit responded with his availability
10 preference.
11

12 On September 11, 2019 at 9:29 PM, Ms. Pipkins sent Arbit an email informing
13 him that he should be receiving a calendar invite for day one.
14

15 On September 11, 2019 at 9:29 PM, Ms. Pipkins sent a calendar invite for Sep.
16 19, 2019 at 11:30-12:00 PM EST and included a zoom link: [https://zoom.us/j/](https://zoom.us/j/321238475)
17 [321238475](https://zoom.us/j/321238475). Arbit accepted the invite.
18

19 On September 11, 2019 at 9:41 PM, Ms. Pipkins sent Arbit an email informing
20 him that he should be receiving a calendar invite for day two. This was scheduled for
21 September 20, 2019 at 9:30–10:00 AM PST. Steve Glass, Solutions Engineer, and
22 Kevin Gemulla, Account Executive were scheduled to join. A zoom link was provided.
23

24 On September 15, 2019, Arbit purchased a LaunchDarkly Core Starter plan at
25 \$90/month in preparation for the final step in the interview process. The invoice lists
26 LaunchDarkly as a DBA of Catamorphic Co., located at 1714 Franklin Street Suite
27
28

1 100-140, Oakland, CA 94612. <https://launchdarkly.com>,
2 accounting@launchdarkly.com. Catamorphic Co. banks at Silicon Valley Bank. The
3 invoice number was INV-11124. Arbit used his stanarbit@gmail.com email address to
4 make the purchase.
5

6 Arbit and LaunchDarkly completed both days of the interviews.
7

8 Knowing that references would be difficult since the only two companies I had
9 worked for prior to the company in New York (Facebook & Schneider Electric) were,
10 for business purposes, “putting me through the wringer.” Ms. Pipkins requested
11 references on September 23, 2019 at 12:49 PM.
12

13 On September 23, 2019 at 1:12 PM, Arbit responded saying he will get back to
14 her.
15

16 On September 23, 2019 at the 7:07 PM, Arbit responded with two references.

17 On September 25, 2019 at 1:18 PM, Ms. Pipkins responded that she reached out
18 to them to get their availability for a call.
19

20 On September 25, 2019 at 1:42 PM, Arbit thanked Ms. Pipkins for the update.

21 On September 23, 2019 at 6:50 AM, Steve Glass sent Arbit an email expressing
22 his excitement to offer Arbit the position. LaunchDarkly was part of ASS and was
23 monitoring Arbit’s internet usage. LaunchDarkly knew that Arbit was expecting a
24 higher salary based on Arbit’s research and actual expenses of living in New York City.
25 Mr. Glass made gave Arbit the following three options:
26
27
28

Option	Base	Variable	Equity	OTE
1	112000	28000	6700	140000
2	116000	29000	5300	145000
3	120000	30000	3900	150000

On September 23, 2019, at 6:46 PM, Arbit replied with a counteroffer of \$126,143 base and \$43,650 bonus/commission based on sales and no equity. Arbit provided a link for data on salaries for sales engineers in New York (<https://www.builtinnyc.com/salaries/dev-engineer/sales-engineer/new-york>). Arbit's salary request was around average but below the common salary for a sales engineer in New York. In March of the same year, LaunchDarkly announced that they raised \$44 million in Series C financing.

On September 24, 2019, at 2:40 AM, Mr. Glass responded that he will get back to Arbit.

On September 27, 2019, at 5:37 AM, Mr. Glass responded that they are unable to meet the salary requirements and the position has been filled with another candidate.

At this time, Arbit was working for a company called Jumpshot.. The VP of Global People Operations at Jumpshot was named Jessica Miranda. Ms. Miranda worked in HR at Facebook between January 2015–July 2016. Ms. Miranda indicated that she was aware of the interview process that Arbit was engaged in with

1 LaunchDarkly by conducting a mock exit interview with Arbit while leaving her
2 AirPods on the table and covertly broadcasting the interview to other ASS members.

3
4 While at Jumpshot, in the words of Arbit’s manager, Brandon Brady, Mr. Brady
5 acted as a “sales engineer cooler” (referring to *The Cooler*, a 2003 film by Wayne
6 Kramer). Mr. Brady’s job at Jumpshot was to be toxic to Arbit’s career and sales
7 engineering performance. ASS sough to limit Arbit’s role and never be satisfied with
8 Arbit’s performance while at Jumpshot. This was in preparation for the next stage of
9 the ASS’s plans for Arbit—the end of Arbit’s career, and completely freezing Arbit out
10 of society.
11

12
13 Each email and meeting represent one count of fraud in furtherance of the
14 scheme of separating Arbit from income, the family’s intellectual property, and
15 neutralizing him as a competitor. As well as profiting off the monetization by framing
16 this as a reality show on Facebook, where real people do real crimes targeting Arbit.
17 This is also theft of intangible resources like time, quality of life, enthusiasm for job
18 hunting, and opportunity cost of not working on the family business or other ventures.
19

20
21 Additionally, LaunchDarkly realized real revenue when Arbit purchased a
22 subscription to LaunchDarkly under false pretenses provided by LaunchDarkly.
23 LaunchDarkly also received free consultation services from Arbit on how he does
24 presentations. With more experience and probably much higher sales than his
25 interviewees, Arbit was milked for his knowledge at Arbit’s cost.
26
27
28

1 Another type of interview fraud involves identity theft where the ASS apply and
2 interview for jobs as Arbit.
3
4
5
6
7
8

- 9 1. Conducted fake interviews to cover for other methods of restricting
10 income, such as blocking potential employers and but allowing
11 rejections to be received. This was achieved with unauthorized access
12 to personal compute resources.
13
- 14 2. Made bad faith job offers
- 15 3. Provided bad faith temporary employment
- 16 4. Akin to money laundering
17

18 **10. SEC Filings (Fraud)**

19 A. See RI
20

21 **11. Threat of arson at AVA**

22 In furtherance of Meta's scheme to extort Arbit, the A.S.S. threatened to commit
23 arson while Arbit was living at 200 S. Los Angeles St, Los Angeles, CA 90012. The
24 A.S.S. employed agents who would loiter in the area where Arbit lived, walked his
25 dog, and exercised. A.S.S. agents with pants halfway down exposing their bare
26
27
28

1 gluteus maximus would walk by, stop, stare, and pose at Arbit while they were
2 holding a gasoline container with its nozzle engaged in the upright dispensing
3 position. On other occasions, in the evening, while Arbit was in his apartment, the
4 A.S.S. would set off the building fire alarm and time it to coincide with Arbit taking
5 his first bite of dinner.
6

7
8 Pursuant to California Penal Code, Part 1, Title 7, Chapter 9, § 186.2 (a)(1) and
9 18 U.S.C. 1961 (1)(A) any threat involving arson constitutes “racketeering activity”
10 or “criminal profiteering activity”. Arson is chargeable in the State of California
11 under § 451 of the Penal Code and is punishable by more than one year in prison.
12

13 **12. Dealing in Obscene Matter**

14
15 Meta Platforms enables and profits from the distribution and solicitation of
16 obscene matter that depicts minors engaging in or personally simulating sexual
17 conduct as defined in California’s Penal Code § 311.4. This subdivision is punishable
18 by more than one year in prison and pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1961 (1)(A) constitutes
19 “racketeering activity”. California Penal Code, Part 1, Title 7, Chapter 9, § 186.2 (a)(3)
20 lists this crime as a “criminal profiteering activity” which is synonymous with
21 “racketeering activity.” (*State of New Mexico, ex rel. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,*
22
23 1:23-cv-01115, PACER, New Mexico District Court)
24

25 **13. Attempts at Extortion**
26
27
28

1 A.S.S agents are constantly making direct and indirect threats of violence (the
2 most recent being a few hours prior to the writing of this section, July 22, 2024 at
3 my gym). Some threats are more direct, such as, “Stan, you are a dead man”. Some
4 threats are more stereotypical mafioso type threats like, “Nice dog, it would be a
5 shame if anything would happen to him.” See also threats of arson in section III(1)
6 (F).
7

8
9 Officers in the local police departments, such as the El Segundo PD, Los
10 Angeles PD, and Manhattan Beach PD, are part of the A.S.S., and there have been
11 creative hints that I will be setup with a crime. Considering that Arbit’s computer
12 and phones are hacked and his office has been constantly trespassed, and when
13 Arbit had a car, it too was comprised—this seems like a real possibility.
14

15
16 Furthermore, they have already provided perjurious testimony that Arbit
17 brandished a knife on an A.S.S. agent (Avalonbay Communities, Inc. v. Arbit,
18 22STUD00831, Los Angeles County). The lawyer for the plaintiff, Matthew Hogan
19 of Kimball, Tirey & St. John LLP (A.K.A. KTS-Law), told the judge in that case
20 that he was working with the D.A., and Mr. Hogan expressed his surprise that Arbit
21 has not been arrested yet.
22

23
24 Over the course of many months they tortured Arbit’s dog to death. The A.S.S.
25 slowly would increase the frequency of grand mal seizures in Arbit’s dog. The toxin
26 would be administered while Arbit was away from him. The grand mal seizures
27
28

1 were brief at first but their duration would increase along with the frequency. This
2 was used as a tool to hinder Arbit's performance in a trademark infringement trial
3 against Schneider Electric, who both use A.S.S. agents and have employees who are
4 A.S.S. members, where a dose was administered the evening prior to a hearing to
5 dismiss. Arbit lost the motion and the case was dismissed.
6

7
8 Prior to the dismissal, a final dose of toxin was administered to Arbit's dog
9 about week after the hearing, around the middle of November 2023. The final dose
10 of toxin induced a seizure episode that would not stop. After about 12 hours, Arbit
11 borrowed money to have a vet put the dog down. This was an act of retaliation by
12 the French A.S.S. members in response to the evidentiary hearing for the trademark
13 infringement case (*Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, 2:23-cv-00533-SPL, D. Ariz.)
14

15
16 A.S.S. agents have also poisoned Arbit's food and his dog's food with bacteria
17 on numerous occasions. And they have "dosed" Arbit with laxatives extensively.
18 Arbit only eats packaged, non-perishable food as a result of this, but utensils, cups,
19 toothpaste, etc., are still targets of magnesium citrate.
20

21 A.S.S. agents, for years now, have been physically swarming Arbit. Some are
22 regular swarmers but there is always a rotation involved. This lets you know that
23 you are under constant physical surveillance and violence can occur at any time, but
24 you can't get a restraining order because the regulars have plausible deniability
25 (e.g., they live or work near by) and the ones on rotation change after a few days.
26
27
28

1 Imagine going to the grocery store and seeing person “A” there. You have never
2 seen person “A” before. You then go to the gym and person “A” is already there.
3
4 The next day you decide to take your dog to the park and person “A” is arrives after
5 you. The next three mornings, even if you change your regular schedule, person
6 “A” walks by your front door at *exactly* the same time as you open the door. Next
7 week it will be person “B”. And as you might have guessed, the following week it
8 will be person “C”.

10 Sometimes, the swarmers will try to intercept you physically. As in run or walk
11 into you, like grade-school bullies. Other times they will just lurk near you or sneak
12 up behind you. This is especially concerning when they do it with cars. As in they
13 try to assault Arbit, both as a pedestrian and in his car, with a motor vehicle. On
14 some occasions they have used multiple cars and try to make it look like an
15 accident or garden-variety negligence. There have even been occasions when A.S.S.
16 agents have tried to set their children up to be hit by Arbit as he backed out of
17 parking spots. On one occasion, a little girl was saved because she yelled, “Stop my
18 shoe fell off” as her mom tried to usher her into the path of Arbit’s car, and Arbit
19 could see the mom break down emotionally as he passed her.

21 Threats of extortion is considered a “racketeering activity” in 18. U.S.C. § 1961
22 (1). Extortion is a state crime under Penal Code Part 1, Title 13, Chapter 7 §§ 518–
23 527. Punishment is greater than one year as is required in 18. U.S.C. § 1961 (1)(A).

1 Extortion is also defined as racketeering activity in 18. U.S.C. § 1961 (2)(B) citing
2 18. U.S.C. § 1951.

3 **14. Witness bribery in AVA**

4
5 The evidence will show that Plaintiff's witnesses in *Avalonbay Communities,*
6 *Inc. v. Arbit*, 22STUD00831, Los Angeles County lied. They were paid by A.S.S. to
7 provide perjurious testimony. The point of the lawsuit was to act as a strategic lawsuit
8 against business participation, and the plaintiff purposely dragged the unlawful
9 detainer out for six months. As a solo-entrepreneur Arbit was representing himself and
10 this drained his resources which allowed Meta to build out its version of the Threads
11 app and prevented Arbit from building up his data center business. It also served as a
12 form of agitation that coupled with A.S.S.'s psychological operations would, they
13 hoped, result in a reaction from Arbit that would lead to criminal charges against Arbit.

14
15
16
17 18 U.S.C. § 201, bribery of a witness, is defined as racketing activity under 18.
18 U.S.C. § 1961.
19
20

21 **15. Production, Trafficking, and Use of an Authorized Access Device** 22 **(Section 1029)**

23
24 I first learned that my mobile phone, an iPhone, and my MacBook were hacked
25 in 2021. This means the A.S.S. had access to my home security system, bank accounts,
26 personal and work email, uspto.gov login information, private notes, business plans,
27
28

1 custom code written for my business, trade secrets, entertainment choices, travel plans.
2 They could and did manipulate job prospects, and identify which jobs I applied for in
3 order to defame me; they could, and did, use my security camera to stream video and
4 voice from inside my apartment.
5

6 They manipulated business software and captured the business contacts that I
7 acquired. They spoofed police websites and served me with false non-emergency
8 police phone numbers and had a fake police officer show up. The officer did not have a
9 name tag, gun, or radio. They intercepted and blocked my FBI tips. They accessed my
10 apartment by using my phone to circumnavigate my security system and infected my
11 dog with a something that resembles a tick-borne disease when I was gaining focus on
12 my work—and this was all done in the name of entertainment and financial gains.
13
14
15

16 When Arbit moved to Los Angeles, his apartment used an electric key fob which
17 was cloned; wireless router, and new alarm system were also hacked. A.S.S. continued
18 to gather intelligence on Arbit and his business—as they do to this very day (July 23,
19 2024).
20

21 18 U.S. Code § 1029 is defined as a racketing activity under 18 U.S.C. § 1961.
22

23 **16. Theft of Government Identification (Section 1028)** 24 25 26 27 28

1 The A.S.S. used the unauthorized access devices to gain entry to Arbit's
2 downtown Los Angeles apartment and bypassed his alarm system to steal his Arizona
3 Drivers License.
4

5 18 U.S. Code § 1028 is defined as a racketing activity under 18 U.S.C. § 1961.

6 **17. Theft and Unauthorized Use of a Credit Card (Section 1344)**
7

8 The A.S.S. used the unauthorized access devices to gain entry to Arbit's apartment
9 at 200 S. Los Angeles St. 319 and bypassed his alarm system to steal credit card. The card
10 was used at local retailers. Upon investigation by the credit company it was made known
11 to Arbit that a dress was procured. The French transvestite intelligence agent that lived
12 next door and followed Arbit around, started to a black dress after that.
13

14 18 U.S. Code § 1344 is defined as a racketing activity under 18 U.S.C. § 1961.

15 **18. 18 U.S. Code § 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or an**
16 **informant**
17

18 18. U.S.C. § 1512 (a)(1)(A): During the *Avalonbay Communities, Inc. v. Arbit*,
19 No. 22STUD00831 (L.A. Sup. Ct. Aug. 8, 2022) case, numerous attempts were made
20 by the A.S.S. to hit Arbit with a motor vehicle while crossing Toyo Miyatake Way and
21 S Los Angles street on the 200 block. The intent was to prevent the attendance and
22 testimony of Arbit in an official proceeding.
23

24 18. U.S.C. § 1512 (a)(1)(A) also applies to the following subsequent cases: *Arbit*
25 *LLC v. Schneider Elec. SE*, No. CV-23-00446-PHX-DLR (D. Ariz. Mar. 16, 2023);
26 *Arbit v. Avalonbay Communities, et al.*, No. 22STCV17894 (L.A. Sup. Ct. May 8,
27
28

1 2023); *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov. 27,
2 2023); *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE et al.*, No. 2:23cv10369 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 14,
3 2023); *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23PSRO02415, (L.A. Sup. Ct. Mar. 8, 2024); *Arbit v.*
4 *Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct.); *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No.
5 24-35 (9th Cir.).

7
8 18. U.S.C. § 1512 (a)(2)(A): The A.S.S. used the threat of physical force on
9 countless occasion with the intent to influence, delay, and prevent Arbit’s testimony in
10 *Avalonbay Communities, Inc. v. Arbit*, No. 22STUD00831 (L.A. Sup. Ct. Aug. 8,
11 2022); *Arbit LLC v. Schneider Elec. SE*, No. CV-23-00446-PHX-DLR (D. Ariz. Mar.
12 16, 2023); *Arbit v. Avalonbay Communities, et al.*, No. 22STCV17894 (L.A. Sup. Ct.
13 May 8, 2023); *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov.
14 27, 2023); *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE et al.*, No. 2:23cv10369 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 14,
15 2023); *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23PSRO02415, (L.A. Sup. Ct. Mar. 8, 2024); *Arbit v.*
16 *Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct. pending); *Arbit v. Schneider Electric*
17 *SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir. pending).

18
19
20
21 18. U.S.C. § 1512 (a)(2)(B)(i): AvalonBay communities, whose employees are
22 part of A.S.S., withheld video recordings of the alleged incidents in *Avalonbay*
23 *Communities, Inc. v. Arbit*, No. 22STUD00831 (L.A. Sup. Ct. Aug. 8, 2022).

24
25 18. U.S.C. § 1512 (a)(2)(C): The A.S.S uses physical force or the threat of
26 physical force with the intent to maintain compliance with A.S.S’s objectives and
27
28

1 prevent the communication to law enforcement officers of information relating to the
2 commission or possible commission of Federal offenses.

3
4 18. U.S.C. § 1512 is defined as a racketing activity under 18 U.S.C. § 1961.

5 B. Starting with the FBI tip while in SM

6 C. Don't forget attempted murder

7
8 **19 U.S. Code § 1513 - Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant**

9 The continuous crimes ranging from stalking and harassment to attempted
10 murder are in retaliation against Arbit as a witness in the previously filed case:

11
12 *Avalonbay Communities, Inc. v. Arbit*, No. 22STUD00831 (L.A. Sup. Ct. Aug. 8,
13 2022); *Arbit LLC v. Schneider Elec. SE*, No. CV-23-00446-PHX-DLR (D. Ariz. Mar.
14 16, 2023); *Arbit v. Avalonbay Communities, et al.*, No. 22STCV17894 (L.A. Sup. Ct.
15 May 8, 2023); *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 2:23-CV00533-SPL (D. Ariz. Nov.
16 27, 2023); *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE et al.*, No. 2:23cv10369 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 14,
17 2023); *Arbit v. Zuckerberg*, No. 23PSRO02415, (L.A. Sup. Ct. Mar. 8, 2024); *Arbit v.*
18
19 *Zuckerberg*, No. 23TRCP00474 (L.A. Sup. Ct. pending); *Arbit v. Schneider Electric*
20 *SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir. pending).

21
22 18 U.S.C § 1513 (a)(1)(A): The ASS have attempted to kill Arbit for being a
23 witness and a party in court proceedings. The ASS have explicitly stated their desire to
24 silence Arbit in *Arbit v. Schneider Electric SE*, No. 24-35 (9th Cir. pending). *id.* Doc.
25 29 at 16, Doc. 26 at 1, Doc. 20 at 1, and Doc. 15 at 10.
26
27
28

1 18 U.S.C § 1513 (a)(1)(B): The ASS have attempted to kill Arbit in retaliation
2 for speaking to law enforcement regarding ASS's criminality.

3
4 18 U.S.C § 1513 (b)(1): The ASS have damaged property and threaten to cause
5 bodily harm to Arbit with the intention to retaliate against Arbit for being a witness and
6 a party in an official legal proceeding.

7
8 18 U.S.C § 1513 (b)(2): The ASS have damaged property and threatened to
9 cause bodily harm to Arbit with the intention to retaliate against Arbit for providing
10 information relating to the commission or possible commission of Federal offenses and
11 violations.

12
13 18 U.S.C. § 1513 (e): The ASS have knowingly, with the intent to retaliate, have
14 taken action, in the form of defamation and electronic sabotage, to prevent Arbit from
15 earning income from, including, but not limited to, his businesses, engineering career,
16 or entry-level retail jobs

17
18 18 U.S.C. § 1513 (f): All ASS members have conspired to violate § 1513 and are
19 subject to the same penalties as those prescribed for the offense the commission of
20 which was the object of the conspiracy.

21
22 18. U.S.C. § 1513 is defined as a racketing activity under 18 U.S.C. § 1961.

23
24 E. Peonage, Slavery, And Trafficking In Persons
25
26
27
28

1 Meta employees, some of whom are ASS members, including Mark Zuckerberg,
2 have incentivized, promoted, and personally engaged in peonage, slavery and trafficking
3 of people.¹²
4

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 ¹Andrea Cipriano, *Facebook Liable for Human Trafficking Connections: Court Ruling*,
17 The Crime Report, June 28, 2021, [https://thecrimereport.org/2021/06/28/facebook-](https://thecrimereport.org/2021/06/28/facebook-liable-for-human-trafficking-connections-court-ruling)
18 [liable-for-human-trafficking-connections-court-ruling](https://thecrimereport.org/2021/06/28/facebook-liable-for-human-trafficking-connections-court-ruling) (Last visited July 28, 2024)
19 ² (*State of New Mexico, ex rel. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 1:23-cv-01115, D. New
20 Mex.)

21 **20. 18 U.S. Code § 1951 - Interference with commerce by threats or**
22 **violence; Extortion**

23 **21. 18 U.S. Code § 1957 - Engaging in monetary transactions in property**
24 **derived from specified unlawful activity**

25 **22. Section 1958 (relating to use of interstate commerce facilities in the**
26 **commission of murder-for-hire)**
27
28

1 **23. 18 U.S. Code § 175 - Prohibitions with respect to biological weapons**

2 **24. 18 U.S. Code § 229 - Prohibited activities (Chemical)**

3 **25. §1328. Importation of alien for immoral purpose**

4 **26.section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice)**

5 **25. 18 U.S. CODE § 2332B - ACTS OF TERRORISM TRANSCENDING**
6
7 **NATIONAL BOUNDARIES**

8 **26. RICO Acts Not Related to Arbit**

9 **1. sections 2251, 2251A, 2252, and 2260 (relating to sexual**
10
11 **exploitation of children)**

12
13 Arbit is not the only victim of Meta profiting of RICO acts. Meta has been
14 negligent in its platform's sexual exploitation of children. Time and time again Meta
15 has put profit over safety. With Meta's help child abusers are able to form associations-
16 in-fact that unite for the purpose of exploiting children.
17

18 **2. section 933 (relating to trafficking in firearms), section 1028**

19 [https://uk.finance.yahoo.com/news/meta-accused-not-taking-
20 facebook-144954633.html?
21 guccounter=1&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly9uZXdzLmdvb2dsZS5jb20v&guce_referer_sig=AQAAAACtdaXES0SutE3Qotu8uuMy7lDDoe9IhKGNX0NSVKnyMIALi37
22 _S4AP_qlGWufc75TTh18BOPS72olsvn3FEB1eRHT8z4ec6r3OEDBSdyicRJuPAyL
23 BIw7rGSTCKXXPy7Eef9YbOaGltzpW2UsjI3FyZd16tFMpjHocAcSoV0SI](https://uk.finance.yahoo.com/news/meta-accused-not-taking-facebook-144954633.html?guccounter=1&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly9uZXdzLmdvb2dsZS5jb20v&guce_referer_sig=AQAAAACtdaXES0SutE3Qotu8uuMy7lDDoe9IhKGNX0NSVKnyMIALi37_S4AP_qlGWufc75TTh18BOPS72olsvn3FEB1eRHT8z4ec6r3OEDBSdyicRJuPAyLBIw7rGSTCKXXPy7Eef9YbOaGltzpW2UsjI3FyZd16tFMpjHocAcSoV0SI)
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

B. COUNT 2: RICO § 1962(a)

Meta has used income derived from incentivizing, monetizing, and participating in the RICO acts in Count 1 was to maintain control in Meta

C. COUNT 3: RICO § 1962(b)

Meta has maintained control of Meta by employing the RICO acts in Count 1.

D. COUNT 4: RICO § 1962(d)

Meta conspired to violate subsections (a), (b), and (c) of 18 U.S.C. § 1962.

IV. DEFAMATION AND TRADE LIBEL

V. STALKING AND HARASSMENT

VI. TRADE SECRETS (UNJUST ENRICHMENT)

VII. FALSE IMPRISONMENT

VIII. NEGLIGENCE

IX. ASSAULT AND BATTERY

X. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

XI. RIGHT OF PRIVACY

XII. CONSPIRACY?

1 **XIII. COMPREHENSIVE COMPUTER DATA AND ACCESS FRAUD ACT—**

2 **ESSENTIAL FACTUAL ELEMENTS (PEN. CODE, § 502)**

3
4
5 **XIV. ECONOMIC INTERFERENCE (PREVENTING SUBSEQUENT**
6 **EMPLOYMENT)**

7 **XV. TRESPASS TO CHATTELS**

8
9 **XVI. CA LAB CODE SECTION 1054**

10 **XVII. VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE**

11 **SECTION 17200**

12 **XVIII. 18 U.S. CODE § 2520 - RECOVERY OF CIVIL DAMAGES**

13 **AUTHORIZED**

14 **XIX. SECRET REBATES - ESSENTIAL FACTUAL ELEMENTS**

15 **XX. FIRST AMENDMENT**

16 **XXI. ANTITRUST**

17 **A. Whitelisting**

18 **Onova**

19 **B. Staggered pricing at SE, Vertiv and Eaton**

20 **C. Amazon, Microsoft, and Google Leveraged Their Monopoly Power to Stop**
21 **Arbit From Entering the Data Center Market and competing with Big Tech's**
22 **Cloud Offerings**

1 **D. Microsoft, Amazon, and Google account for xx percentage of email**
2 **providers. Standing up your own....**

3
4 **E. Google never sent emails (Fraud). Mention webmaster tools.**

5 **F. SE, Verity, and Eaton conspired together and with Big Tech and their**
6 **Partners**

7
8 **G. SPAM**

9
10
11
12
13
14 *ad quod damnum*

15
16
17
18
19 ~~5. In 2006 a representative of the AEPi Foundation told me that he has a file on me~~
20 ~~that is an inch thick. That was the response I got when I called up to try and break~~
21 ~~my lease and cancel my membership at ASU's (Alpha Sigma) chapter of the AEPi~~
22 ~~Fraternity.~~

23
24 ~~6. Shortly before the call in paragraph 2, I was living on campus at the 50+ year old~~
25 ~~fraternity house and was elected Exchequer by the brothers. When the advisor~~
26 ~~demandd that I get a personal credit card to put the house's expenses on it I refused~~
27
28

1 ~~and argued with said advisor on multiple occasions. After I was removed from my~~
2 ~~elected position I decided to move out and quit.~~

3
4 7. After I moved out, I focused on my engineering studies. In 2009 I graduated.

5 8. In 2010 I started working for Schneider Electric's manufacturer's representative in
6 Arizona and moved to Southern California in 2011 to be the commercial sales
7 engineer, for data center power, cooling and software sales.
8

9 9. SpaceX was my first customer and I made a few large sales over the next few years
10 to Mr. Musk's company.
11

12 10. I did well with other customers too. Each year I increased my attributable profit by
13 30%. I also had multiple seven-figure sales deals.
14

15 11. In 2015, I learned that Mr. Weiss, the AEPI advisor with whom I argued with, hated
16 me, still, after almost 10 years since he failed to convince to pay the expenses of a
17 fraternity house, and its kitchen operations, on a personal credit card.
18

19 12. Also in 2015, I was looking to move on from selling for SE and its alter ego LDP. I
20 subscribed to MANA, an industry magazine for manufacturer's representatives, and
21 explored the idea of starting my own agency. I also designed a workout device. I
22 purchased a 3D printer and CNC router to prototype my idea.
23

24 13. In 2015 the harassment and hacking started. That is also when I first saw indicators
25 of a conspiracy to murder to me. This led to me resigning (1/01/16) and moving.
26
27
28

1 14. In 2016 I interviewed with Facebook Inc. They offered me a contract position at
2 the Menlo Park Campus. I accepted and moved to San Francisco. Since I had my big
3 dog with me, my housing options were limited. The first place I called accepted my
4 dog and I.
5

6 15. My neighbors, up the driveway were French...
7

8 16. The contract was for six months. While I was there I tried to earn a permanent
9 position and proposed Exhibit A on an internal group page managed by the robotics
10 department.
11

12 17. Maybe it was Exhibit A and the fact that I brought a skateboard to work (the bus
13 stop was more than a mile away) which resulted in me getting bullied at Facebook's
14 closed campus.
15

16 18. Perhaps it was the similarities between Mr. Zuckerberg and I that lead to Mr.
17 Zuckerberg to feel competitive. We were both in AEPi. We both build data centers.
18 In 2001 my parents purchased a photo lab and portrait studio where I worked on and
19 off, as my school schedule allowed, until they sold it 2009. Mr. Zuckerberg was also
20 in the photo sharing industry around that time. We also both started off as CS
21 majors.
22
23

24 19. While I was hoping that my skills and experience would provide me with a safe
25 harbor from my French oppressors—it did not. Schneider Electric, as I learned, is a
26 Facebook partner.
27
28

1 20. I tried to bring Schneider Electric in on business deals while I was at Facebook, but
2 they did not return my messages.

3
4 21. I tried to befriend my French neighbors but that didn't work. Juliën Tixier, who
5 was apparently the lead French spy, told me that people think France is weak. Soon
6 after, they locked me out of my house by closing and locking my front door behind
7 me when I went down the driveway for a couple of minutes. They didn't admit but it
8 lots of signs point to them. After that, Julian, let me know that he knew facts that
9 there was no way he could know unless he had knowledge of the harassment I was
10 experiencing in 2015 in Southern California.
11
12

13 22. The French neighbors pretended to be my friends at first while they plotted against
14 me.
15

16 23. After my Facebook contract ended in April 2017, I purchased a gift for my former
17 boss and arranged a meeting to discuss his involvement in my harassment and the
18 negative recommendation he gave to Facebook. The meal concluded with veiled
19 threats.
20

21 24. Because my computer was and continues to be hacked, as is my phone, I had
22 trouble getting a job.
23

24 25. I was finally able to get a job that started in January 2019 in New York, but it
25 turned out to be a trap to allow Mr. Zuckerberg an opportunity to stalk and harass
26 me.
27
28

1 26. In January of 2020, after the company in New York shutdown, I started a company
2 to sell Schneider Electric equipment. This stopped the harassment until, in 2021,
3 while living in Santa Monica, I added other manufacturers to my website. At which
4 point, I started receiving death, and other, threats, by name and in person.
5

6 27. Late 2021, I moved to downtown Los Angeles, where the harassment followed
7 me, and increased in intensity while I promoted Schneider Electric's competitors.
8

9 28. Late 2021, shortly after I moved in, I wrote a note in my phone, detailing a
10 messaging app idea called "Threads". Meta announced "Threads" app on July 5,
11 2023.
12

13 29. In 2022 I was wrongfully evicted in downtown Los Angeles because of actions and
14 perjurious testimony by agents of unknown affiliation.
15

16 30. In March of 2023, I filed a trademark infringement lawsuit against Schneider
17 Electric.
18

19 31. In December 2023, I put together an app and purchased web domains to compete
20 with Meta Platforms. I started a Mastodon server, named SchmarkStalkerberg.com,
21 but I did not have enough money to keep it up or to buy an Apple developer account
22 to put my app on the App Store. I still intend to get this up and running. I promote it
23 weekly by wearing a branded T-Shirt.
24

25 32. On December 11, 2023 I filed a Federal Civil RICO case against Schneider and
26 Meta which was dismissed by the judge for failing to state a claim.
27
28

1 33. On December 18, 2023, I filed a stalking and defamation lawsuit against Mark
2 Zuckerberg in Los Angeles County.

3
4 34. The first indication that the stalking and harassment was being coordinated on
5 Facebook was in 2015.

6 35. Mr. Zuckerberg's harassment is slightly different from the French's. For the French
7 it has a flavor of nation vs nation. Where they try to exploit weaknesses in American
8 culture and institutions. While Mr. Zuckerberg has more of a revenge of the nerds
9 flavor. Both try to agitate and then bait you into a physical altercation.

10
11
12 36. Mr. Zuckerberg, uses the internet as a his reference to stalk and harass offline. For
13 example, because my computer is hacked, if I do something in private online, it will
14 be reflected in the real world—like ads following you around after you make a
15 purchase.

16
17 37. Reflection is used as a tool to demonstrate that you are under constant surveillance.
18 By recruiting people to act out reflections, and other odd behavior, it serves to
19 demonstrate that everyone is against you.

20
21 38. By recruiting such a large number of people, the defendants effectively terrorize
22 the plaintiff by purporting the idea that harm can come at any time and by any one.

23
24 39. He uses trolls, often actual people of small stature. But there are countless other
25 motifs, the point is to provide a swarm of constant and clearly inauthentic behavior.
26
27
28

1 40. It also obvious that people are trying to catch me not paying attention and run me
2 over with a car while I'm walking or sucker punch. But just getting people to try and
3 run or walk into me while pretending to be on the phone is also an example of a
4 popular activity.
5

6 41. I am under constant physical and electronic surveillance.
7

8 42. I am under a constant fear of a physical violence.
9

10 43. Defamatory and dehumanizing statements, as well as monetary and social
11 incentives, are used as tools to recruit participants into the conspiracy.
12

13 44. Meta Platforms Inc, and its shareholders, enrich themselves by actively promoting
14 and monetizing my virtual enslavement and the accompanying organized crimes
15 directed at me as a form of entertainment.
16

17 45. The daily harassment and psychological operations have persisted for years now,
18 and there is no reason to believe that they will end barring a court injunction.
19
20

21 46. This complaint is for damages sustained in a closed-ended scheme to illegally
22 manipulate civil trials using fraud, witness tampering, and corruption of judicial
23 officers.
24

25 47. This complaint is for damages sustained from loss of employment.
26

27 48. This complaint is for damages sustained as a result of constant harassment.
28

1 49. This complaint is for damages sustained from trademark infringement.

2 50. This complaint is for damages sustained from intellectual property theft.

3 51. This complaint is for damages sustained from loss of housing.

4 52. This complaint is for damages sustained from loss of business opportunities.

5 53. This complaint is for damages sustained from defamation.

6 54. This complaint is for damages sustained from corporate sabotage.

7 55. This complaint is from damages sustained from loss of peaceful enjoyment of life.

8 56. The civil trial was a dispute over a trademark used in interstate commerce by
9 Plaintiff, owner of the trademark, and Schneider Electric SE.

10 57. Another civil trial was for stalking and conspiracy to stalk.

11 58. All of the defendants are associated in fact and operate as one enterprise for the
12 purpose of racketeering.

13 59. This complaint is for an open-ended scheme to virtually enslave the plaintiff for the
14 purpose of reaping economic benefits.

15 60. As an engineer and business owner, Plaintiff's economic earning potential is
16 unlimited and damages sought exceed the total assets of all of the defendants
17 combined.

18 61. Some of the plaintiffs are active participants and some are just part of the
19 conspiracy, but all are vicariously liable and negligent.

1 62. Some of the “backroom” principals of this conspiracy are Mark Zuckerberg, Elon
2 Musk, and Emmanuel Macron.

3
4 63. Their “meeting of the minds” takes place on Meta Platforms’ infrastructure.

5 64. Schneider Electric SE is a French company and that is why Emmanuel Macron is
6 involved.

7
8 65. Plaintiff is a data center engineer who worked on projects for Meta Platforms and
9 SpaceX. These companies are principally owned and managed by Mark Zuckerberg
10 and Elon Musk, respectively.

11
12 66. Plaintiff competes against Schneider Electric SE with his business: SecurePower®

13 67. Plaintiff competes against Meta Platforms and X with his app and server:

14 SchmarkStalkerberg.com.

15
16 68. Plaintiff competes against France as a U.S. citizen and opposes France’s attempts
17 to undermine our freedoms, unity, laws and economic momentum.

18
19 69. Meta is an Information Content Provider as defined in § 230 and liable for all
20 content that was created and propagated in its domains.

21 70. The information that is created and propagated in Meta’s domains serves as the
22 basis for which targeted ads are sold and revenue is obtained.

23
24 71. Any wide interpretation of section 230 protections should be revisited when they
25 are willfully abused for monetary and social value and violate §230(B)(5) “...”
26
27
28

1 72. Meta has been willfully negligent and malicious in its quest for profit—believing
2 the they are immune to ciivl action from common law ethics violations.
3
4
5

6 **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**
7

8 73. Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Respectfully submitted,
21
22
23
24

25 By: Stanislav Arbit
26

27 440 N Barranca Ave #7377
28

1 Covina, CA 91723

2 Email: stan@securepower.io

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28